STATE OF CALIFORNIA

OFF-HIGHWAY MOTOR VEHICLE RECREATION COMMISSION MEETING MINUTES SYNOPSIS - UNAPPROVED

March 23, 2013

Start time at 9:03 a.m.

Holiday Inn

1900 Hilltop Drive

Redding, CA 96002

IN ATTENDANCE:

OHMVR COMMISSIONERS:

Paul Slavik, Chair Breene Kerr, Vice Chair

Ernest Cabral Kevin Murphy

Edward Patrovsky Diana Perez

M. Teresa Villegas

CALIFORNIA STATE PARKS:

Major General Anthony L. Jackson, Director

Aaron Robertson, Chief Deputy Director

Claire LeFlore, Chief Counsel

OHMVR DIVISION STAFF:

Phil Jenkins, Chief

Maria Mowrey, Acting Administrative Chief

Kathryn Tobias, Legal Counsel

OTHER OHMVR STAFF AND REGISTERED VISITORS

AGENDA ITEM I - CALL TO ORDER at 9:03 a.m.

CHAIR SLAVIK: We have a lot of new faces, going to have a lot of discussions today about some things that have really piqued the interest of the folks. We have new commissioners. We have a new director. We have a deputy director that's relatively new, and we have a brand-new deputy director for OHV, who won't be with us today because he's still got business to take care of.

- 10 We're going to do the pledge of allegiance.
- 11 | Kevin is going to lead us.

- 12 AGENDA ITEM I(A) PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE
- 13 Commissioner Murphy led.
- 14 AGENDA ITEM I(B) ROLL CALL
- 15 All seven Commission Members present.
- 16 CHAIR SLAVIK: I can't tell you how pleased I am
 17 to have a bunch of commissioners up here with us. It
- was looking pretty sad here about a month ago.
- And I can't tell you how pleased we are to have
- 20 General Jackson here with us, the Director of
- 21 | California State Parks. He's relatively new. Most of
- 22 | you I think all know his background. We're really
- 23 | excited, General, that you can be with us, and
- 24 | Aaron Robertson is going to be with us, the deputy
- 25 director.

We see a new direction. We've had a chance to talk to these folks. We've had a chance to meet with them, and I think most of us up here that have had that opportunity are really excited about the future of OHV and State Parks of California.

I'd like to start off with the introduction of the new commissioners. You want to get a start, Ted?

COMMISSIONER CABRAL: Yes, my name is Ted Cabral, and originally I was born and raised in Petaluma, California, a little town called Pengrove, just a little north of there nowadays. My family has been in the motorcycle business since 1969, and up until 2009 I was the general manager of the dealership. So I have a very extensive motorcycle background; done a lot of racing and worked for race teams and things like that.

The other side of me is that I've been involved in politics on the motorcycle club level with doing environment cleanups such as the SuperFund mercury cleanup site. Up in Technique Creek we had private property. And also I've been named by the Sonoma County Supervisors to be the chairman of the Zone 2A Flood Advisory Committee that deals with watershed issues throughout the Petaluma River basin.

So I have experience with both motorcycles and

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 1 environmental issues, and hopefully I can bring that
- 2 | experience to the Commission. I like to be very fair.
- 3 | I like to hear what everyone has to say. I don't
- 4 | really tolerate myself -- I'm just going to talk
- 5 | personally here, not as far as the Commission, but I
- 6 | just think it's real important as a group that we be
- 7 | civil and be respectful of other people's opinions.
- 8 And if we can do that, I know we can get some
- 9 consensus, and we can move forward together and do the
- 10 things necessary to make this an outstanding program.
- 11 | So thank you.
- 12 CHAIR SLAVIK: Thank you, welcome to the
- 13 | Commission.
- 14 COMMISSIONER PATROVSKY: Good morning,
- 15 | everybody. My name is Ed Patrovsky. I'm a retired BLM
- 16 law enforcement ranger, and I live in Apple Valley,
- 17 | California. And I'm a member of environmental groups,
- 18 | but I also like to ride. And I hope to bring my
- 19 experience and viewpoints to the Commission. And I
- 20 | very much appreciated Ted's remarks about being civil
- 21 and working together. I think there is a lot of common
- 22 ground that we can establish win/win situations. Thank
- 23 you.
- 24 CHAIR SLAVIK: Thank you. Commissioner Murphy.
- 25 COMMISSIONER MURPHY: My name is Kevin Murphy.

- 1 I'm from Morgan Hill, California. I just want to thank
- the Governor and the General and the Division for my 2
- 3 appointment to the OHV Division. I'm a professional
- 4 flyer captain. I'm also a business owner.
- 5 lifelong OHV user. I'm just excited to be here and be
- 6 able to serve the people of California.
- 7 CHAIR SLAVIK: Thank you.
- Now, we're going to have the Commissioners' 8
- 9 reports in a little bit later, but we need to do the
- 10 approval of the agenda.

11 AGENDA ITEM II - APPROVAL OF AGENDA

- 12 CHAIR SLAVIK: So we have a couple of agendas
- here that we have to talk about. September 15th 13
- 14 agenda, do we need to have a motion for approval? I'm
- 15 sorry, the minutes, let me back up. I'm getting ahead
- 16 of myself.
- 17 Approval of the agenda, today's agenda.
- 18 have any questions about today's agenda? We don't need
- to vote on that, correct? Everybody likes today's 19
- 20 agenda.

21

AGENDA ITEM III (A) 9-15-12 MINUTES

- 22 CHAIR SLAVIK: All right. Then we'll move to
- 23 the approval of the minutes for September 15th.
- 24 reason we didn't approve those minutes because we had a
- cancellation of a meeting, correct? We didn't get a 25

- 1 chance to do that.
- 2 CHIEF JENKINS: Correct. There were various
- 3 issues, but you should have a full set now.
- 4 CHAIR SLAVIK: Right. May we have a motion to
- 5 approve the minutes for September 15th?
- 6 COMMISSIONER KERR: So moved. They're a lovely
- set of minutes. 7
- COMMISSIONER PEREZ: I'd like to second.
- 9 CHAIR SLAVIK: Vote.
- 10 COMMISSIONER CABRAL: I'll have to abstain since
- 11 I wasn't at that meeting.
- CHAIR SLAVIK: All abstaining besides? 12
- COMMISSIONER MURPHY: I'll abstain. 13
- 14 COMMISSIONER PATROVSKY: I abstain.
- 15 CHAIR SLAVIK: Mr. Patrovsky abstains.
- 16 (Commissioners simultaneously voted.)
- 17 CHAIR SLAVIK: We have a vote, so we approve the
- minutes. Commissioner Perez, she is nodding her head 18
- 19 aye, so the minutes pass.

20 AGENDA ITEM III (B) 12-1-12 MINUTES

- CHAIR SLAVIK: Then we have the December 1st 21
- 22 minutes. And I believe you guys, you're still on the
- 23 same situation. Get a chance, weren't there.
- 24 COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Abstain.
- 25 CHAIR SLAVIK: So we have three Commissioners

- 1 that have abstained.
- 2 COMMISSIONER KERR: Move approval.
- 3 CHAIR SLAVIK: Second?
- 4 COMMISSIONER PEREZ: Second.
- 5 (Commissioners simultaneously voted.)
- 6 CHAIR SLAVIK: And it carries. That probably
- 7 | didn't sound like --
- 8 | COMMISSIONER KERR: I'm voting yes on that.

AGENDA ITEM IV(A) - INFORMATIONAL REPORTS -

COMMISSIONERS

9

- CHAIR SLAVIK: Moving to Item 4, Informational
- 12 Reports of the Commissioners. If you have anything to
- 13 report, Commissioners, this would be the time to do it.
- 14 And if Teresa Villegas would like to start.
- 15 COMMISSIONER VILLEGAS: Just have one item to
- 16 | report. On January 30th, both Commissioner Perez and
- 17 | myself had a conference call with State Parks
- 18 | Commissioner Carol Hart just discussing ongoing issues
- 19 | with both agencies in trying to share information about
- 20 our commissions and related with budget as that moves
- 21 | forward. We have a pending meeting for April 5th with
- 22 | Senator Lara, trying to get him to be a co-author on a
- 23 | piece of legislation, and I'll be reporting the details
- 24 on that once it's done.
- 25 COMMISSIONER KERR: I'm going to let you discuss

our meeting with the Forest Service, and then we had a meeting where we tried to facilitate communication with them.

We also met with General Jackson, and thank you for coming again today. I'll thank you personally for coming yesterday and today. It's very much appreciated, and thank you for appointing our new Division assistant director.

So the only thing that I have to add to that is that I did tour Hungry Valley recently. There's been some issues we've been discussing kind of peripherally about potential land swap with the Forest Service. So my general impression of the Hungry Valley facility is that it's much improved from my last visit several years ago. The facilities are quite large, 25,000 acres, and I think it's important that we continue to talk with the Forest Service about better integration with their properties, but we do have a lot of property there already.

Last comment I have, I would like to see better employee training, particularly getting more of the executives at that facility out on the trails, and I have mentioned that to Jeff. A couple of the senior managers down there don't actually ride motorcycles, but I guess we can get them out, drive them around in a

side-by-side or something.

But I would like to compliment the staff on the newly-constructed single track trails, and again that facility continues to improve. It's an important resource to the citizens of Los Angeles. And that concludes my report.

CHAIR SLAVIK: I'll go last here. But

Commissioner Patrovsky, his first actual official

assignment was last Saturday at the Onyx acquisition

scoping meeting. Would you like to mention something
about that?

COMMISSIONER PATROVSKY: Well, I enjoyed the tour Dan Canfield and Peter put on for Paul and myself. And we toured not only the acquisition area but the Jawbone/Dove Springs area, which I used to patrol as a BLM ranger out on the Ridgecrest. And I hadn't been in this area for about ten years, and I got to see firsthand some of the vehicle controls and the route restoration that the Friends of Jawbone have been doing. And I was really pleasantly surprised by the quality of the work and just an example of how with good management and cooperation, that things can improve over time. So I enjoyed the visit to the acquisition area, the proposed acquisition, and it was very informative and appreciate it. Thank you.

1	COMMISSIONER PEREZ: Thank you. I'm going to
2	try to keep this in order. I do have a number of items
3	that I would like to share. I was on the telephone
4	conference with Commissioner Villegas and
5	Commissioner Hart. I also had a conversation with Matt
6	Webb from Boating Waterways on January 9th. I attended
7	a Coastal Commission meeting in Pismo Beach on
8	January 9th, 10th, and 11th, and I had the pleasure of
9	seeing the General Jackson there and other staff, so I
LO	attended that. There was also a meeting mixer where I
L1	participated and met with the Coastal Commissioners.
L2	On January 15th, I met with Oceano Dunes staff
L3	and got an update on the park.
L4	January 16th, I attended a presentation by the
L5	Air Commission Control District from San Luis Obispo.
L6	On January 17th, I met with a supervisor from
L7	San Luis Obispo, Paul Teixeira.
L8	On January 22nd, I had lunch with a resident
L9	from the Nipomo Mesa area.
20	And on January 23rd, I took a tour with
21	Chief Jenkins at Oceano, and that was a great tour. We
22	got to see the dunes again.
23	February 7th, I had a follow-up tour with Will
24	Harris, and I don't know if he's here, but I want to

thank Will Harris for a more in-depth tour of Oceano

- 1 Dunes.
- February 8th, I met with the mayor of Grover
- 3 | Beach, Debbie Peterson.
- 4 On February 14th, I have a reoccurring meeting
- 5 | with a supervisor from San Luis Obispo County sheriff,
- 6 | I met with him again.
- 7 February 27th, I met with another
- 8 | San Luis Obispo supervisor, Bruce Gibson.
- 9 On March 7th, I met with City Council Member
- 10 | from Atascadero, Roberta Fonzi.
- On March 8th -- I didn't have an opportunity to
- 12 attend the Kern County acquisition meeting on
- 13 March 16th, but I want to thank Superintendent Jeff
- 14 | Gaffney because he did provide me with a tour of the
- 15 | area, probably a shorter tour, but I did get an
- opportunity to see it, so I went out there.
- And hang in there with me, I'm almost done, five
- 18 | more pages -- not five, one more.
- 19 On March 14th, I once again met with Supervisor
- 20 | Paul Teixeira.
- 21 And on March 15th, I coordinated a
- 22 | teleconference with Chief Jenkins and a few of the
- 23 | supervisors from San Luis Obispo, Debbie Arnold,
- 24 | Paul Teixeira and the Mayor from Grover Beach,
- 25 Debbie Peterson.

And, let's see, and the last piece is I do live in the area, as you can tell. And I did have an opportunity to speak with many of my colleagues who live in the Nipomo Mesa area or nearby, so I had the opportunity to speak to local residents, to business owners in the area, and folks that live in the Nipomo Mesa area. And I think that the quickest way that I can conclude this -- and this is my quick summary -- is that I was quite pleased that after meeting with various leaders from the area, the residents and business owners, that there is great support for California State Parks and the Oceano SVRA from what I call the silent majority.

So certainly I'm obviously interested and willing to listen, as the Commissioner said here, and there's a couple of things that I would like to see in my process of learning. I would like to see the opportunity to purchase the La Grande tract in that I know that's been on the table, and I'd like to area. see maybe if we can make that happen. I think the sense that I received from the community is that the community is ready to move forward and hopefully get some things done and resolved. And I think there is excitement about having General Jackson on board.

And I'd also like to see if we can -- there's a

1

2

3

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 project, Pismo Beach Visitor Center, I don't think that's the official name, but I think that I'd like to 2 see that be completed and the permits approved by the 3 Coastal Commission and moving forward with that 5 project. I think everyone is ready for that, and I

And hopefully a resolution at some point on the dust rule, I know that's somewhat of a complicated issue, but in the last couple of months, that's what I learned and been able to get me to this point, and so it's been a great learning experience.

think it's coming to the Coastal Commission this fall.

And I do want to send a message to my fellow Commissioners, there is great value in getting to know the local area and meeting with folks. I think they get a sense that we're listening and participating, and so I would encourage my fellow Commissioners that if you're near OHV recreation, I think it is important to show them what we're working toward as a team to move forward. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER KERR: Chief, that was a topic that was part of that presentation, which was the issue of the county ownership of a great deal of our SVRA down there, I think we lease it for a dollar per year or whatever we do. I know the county doesn't want it. They want us to fully operate it, maintain it, and

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

police it. But where are we on this potential

acquisition of this property that everybody thinks we

own but we actually don't?

CHIEF JENKINS: We have been operating it for decades, and we've had money set aside, so we appropriated money originally to buy the property. The property values went up. It was during the whole boom/bust cycle, so we had a second appropriation.

There were two separate appropriations of funding for that. The funding ran out because after we appropriated it, some serious questions were raised about consistency with the local coastal plan.

So we've been working with county since then to try to resolve those issues. There was a lawsuit involved in that. We resolved the lawsuit to the 90 percent level. It's one of those where there were some hanging questions that may pop up if we were to move forward again.

The long and short of it is, the money we appropriated to purchase it expires at the end of this fiscal year. And so it's one of those ironic timing things that now the county with the recent change in some of the members of the board of supervisors is very eager to engage with us again and complete the acquisition.

It would require some really fast moving in the last week. So since Diana has set up this meeting, I've been trying to figure out is it at all possible. And what we're hearing is that in order to get through all of the steps with appraisals, because we would have to update the appraisal, we have to get before the Public Works board and get scheduled for site selection and acquisition, I just don't see that that's physically possible at this point to accomplish by the end of the fiscal year.

CHAIR SLAVIK: Commissioner Perez, first of all, let me thank you for that report. And I would say you're a poster child for an engaged commissioner, and I hope all of the rest of us can emulate that. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER PEREZ: I guess my question would be can those funds be re-appropriated?

CHIEF JENKINS: There is a mechanism where funds can be re-appropriated. Typically, if that were going to be in the process, that's something that the Administration would begin working on early in their budget cycle, and so that is something that happens outside of our purview. That's something that happens at the higher level of administration. So that is really nothing I can comment with any authority on

- 1 | because that is a process that would happen higher up.
- They can come to us and ask us questions. We
- 3 can provide technical advice, but we cannot promote.
- 4 | That sort of thing has to happen at the level where the
- 5 decision makers are at about how those budgets work
- 6 together.
- 7 COMMISSIONER KERR: So is that an Assembly
- 8 | committee like Rich Gordon's committee or?
- 9 CHIEF JENKINS: I want to defer to Deputy
- 10 Director Robertson.
- 11 | COMMISSIONER KERR: Just tell me what we can do,
- 12 and then we'll move on.
- DEPUTY DIRECTOR ROBERTSON: Right now, we're
- 14 | working with the Department of Finance and the
- 15 Administration with the re-appropriation of the
- 16 | dollars, so we're in the process with a number of
- 17 | folks. We have sent letters of support for this, and
- 18 | we're working with the Administration right now in
- 19 terms of prioritizing where this fits with the larger
- 20 budget picture.
- 21 COMMISSIONER KERR: If there is anything to be
- 22 | gained on the legislative side, will you guys let me
- 23 | know if I can help?
- 24 CHIEF JENKINS: Thank you.
- 25 CHAIR SLAVIK: I haven't been quite as busy as

Commissioner Perez, let me run down my list here.

On the 18th of January, I met with the then chair of the Boating Waterways Commission, Matt Webb.

We had a nice discussion. It turns out he didn't get

renominated, so we'll start all over again there.

But I was talking to Mr. Robertson this morning, and we're very much inclined to try to get all the commissions together to talk about our commonalities, what we can do to further the goals and missions of General Jackson for the new future of State Parks in California.

After that, Commissioner Kerr and myself had a chance to meet with the new director. At the time he was brand new, and we went up to Sacramento; had a nice visit. Aaron Robertson was in that meeting, as well as Phil Jenkins. And we got a chance I think to talk about our priorities and learn his background. He got a chance to hear little bit about our background. We thank you for that opportunity and that open-door policy.

Right after that, Commissioner Kerr mentioned that we had a talk with the Forest Service, Ramiro Villalvazo, a very nice man, very engaging man, but typical Forest Service he can't really do a lot of the things that we would like them to do. But he listened.

1 He was very gracious, and I think we got our point across that the OHV community would like to hear more 2

from the Forest Service. There's a lot of folks out 3

there in our community that ride on Forest Service

5 land, and they're affected by their policies

continually. They go to meetings. They hold events 6

7 and things go on continually that they have an effect

on. We need to at least have a representative here to 8

9 be able to talk about that.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

After that, I had an opportunity to go to the King of Hammers, and we're going to have a little presentation on that little bit later in the program. But an incredible event, and I think we've got some good video. It's kind of exciting. And we hope next year, General and Mr. Robertson, that you're going to be able to attend that. I think you'll get a big kick out of it. Awesome event.

I did get an e-mail from Karen Schambach, and it turns out her brother was killed in a motorcycle accident, of all things. It turns out that the funeral was in the church that my family and I go to in Huntington Beach. What a small world it is. I ended up attending the funeral, the grave-site service on a very rainy day in Southern California. I don't see Karen here. I thought she was going to attend.

that was a sad thing.

And then just recently, last Saturday, Ed
Patrovsky and myself had an opportunity to tour the
Onyx acquisition. Ed talked about it. I'll have to
say that it was just an incredible opportunity that we
have here for the future of OHV and State Parks in
general, which is to acquire land and property that has
been ridden on for 40, 50 years, a lot of it illegally
because it was private land. But we now have an
opportunity to throw a lasso around this place and
manage it under one consistent management policy. And
I'll have to say thank you, Dan Canfield and Peter
Jones, both for an amazing tour and all of the work the
whole staff went into with the public scoping meeting,
as well.

And then lastly, Thursday night, the reason I wasn't able to attend the tour yesterday because I attended a celebration dinner for the San Bernardino National Forest Association, which is one of our grantees. They're recipients of our grants. And just a real quick thing about that, when I worked for the American Honda Motor Company, we were approached by the Forest Service, and this was San Bernardino, which is right at the heart of the L.A. Basin, and they were looking for some help because they were literally

- 1 | overwhelmed with visitors. It was a forest that was
- 2 | being loved to death. Especially in the summertime,
- 3 | the motorcyclists, ATVers, four-wheel drive people,
- 4 | that's where they went because the desert was too hot.
- 5 | So, anyway, they decided to start kind of a
- 6 ground-breaking association of volunteers. And they
- 7 | needed startup money. Honda was very generous, got
- 8 | started with that. Rick Lavello is here right now,
- 9 | their OHV program manager, and he's going to talk a
- 10 little bit more about it later. But I'll have to say
- 11 | it was just an awesome event, over 200 people were at
- 12 | this event celebrating this anniversary, this 20-year
- 13 | anniversary for what has turned out to be the largest
- 14 | scale volunteer association, not just OHV, but several
- 15 other program parts of that association, largest scale
- 16 | volunteer association on any national forest in the
- 17 United States. So it was just a wonderful event to be
- 18 part of, too.
- 19 So that kind of ends, I think, the
- 20 | Commissioners' report. And I would say I've been
- 21 | trying to get ahold of Carol Hart, and we need to make
- 22 | that connection.
- Now, I would like to ask the General to
- 24 | introduce himself and give us your vision. We are so
- 25 happy you're here.

DIRECTOR DIRECTOR GENERAL JACKSON: Can you hear
me way over there? Okay. So I'm going to stand up.

Unless you need the mike, I'm going to eschew the mike.
You didn't know Marines knew words like that. He
doesn't. I'll teach him in the car on the way back.

First of all, it's a great privilege and thanks for the invitation to come here. It's a great privilege to serve you, what I'll call the people of California, and all of the people who are interested in State Parks and the off-road vehicle community.

I want to really emphasize that when you look at State Parks, and you all know what the headlines have been over the few months before I got to State Parks in November, and so there's a lot of work to be done. But let me say first of all foremost there are great people in State Parks who really want to serve the public well. I think there was a crisis, what I would call in short order a crisis of leadership, and so it was both the Governor and the Secretary of National Resources figuring that if they landed the Marines, the situation would soon be well at hand.

And meanwhile I was out enjoying our State

Parks. I retired in January of 2012 after 36 years

seven months and one day of service; I didn't count,

and going to many far-off distant lands serving the

1 American people. And it was an extraordinarily 2 gratifying 36-plus years, and I was very happy when I retired. And I had bought an RV, and I got on the road 3

to see California State Parks.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Before I got any further north than Chico, I had put in about 10,000 miles in the lower two/thirds of the state, I got a call, and that was when I, again, put service before self. And loving State Parks and being married to a native Californian who really loves the State Parks and getting her permission to come out of retirement, something that I didn't do in 1975 when I joined the Marine Corps, and I've been paying the price for that ever since.

But I believe that there are four parts to State There is the State Historical Preservation, Parks. there's the Parks and Recreation itself, and now July 1st Boating and Waterways will be under us, and the Off-Highway Vehicle.

So I look at those, each and every one of them, now that I am the director, I love them all. And I'm going to devote the same amount of energy to Parks and Recreation, to Boating and Waterways, as I'm going to devote to OHV. I'm going to be here for you. I've got an open-door policy. Many of the Commissioners have already been up to my office. I'm going to get out to

- 1 | the parks. I've only been to Ocotillo Wells and
- 2 | Prairie City, and some little obscure thing over by
- 3 | Auburn, a little bitty one.
- 4 CHIEF JENKINS: Mammoth Bar.
- 5 DIRECTOR GENERAL JACKSON: I don't know if we
- 6 really own that or not, but the rangers took me there.
- 7 I've got to take their word for it.
- 8 Right now I'm the biggest student in State
- 9 Parks. I probably will be during the course of my
- 10 | whole career, is be a student, listen to you. The one
- 11 | thing you learn as a general is if you go into a room
- 12 | and there's more than three or more people in it, it's
- 13 | highly unlikely you're the smartest person, unless you
- 14 stack the deck.
- 15 And I learned to be a listener before I'm a
- 16 | talker, and when you talk be decisive and be clear on
- 17 | what your direction is. And so I'm here as a student
- and as a listener to hear your concerns and to be able
- 19 | to gather information and knowledge about what's going
- 20 on to support those things that you all think are best
- 21 | for your community and to build the coalitions to make
- 22 | those things happen.
- You are in a challenging position, as you all
- 24 | know better than I do, because every time we want to do
- 25 | a land acquisition, we can hear some of the issues.

1 Every time we want to recreate the way that you want to recreate, the people have challenge. It's in 2 California's nature to be active. 3

So what I would suggest -- let me really hit this. You heard of the activism of our Commissioners. My suggestion is that you always show up. You always show up to those meetings where the Coastal Commission is addressing your issues, or whether the Assembly is addressing your issues, and you always show up because your opposition always shows up. You can depend on that.

And so I believe in what you do. preservation of California's cultural and natural resources, educating our public about those things, and promoting healthy outdoor recreational activities is something that is our mission. That's my mission to make sure that it happens in all of its forms.

And so I have just put out, and we will give a copy of it, too, in a miniature form, a strategic action plan. And it lays out quite frankly in skeletal form, there are a lot of words that are going to go into a lot of actions, a lot of words. It's going to flush this thing out. But it gives you an idea of my direction for all of you people. If we don't have enough hard copies, you can go to California State

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Parks website, click on the link, and it will pop right up for you.

My most fundamental goals, obviously I have to restore the public trust, your trust in the leadership -- not the people of State Parks, but in the leadership of State Parks. So I have to do that, and I figure I will gain that trust by my actions. And you're going to hold me accountable. You better hold me accountable. If you don't hold me accountable, shame on you, okay?

Then the other goals that we have in State Parks besides that is we're going to protect our resources, that includes your resources. And we've got to do a better job of maintaining our facilities. We know that we have a backlog of some over billion dollars in facility maintenance, but we have got a step at a time, we've got to reach out, and we have to improve those.

So I always say that one of the strategic goals of mine is to have the cleanest restrooms of any park facilities in the world. Many of you know, if we don't have good clean facilities, you're not going to create a fan there. So I think it's a regeneration project at the same time that I think it's just good health.

I want to make sure that we can connect your activities to all Californians. I think we have to do

a better job of dragging people out of those big mega offices like Los Angeles, San Diego, San Francisco Bay Area and get them out in our parks. We've got to get their kids out there.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The one thing I love when I go out to the OHV parks, I see these helmeted, armored, elbow pads, knee pads, ankle pads, and they're about two feet tall and a blond ponytail coming down their back and they're just zipping around on a little miniature track, up and down the moguls, but we've got to get more of that. We have to reach into a more diverse community. We've got to drag those Oaklanders out. We've got to drag those people from the Latino community from Los Angeles, we've got to get them to our parks because that's the future. If you look at the demographics of the State of California, you will see that there is a changeover, and if we don't get those people out, when they start voting, they're not going to see the relevancy of OHV or Boating and Waterways to them because they don't go there.

And unless we, our generation, drags them out of their cities, out of their comfort zones, and convince their parents, we've got the foundations and associations, get some buses into the inner city and take them out to our parks. We've got to have the

displays at our parks where parents can sit down in one of our parks and look at a computer screen and see all 280 parks. They might be at one, but how do they get to know the other ones.

And I want to ask the associations and foundations to help us finance the kind of program where people can sit at some of our parks and explore the other ones and get curious enough to get out to them, and so that they're going to be the future of State Parks. So I want to connect more with the people of California.

And, finally, I've got to really fight with our legislature, the Governor's office. You asked about funding for this and that. We've got to fight to do more than have a quarter of our budget come from the General Fund. It would be very difficult for parks -- every time you raise fees, you also chase people away. And so we've had declining attendance at our State Parks. And so we've got to do better.

I'm wearing a patch, and you'll see a lot of the folks from State Parks who are wearing a pin that marks the 150th anniversary of the best and most wonderful parks system in the United States and one of the best in the world. In 1864, in the midst of the Civil War, President Abraham Lincoln gave Yosemite and Mariposa

- 1 Grove to the State of California and that was in
- 2 June of 1864, the height of the Civil War, but he had
- the foresight to know that we should preserve that 3
- property in perpetuity, and we accepted it in
- 5 September of 1864, the governor did.
- Next year, the centennial, every park in the 6
- 7 state, no matter what the nature of that park is,
- should have a series of celebrations. That 8
- 9 establishment of our park system is the very foundation
- 10 of preserving the natural resources of this country.
- It is the very foundation of the environmental 11
- movement, which California leads the world in. 12
- 13 the very foundation of the preservation of culture of
- 14 various people which we're totally involved in in the
- 15 State Parks system. And I want us to celebrate that
- 16 through a series of events that lasts from the
- 17 beginning of next year until the culminating event
- 18 hopefully on the Capitol lawns in September.
- 19 We have a committee that's doing that, and I
- 20 want the associations, superintendents of parks to
- 21 think of ways that they can also celebrate the 150th
- anniversary. So we have a lot to do. We have a blue 22
- 23 ribbon panel that is studying ways that we can do
- 24 things better that will be -- this strategic action
- 25 plan is designed to carry us through the next couple of

years. By October of next year, we should have a vision that carries us through the next 50 to 100 years, and we have a blue ribbon panel that's being formed right now regarding that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Finally, I'll just say that today I think in the newspaper the Hoover Commission, the commission established by the legislature to make our government more efficient, they published a 122-page report, which I got a copy last of night and I didn't read it. going to be coming out this week, but I got an advance copy of it I think last night. And it has ways -we'll study that to see other ways that we can improve the processes within State Parks.

But it's a real privilege. I've been working, trying to get to know all of our various park systems. The worst kind of leadership you can have is from a desk. So I leave Sacramento on Thursdays, get out on Fridays and Saturdays and visit various parks all over the state. I think this is the furthest north I have been so far, but I've been from the desert to the Mexican border of our State Parks, up the coastline and back out to Anza Borrego. And then just keep hitting them until -- I guess there is 280, and we all want to make them grow. Be careful.

But it's been a real education so far, and I

- 1 look forward to continuing to serve all of you. Thank
 2 you.
- I speak for the Commission, if you could pass on to the Governor and Secretary Laird our appreciation for their recent appointments, and including the new deputy

Thank you, General. And I think

- 7 director, and I think we're all in unison about that.
- 8 We're pretty happy campers at this point.

CHAIR SLAVIK:

- 9 DIRECTOR GENERAL JACKSON: Can I say a couple of words about the new deputy?
- 11 CHAIR SLAVIK: Absolutely, you're in charge.
- 12 DIRECTOR GENERAL JACKSON: Until I go home. You
- 13 know that too.

3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Your new deputy, Chris Conlin, will be the new deputy for OHV. He and I first served in the Marine Corps in 1986 together. Chris is an extraordinary American. When you first look at him, you won't look at him as a steely-eyed warrior. You'll look at him as the guy next door. But let me tell you that he led a battalion of Marines, about 1200 Marines on the March to Baghdad, extraordinarily successful. A lot of Iraqis will remember his battalion.
- One of the things that really marks him out as an extraordinary leader, after the March to Baghdad, we're talking about 2003, he was given the

responsibility to govern a province, which is a state in Iraq, which is a rather large one, Najaf. And this was a very volatile area, and he was the supreme leader, and it had a lot of conflict between the Sunni and the Shiite. And he went in there, and they just told him, you're the governor. And as an infantry officer that would be a pretty daunting task to lead a state with millions of people in it who had been at

each other's throats for a long time.

But he went in and sat with all of the religious leaders and the political leaders, and for the six months or so that he ran that state, he brought absolute peace to it without violence. And it was pretty incredible when you can still see the headlines today of what happened once he left. And his leadership was such that I was certainly -- he's always been a junior officer to me. He's never worked directly for me. I've only observed him from a distance from a guy that was much senior to him.

He's been in charge of the nuclear weapon program within the United States military, and those programs are very easy to trip up and get fired in.

And he's just held the highest responsibilities that this nation can give an officer, to lead your children into combat and, secondly, to guard nuclear weapon

1 facilities and to make sure other people are doing it right. So he comes with the highest integrity and the 2 3 highest intention. And I think, like me, he looks forward to being educated by you all, and so he knows 5 the difficulties with OHV. We can kind of give him a heads up and talk to him on April 4th, when he will be 6 sworn in. And I ask you all to welcome him and be 7 prepared to educate him. I charged Phil with making 8 9 sure that he gets all the right straight scoop, and 10 Phil is going to be his principal mentor. And he understands that leaders have to be students before 11 12 they can do anything. So I think you'll be very happy working with Chris. 13

CHAIR SLAVIK: Thank you. I think we look forward to a positive future.

We now come to the Division's, the Chief's reports, and what I'd like to do is a little different than what we have in the past, and that is to contain our own comments as commissioners until the very end after the public comment. So there's several items here, and what we're talking about here is the A, B, and C things.

So as the Division Chief's reports get started, you notice that there are several items underneath that, please refrain from commenting until we end up

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

hearing the public comment at the end of this whole thing. And then we'll move to the next item, the next capital letter. Is that agreed upon, sound good? That way we hopefully will move this whole process and get out of here in time. We have a lot of work to do today. Chief Jenkins.

AGENDA ITEM IV(B) - REPORTS - DIVISION

CHIEF JENKINS: Thank you, Chair Slavik.

Let me just begin by adding my own welcome to the new Commissioners, very nice to see a full palette up there. We've got a couple of more seats we can fill coming up in the near future. It's very nice to have the full palette. And I've had a chance to speak with each of the new Commissioners and am very encouraged.

Also, just informally to say from the perspective of a career Parks professional having the new leadership come into the Department, so both our director and chief deputy director, has just been very refreshing. One of the ways you can kind of gauge the level of morale in any organization is to look at the number of people that are leaving the organization, the retirements or transfers, or other things like that. It's not just a numbers game, so this is a deal.

I've been watching the number of people retiring in the Department recently, and in some cases there are

more retirements and in some cases there are less. Ιn both cases, often it's a good sign, and here is what's going on. Some Parks professionals were refusing to retire until they felt like the Department was in good hands again. Those folks are feeling like they can leave now, they can turn the reins over to somebody that is actually going to run things in the right direction. Some of the other folks that had been saying they're leaving as soon as they can have decided, hey, wait, there's a new program afoot and some of them are extending their time and not retiring.

So we're beginning to see some fresh energy, some fresh hope in the Department. It has been a dark year for a number of reasons. And it's just very refreshing, not just here in the OHV program where we're feeling a lot more included and as one family of State Parks, but also a lot of my friends and long-term acquaintances in the Department are just seeing a lot of new hope, so I want to thank you for that, General.

And Aaron Robertson has been a big part of that change. He came on board earlier than that and has been working very closely with us at the Division all throughout all of these changes, so I can't thank you enough, as well.

So it's a fresh start. We have a lot of fresh

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

faces on the Commission, faces that have been there

even for a long time are kind of new. Other than Paul

and myself and Breene, I think it's a fairly new

commission all the way around. New staff in the

Division, new leadership in the Department, there's

just a lot going on. And that is so great.

- It's nice to have the Commissioners' reports take so long because I remember days when they would say Commissioners' reports, everybody would look around and say no. And to have that much going on all around the state is both a blessing and a curse. It's a blessing because I love it, but as the Division being staffed to the Commission here, you're really running us ragged, and we love it. So we'll work all day just to support you guys when you're doing the kind of things that you're doing. So much appreciated by all of our staff.
- Speaking of enough staff in the program, I'll let Acting Chief Mowrey talk about those new staff.
- 20 ACTING CHIEF MOWREY: Good morning,
 21 Commissioners; Acting Chief, Maria Mowrey.
 - We do have two new employees in the Division, and we've had a few retirements over the past year.

 The first one is Garratt Aitchison, he's the new district superintendent at Ocotillo Wells. He began

his new assignment on February 8th. He does not have OHV experience, but he has already started, and he has started rebuilding that district down in the desert.

We also have a new interim district superintendent, Mike Fehling. Bob Williamson burned down his leave balances, so Mike started two weeks ago. That's basically it. Also, I wanted to mention that Claire LeFlore is here. She is our new chief counsel for the Department.

CHIEF JENKINS: Thank you. In both of those cases, both of those new superintendents are individuals I've worked with earlier in their careers, and two individuals that I have been trying to drag over to the OHV Division for the last eight years that I've been here. So finally we had a position that was appropriate to their career ladder, so I think we are very fortunate to get those two new superintendents.

Right now, of the four district superintendents in the OHV program, three of them have less than a year under their belts as new superintendents, which is in this case good timing because they're coming in, they're just getting their legs under them, they're going to have Deputy Director Conlin who is going to come in, and we're going to be able to form up into a fresh new team. So the timing could not be actually

more fortuitous on some of this.

1

2

3

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Very difficult to lose Bob Williamson, Kathy Dolinar, Andy Zilke. Those three individuals represent some of the most senior superintendents in the State Parks system, so it's a tremendous loss. I wish we had a more formal way to kind of congratulate some of those folks as they leave the service because each of them took their area of responsibility, their district, and just did amazing things in the last eight years. So that is very sad to see them go, but at some point it's time for the next thing, and they all decided it was that time. In all three cases, I believe they stayed well beyond their ideal date. Everybody has a date you plan to retire. Your formula is maxed out. Those individuals stayed much longer than that because they loved the work. It's nice to see new faces coming in, but it's really hard to see that kind of experience walking out.

AGENDA ITEM IV(B)(1)(i) - REPORTS - BUDGET

CHIEF JENKINS: Let me give you just a brief update on where we are with the budget. As most of you saw, on January 10th, the Governor's budget came out with, I think most importantly to the people in the audience, \$26 million identified in the Grants Cooperative and Agreement Program. That's very

1 refreshing given that last year, not because of the Administration but because of actions out of their 2 3 control, our grants program was reduced to \$10 million. The year before that it was \$21 million. So it's been 4 5 three years since we've had a \$26 million grants

\$26 million, as we've seen in the last couple of years with reducing grants program, the effects it's had on grantees at the city level, county level, federal government level, all of those folks that depend on those grants, it becomes very, very difficult when unexpectedly you start having those monies just disappear. Some of those grants are projects but much of that money goes to ongoing operations, so it can be crippling. So to have that level of support come back into the budget, \$26 million proposed, it's already made it through one subcommittee unchallenged over in the legislature, so we're beginning to see some support for that, it's very encouraging that we may have some new life in the budget process.

You'll have a grants report here a little bit later on, but we're still facing the difficulty of what we do with this year's kind of small budget of only \$10 million. So the money we were given in the current fiscal year we are about to finish out was \$10 million.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

program.

We're looking at ways to try to prop that up. We're exploring options. So our hope is we'll be able to get more money out in the field. But right now on the books that's what it is. It's \$10 million this year; \$26 million next year. We'll do the best we can to support as many operations out in the field as possible. Just so you know, that's the struggle that we're dealing with.

The rest of the budgets, we're in pretty much the same boat as the rest of the Department is. operations budget that we use to run the SVRAs, and the snow parks program, and the statewide law enforcement, statewide sound, statewide environmental compliance, all of the other programs that we run, we're still facing the \$5 million reduction that occurred two budgets ago when we lost the \$10 million out of the program.

The rest of the Department is in the same boat, so we're not alone there. It's something that we as an entire department are struggling with. That's why General Jackson was mentioning his efforts to try to get a more stable, better funding source for the That's for all of those programs in the Department. Department that are funded out of General Fund, as well as our program.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

One of the first places the General Jackson stopped when he came into the program was over in our shop. He came by, and we gave him the OHV 101 briefing that we give all of the new commissioners. For the new commissioners that haven't had it yet, we've got a little one-day college course for you. Aaron and the General both got that same course when they came and are fully aware of where we are with our budget struggles.

Maria, am I missing anything on the budget that should be mentioned?

AGENDA ITEM IV(B)(1)(ii) - REPORTS - RECREATIONAL

TRAILS PROGRAM

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHIEF JENKINS: There is one piece of the budget that has raised some alarm. There is a section in your binders. If you go back to Tab 3, has the budget chart in it, behind that is all of the background information on the budget. Towards the back of the kind of formal column parts to the budget, there is an addendum back there on the transportation, the Governor's Budget Summary Transportation. To find that, go to the second page, 99, is the page number, there's an innocent little piece in there that actually has some pretty interesting implications.

It's under the section of that report called

Department of Transportation, subheading, "Significant Adjustments", sub, subheading, "Active Transportation Program." In that section of the Active Transportation Program, what is being proposed by the Administration is to take many of the transportation grants that used to be administered by a variety of entities and consolidate those into one streamline. This is all part of the streamlining government operations to make things more efficient, streamlining those programs under one roof, in this case Caltrans would be running

those programs.

The way it affects potentially our program, the interests of the OHV community, is this will take the Recreational Trails Program, RTP grants, and put them under this consolidated grant application process.

That would be managed by the Department of Transportation.

The reason that some folks have called us with concern, and I frankly don't have all of the answers on this and it's one of the things that we're trying to find out more about, but it's one of the things that's going to have to almost see how it rolls out and how it all happens in the end. They define active transportation in here as human powered, such as walking and bicycling transportation. How that affects

1 the history of having part of the Recreational Trails Program support motorized activities isn't really clear 2 at this point. 3

All we know is what was put out in the budget and that the overall goal is to streamline the program. And then towards the end of that little section, on page 100, top of the page, you'll see:

"The transportation program will streamline this process and fund high priority projects that, number one, reduce greenhouse gas emissions consistent with objectives of 728 --" That's one of those orders that came out about reducing

> "And, two, will provide for safety benefits."

I can see room in that kind of a description to fit some of our projects into that description. For instance, when we're promoting -- we'll hear a little bit later about an electric or a renewal energy off-highway park in San Jose. That would fit this description. Some of the work, some of the grants that we have out that promote safety, so some of the patrol and safety stuff that is done by some of the applicants, could potentially be put under this.

greenhouse gases,

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

So we need to do more investigation, need to find out where this is going, just bringing it to you as an informational item because we're receiving so many, many calls on this, you should at least hear that that's in there.

We have a chart in your binder at the beginning of that section right under Tab 4, that is that bubble chart with our typical annual budget in there. There are copies of it on the back table for those that are interested. As everybody looks at this, we made sure and put in really large font at the top, that's the Governor's proposed budget. What we've had in the past years, we will get this proposed budget and put it out, and people will lose track and come back years later and think that was the budget.

This is still the Governor's proposed budget.

It has a long ways to go before it becomes final, but this is our projection of how the money will be provided if this version of the budget were to be approved at the end of the day. I think that's really all I need to say about the budget. I'll move along. If you have any questions in the end, we will come back and address questions on all of the reports.

So I've covered budgets. I've covered the Recreational Trails Program.

1 Paul, did Pam make it? So at the end. 2 CHAIR SLAVIK: Let me introduce her. We have the distinct pleasure of having 3 Pam Gluck here, the executive director of American 4 5 Trails Coalition. I've known Pam for a long time. She lives here in Redding. She's a very busy lady. 6 7 They're getting ready to put on their national trails symposium in Tucson, Arizona next month. Come on up, 8 9 Pam, we would like to hear you talk about the 10 Recreational Trails Program, which I know is near and 11 dear to your heart. Come up to the podium, please. 12 PAM GLUCK: Thank you very much. Good morning, Chairman Slavik, so nice to see you again and 13 14 Commissioners and General, welcome. I look forward to 15 meeting you and look forward to working with you in the 16 future and to your dedicated staff, too. 17 I really appreciate the opportunity to be here 18 today, and thank you so much for talking about the 19 Recreational Trails Program, which is very, very 20 important to American Trails and to the trails 21 community not only in California but throughout the 22 nation.

American Trails is a national nonprofit organization, and we work for the benefit of all types of trail users, motorized, non-motorized. And the

23

24

1 Recreational Trails Program is a very important program

2 for trails throughout the country. So our national

3 headquarters is right here out of Redding, so thank you

for holding your meeting here. This is wonderful. So

5 thank you for inviting me as well, Chairman.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I also serve as the vice-chair of the Coalition for Recreational Trails. And if you're not familiar, I did provide a packet for each of you, as well. And the coalition has been around since '92 involved in protecting the Recreational Trails Program and growing it, and it's a coalition of over 30 organizations of which 16 of them are very specifically about motorized organizations, organizations that support motorized use. It's one of the greatest coalitions ever when it comes to trails because it

Well, we are extremely concerned, and thank you so much for bringing this up about the Governor's proposal to move the Recreational Trails Program to Caltrans. We completely respect what the Governor, we believe, is trying to do to meet the need to consolidate programs. We really respect that. We love what he's proposing, to have more money for active transportation. That's a part of what we do, as well. So we're very supportive of all of that.

brings everyone together, all types of trail uses.

believe that --

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Well, our understanding of what would have to happen in order for the program to go to Caltrans is that the Governor would essentially opt out of the He would either have to choose to keep the Rec Trails Program in Caltrans as it is, as a distinct program with a set amount of money, or he would need to choose to completely opt out of the program, and then those funds would just go into this larger pot of money that he is proposing under Caltrans and under his new Active Transportation Program.

So we think California State Parks has been a model in how this program has run. And, in fact, the Coalition for Recreational Trails awarded California State Parks an award in 2011 for best state trails program for running the Recreational Trails Program.

And for it to leave and to go to Caltrans, we've heard numbers like the 30 percent that goes to OHV, plus all of the other back country trails that are funded out of the Recreational Trails Program, mountain biking trails, equestrian trails, they would potentially be eligible under this new pot under Caltrans; however, you read the criteria there, and there's going to be tremendous competition for this. And our projection is that those projects are going to

get very little funding, if any. So we're talking about a 55 percent cut in protection for recreational trails that are not just active transportation oriented.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So speaking from American Trails and the Coalition for Recreational Trails, we're here to find out how we can help with this. We're kind of assuming that you want it to stay in California State Parks, as well. We're here. We're ready to help in any way possible because that's where it belongs.

DIRECTOR GENERAL JACKSON: What I'd say is although it's the Governor's budget -- and I work for him so I have to remember that as I speak here. But earlier I said show up, okay? And I really think that it is important for people who may be against a particular thing, when these Assembly subcommittees and committees meet, you should show up to those meetings and state your opinions. It does have influence. Thev are listening, and they're a willing audience. So I try to visit their offices, and sometimes they ask me for my opinion on things. But it's very important that you show up at those subcommittee meetings where they ask for public comments, to be there. So keep an eye on that schedule, and that's my number one recommendation, to show up.

1 PAM GLUCK: Thank you very much.

CHAIR SLAVIK: And we'll have public comment 2 after. I'm sure people will weigh in on that, and then 3 the Commissioners, if you can stay for a little bit

PAM GLUCK: Certainly, absolutely. Thank you very much for this opportunity.

AGENDA ITEM IV(B)(1)(iii) - REPORTS - OCEANO DUNES AIR

QUALITY

longer.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHIEF JENKINS: Thank you. Two more items that I knew you'd probably want to be updated on. One is where we are at Oceano Dunes with compliance with the rule regarding air quality out there. There's been a number of things, and I won't bore you by going through the details. If you have specific questions, I can address those at the end of the presentations.

But essentially what's been going on since the last time that we all met, we've been working with both the California Coastal Commission and the Air Pollution Control District, both the staff and the board members there in San Luis Obispo County, trying to continue as we move forward on addressing the goals and deadlines in the rule.

There were a number of deadlines at the beginning. When the rule was passed, State Parks raised concerns because they required us to get

permits, and we felt at the time that the timelines

required to get those permits and the time frames

outlined in the rule were not compatible. That it was

just by any foreseeable set of circumstances going to

be nearly impossible to meet those time frames to get

the required permits to meet the required rule in the

time frames they had out.

At this point, two of those required deadlines for us to receive permits for dust reduction measures and monitoring, et cetera, have lapsed, and so we are technically out of compliance at this point with the rule.

The Air Pollution Control Officer Larry Allen and I have been in discussions. We, of course, saw this coming before the date passed on both of those deadlines. He and his staff have been working with our State Parks staff and our consultants and our attorneys, quite frankly, as we try to sort through how we address that.

Where we are currently is we have received a letter from the Air Pollution Control District letting us know we're out of compliance, we've missed two of the rules. They're not currently charging us any fines. What they are doing is working with us so that

we can provide them a schedule of activities and deadlines of when we perceive that we can provide the necessary information to meet those deadlines that have passed.

So at this point we're very hopeful that we're going to be able to give them a schedule that is to their satisfaction. The next meeting of their board is the 27th. So next Wednesday I'll be talking to the board in San Luis Obispo. And I don't know that we'll have the compliance schedule completely outlined, all of the dates settled by that point, but we will certainly be able to report to them where we are, proposed schedule of compliance, and continue that conversation.

Part of the reason that we're not able to meet those deadlines is an ongoing discussion we've had with both Resources Agency, California Coastal Commission, the San Luis Obispo Board of Supervisors, all entities involved, Air Pollution Control Board, et cetera, about our need to put up temporary monitoring on the dunes to monitor the wind patterns so we can then use the information we've gathered to design a plan of how to control some of the dust emissions.

To date, I would say up until just a few months ago, nobody has been wanting to give us permission to

go out and put up temporary monitors. Even putting up temporary monitors has its own ramifications. There are some concerns that provides roosts for predatory birds. There are environmental complications. There were concerns that if we didn't put the monitors in the correct locations, we would end up with junk science that wouldn't really tell us anything.

So we've been working over the last year with the various entities that control -- we have to get permits from both the Air Pollution Control District to make sure our data that we collect is something that they can trust as good science, and with the California Coastal Commission on dealing with their rules about what is a temporary structure.

And so we are making progress on both fronts, and our hope is to get some mobile monitoring towers out at the dunes in the next couple of weeks if everything works correctly. So what that would be is a trailer-mounted ten-meter tower that would have wind speed, wind direction. Various scientific instruments would hang off of this tower. They're on a trailer, so they're temporary. We can move them into place, anchor them with guide lines, leave them up for part of the windy season either for several weeks or several months at the most, and then take them down and take them out;

truly temporary installations that shouldn't require a full-blown coastal permit to have up. That's the debate we're having.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

By the next time we meet, we should be able to tell you folks whether or not we were successful in getting them out there and hopefully report some of the data that we've collected. And that will point us toward where we need to spend our money to put preventative measures.

We've got 1600 acres out there to work in. Wе could spend \$2 million putting in vegetation for those artificial roughness with hay bales or wind fencing. We could spend \$2 million on that, not put it in the right place, and we've achieved nothing and wasted our money.

So our overall program is to ensure that when we spend that money, when we spend that resource, time, and effort, when we manipulate the environment out there, that we're not interfering with the snowy plover population, we're not interfering with ongoing recreation, and that we are doing something that makes a difference to the dust that's kicked up into the air by the wind coming off of the ocean. That's where we are on that. I imagine you'll have some questions when we finish the report here. I'll move on at this point.

AGENDA ITEM IV(B)(1)(iv) - REPORTS - CALIFORNIA

2 STATEWIDE MOTORIZED TRAIL

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The last item I just wanted to CHIEF JENKINS: touch on was the Statewide Motorized Trail. As we begin to look at both the Triennial Report, which we'll be talking about a little later on in the agenda and our own Division Annual Report, which we should have out soon, besides looking at what deadlines are coming up just around the corner, we are looking at what are the outlying deadlines, and what we're doing to prepare for them because some of them take a lot of ramp-up One of those is the Statewide Motorized Trail. time.

In just a moment I'm going to have Sylvia Milligan give us a little bit of background on that. The day I got my position as chief of the Division, I started hearing from Sylvia Milligan, and she gave me a sign that still sits in my office that is the old Statewide Motorized Trail sign. Statewide Motorized Trail, that's a program that's been here for a long We'll remember it's been in our legislation, in our California Code of Regulations section ever since I can remember going back into the '80s, that we would develop a Statewide Motorized Trail that would go from Mexico to Oregon, that would allow for somebody to go on an off-highway vehicle, was the original plan, from

border to border, so much like some of the hiking trails that do the same things but for motorized use.

We had as a Division, when I was in the Division back in the early '90s, been working on this. We were all very excited about it. We just couldn't wait to go out on our trip border to border. It ran into some difficulties in that there's a lot of sections where it's hard to find all of the interlinking pieces. And as we began to be better at figuring out where we need to do our environmental documentation, we realized that this would truly take one of those programmatic statewide EIR/NEPA because we'll be crossing federal line processes to accomplish.

So over the years, we've tried to start and stopped, tried to start and stopped and just haven't been able to make great progress. Sylvia Milligan has been my cheerleader, my goad all of this time to not let this fall off the page.

The strategic plan that we all reviewed, you approved as a Commission, calls for us to get the Statewide Motorized Trail in place by 2020. We've got a little time to work. We're going to need every bit of that if we hope to achieve that goal. There are places up and down the state that have pieces of the original envisioned Statewide Motorized Trail. We

1 can't really go out right now and fund work on the Statewide Motorized Trail because we would, in fact, be 2 piecemealing the project. 3

So what we need to do is begin to get a subcommittee of the Commission perhaps, get a task force from the Division, get some interested members of the community and ascertain how much interest is there still in the community, pursue this. It would be an expensive undertaking. How can we approach it technically to ensure that we have the correct environmental process, much like we did with the Statewide Motorized Snow EIR recently we had to do with this, and how do we secure the funding for it.

So, Sylvia, would you like to give your perspective of the Statewide Motorized Plan?

SYLVIA MILLIGAN: It's gotten to the point that every time I look at Phil, he knows what that means.

Anyway, we've been working on this for a long time. We already have the trail complete from the Oregon border down to the Sierra County line. And when I was at an SVAC meeting earlier this month, I met the new supervisor from Sierra County and mentioned to him, and he said I don't know anything about this trail. said you're going to.

We already have the line through Tahoe.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

with a guy that's a retired Forest Service traffic
engineer. He has done this. Let me tell you, he's
done an incredible job. And the commission just
recently funded Plumas National Forest to complete it
through their forest, and they're almost done. By this
summer that will be done. So we are connected all the

My management team that I work with, there are three retired Forest Service people, we're willing to go out -- and, in fact, Dick already has the trail planned. He knows exactly where it can go. All we need is the recognition and to be able to move forward and we're ready. But you know what, we need to do it real soon because Dick is not getting any younger, and he's an incredible man. So I would love to see this continue while you've got the people like myself, my organization, Recreation Outdoors Coalition, and my management team willing to go out there and do the legwork. Thank you.

CHIEF JENKINS: A final note on that, there has been some individuals recently that maybe -- John, help me out. Who did the jeep tour for the border recently?

JOHN STEWART: Good morning, Commissioners,

John Stewart, California Association of Four-Wheel

Drive Clubs. I believe the year was 2007. Chris

way to Sierra County.

- 1 Collard who is the editor of the Overland Field
- Magazine and Del Albright began a four-wheel drive jeep 2
- tour with the goal of sticking to dirt roads from 3
- starting at the Mexican border right outside of Yuma
- 5 and heading north to the Oregon border.
- As I recall they were only on pavement for maybe 6
- 30 or 40 miles throughout that direction. But the 7
- ability to have a border-to-border trail that is all on 8
- 9 dirt roads is there, and it is something that the
- 10 community would love to see. Thank you.
- CHIEF JENKINS: I believe you can still go 11
- online and read many of the blog posts from that 12
- journey. It was really a fascinating thing to read. 13
- 14 So the interest is there in some parts of the
- 15 community. If you all as a Commission have an interest
- 16 and want to set up a subcommittee, I would love to
- 17 become personally involved in that and bring some folks
- 18 together and just test the waters and see if we can do
- 19 that, see if we can set up something so when
- 20 individuals want to do that, there is a managed legal
- 21 way to do it. We don't have to worry about intruding
- 22 on other non-motorized trails. We can do this
- 23 responsibly as a community and yet provide a great
- 24 opportunity. That concludes my report.
- 25 CHAIR SLAVIK: We need a break.

```
1
     (Returned at 10:43 from a break starting at 10:29.)
            CHAIR SLAVIK: I kind of jumped ahead of the
2
     agenda. We need to finish the legislation report and
3
4
     general plans. We're all the way down to Item No. 7,
5
     Proposed Eastern Kern County Acquisition. So what I
6
     think we're going to do at this point is we're going to
     let the public speak about the agenda items that we
7
     already talked about so we don't get lost in this
8
9
     process. Are we going to do legislation next, and then
10
     we're going to let them talk now or eleven o'clock do
11
     the public?
12
            CHIEF JENKINS: Chair, I think we have time to
13
     give the legislation report very quickly. So you could
14
     either begin public comments on the elements of the
15
     Chief's report we've already completed.
16
            CHAIR SLAVIK: Up to the legislation report.
17
            CHIEF JENKINS: Which might take the next
18
     15 minutes, which would put us right at eleven o'clock
19
     for the agenda public comment.
20
            I would suggest we take the comments on the
21
     Chief's report already given and collect public
22
     comments on that.
23
            CHAIR SLAVIK: And then do legislation reports
24
     after the public comment.
```

CHIEF JENKINS: I think it's going to take 15

- 1 | minutes to walk through stuff we've already reported
- 2 on, and that would put us at the public comment at
- 3 11:00.

- 4 AGENDA ITEM PUBLIC COMMENT ON AGENDA ITEMS I, II,
- 5 | III, IV(A), IV(B)(1)(i-iv)

CHAIR SLAVIK: So we're going to do public comment on the agenda items that have already gone.

So at this point anybody that's filled out a green public comment card has an opportunity to comment on the agenda items up to this point that we've talked about. If somebody has not filled out one of these cards, this is not an absolute necessity. It's basically for our information so we have the correct spelling of your name and stuff, you still have the ability to get up here and speak your piece.

What I'm going to do is call people's names.

Please come up and stage or queue, as they say, at the podium, you have three minutes to talk.

NICK HARIS: Good morning, Commissioners,

General Jackson, Chief Jenkins. It was a great tour

yesterday, first of all, and I was really happy to get

to participate because I had never been out there.

I do not have as good a list as Commissioner

Perez. Just touching on the RTP, we did send a letter

to the Governor. We sent copies to Commissioner

1 Slavik, General Jackson, Chief Jenkins, as well as the incoming deputy director, outlining some of our 2

concerns with the potential plans.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'm sorry, Nick Haris, American Motorcyclist Association.

So the letter has either been received or should be received in the next few days. It was sent from your D.C. office. I won't try to repeat what Pam has said, but we share a lot of the same concerns that was shared on a conference call two weeks ago.

Realize that a lot of us are looking at RTP and this potential withdrawal from California participation as a bad sign that a lot of other states may follow. And realizing in California this is an important budget item, but it's not necessarily a large enough of a budget item. But in some states, the RTP program is literally the off-highway vehicle program along with the non-motorized component.

So we are concerned with the precedent that it sets as well as just the -- you know, what they're going to do in the future. And I have talked to the Christopher Douwes, who runs the program from the federal level, as well as Kelly Long on a number of occasions just to get a better feel for what's going I wish we knew why the Governor was indicating

this was in his plan.

I won't touch on anything else yet because we haven't got to the agenda item. I did like the Oceano Dunes information a lot. It was very useful. I remember the tour that we did, and a lot of things going on there. It's pretty incredible to hear all of the hoops you have to jump through to put up a temporary monitoring station to follow the rules that you're being asked to follow. I applaud your efforts in that area, so thank you.

AMY GRANAT: I'll start when I'm walking, and I'll make mine really quick. Amy Granat, California Association of 4-Wheel Drive Clubs -- sorry, that was the old one; California Off-Road Vehicle Association. You get confused.

Congratulations, the new Commissioners, and welcome the general and Aaron, it's great to have everybody here. I'm one of the OHV representatives on the Recreational Trail Program. And in terms of proportion, the RTP program represents for the Governor a minuscule amount of money, but in terms of what it gives back to the people in the current incarnation is huge. There is no proportion. If we can look at what they would be gaining, a really small amount of money just to salve much bigger issues, but what we would be

- 1 | losing is huge. So I think it's really important for
- 2 | the Commission to come out, also. We will all be
- 3 | sending letters to the Governor really stating that the
- 4 | RTP program is very important to all Californians
- 5 because of what it gives us.
- 6 And the job that Kelly in California State Parks
- 7 does in deciding where the money goes is really
- 8 | incredible, and it's been a pleasure to serve on that
- 9 committee.
- 10 And I just want to thank whatever influence
- 11 | anyone had with the Governor for putting the
- 12 | \$26 million back in the budget. It's appreciated more
- than anybody probably can say, so thank you all.
- 14 PAM GLUCK: Thank you very much. I thought I
- 15 | had three minutes earlier. So Pam Gluck with American
- 16 | Trails. And so I'll just add a couple of more things
- 17 | about the Recreational Trails Program if I may.
- 18 This is such an important program, and it is a
- 19 user pay, user benefit program and especially the OHV
- 20 | community. I mean the funds for the whole Recreational
- 21 | Trails Program come from the taxes from off-highway
- 22 | fuel use purchase. And so if the fund goes into
- 23 | Caltrans and there is no longer an RTP program, that
- 24 | means that all of the gas that you are using that are
- 25 | paying your taxes, you are no longer going to be

receiving benefit from that ultimately, unless something major changes in the way the program gets run through Caltrans, and they decide to keep it as a distinct program. So this is of major concern to us that this program, that we really could lose this program, and that the OHV community and the back

country folks could lose funding.

And this funding is -- I mean there are so many projects waiting to be funded, and there's just not enough money here in California and throughout the whole country. So as Mr. Haris said, for California to opt out of the program is like setting a precedent for this to happen throughout the country. So this is a really, really bad thing.

And funding through this program is unusual because it allows for funding for maintenance, which we know is so important, and so we would lose that. would lose the funding for the State Trail Advisory Committee. This program more than any other started in '91 has brought the trails community together throughout the country to get everyone to sit down at the table, motorized, non-motorized and to work together so that we've had great trails throughout the country. It's just -- it will be devastating if this program leaves State Parks. So thank you very much.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 CHAIR SLAVIK: Pam, just to hold a second. Would you be specific on where these taxes come from 2 just so that people here can understand that. 3 PAM GLUCK: Yes. Every time you put gas in your 5 snowmobile, your ATV, your jeep, yes, any of the motorized off-highway uses, every time you put a 6

dollar's worth of gasoline in it, the tax you pay on that --8

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIR SLAVIK: The federal tax.

PAM GLUCK: -- the federal tax goes into the Highway Trust Fund. And it is based on -- this whole program was based on the user pay, user benefit. you pay in, and you should get a benefit back from it. And that's what will essentially go away.

> CHAIR SLAVIK: Thank you.

JIM BRAMHAM: Good morning, Jim Bramham, California Association of 4-Wheel Drive Clubs. a former commissioner, I'm very pleased to see all of you up on the front there. I'm glad it's you, and so welcome. I look forward to working with all of you as we move forward.

Specifically when I was on the commission, my pet project was the Oceano Dunes SVRA. I put in a tremendous amount of time working on that. happy to see that we not only, one, still have that

1 park to take my grandchildren to, but secondly that it is such a focus of the Division and now to a 2 Commissioner. And I ask the Commission to take that 3 special effort to make sure that facility remains open

It has been so many issues over so much time, and we've ended up fighting this so much more on the global level than the local level. So I'm so glad to see that there is a local person there to make local contact with the folks within the county area and the county leadership. So I want to thank the Commission for that and look forward to working with you on the Oceano issues at any time. Thank you.

JOHN STEWART: Good morning, Commissioners, John Stewart, California Association of 4-Wheel Drive Clubs.

I'm just going to make a couple of comments on the RTP grants program and the California Statewide Motorized Trail. Ouite a bit has been said about the importance of the RTP and I would like to add one particular item, though, is that the RTP grants are more than just a trail work or trail maintenance. They also provide trailhead and restroom facilities at various places along the trails. So there is a broader scope to it than just what the program implies.

And my request from the Division if you could

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and for public use.

make available kind of a snapshot of what the grants
are and have been over the past, that might help
develop some talking points that would just help to
fund the uniqueness and importance of the RTP program

to the recreation program community.

With the discussion about the California

Statewide Motorized Trail, when it was started, the

long distance trips were very popular then. Those fell

into just took kind of a back seat to shorter,

different type of change in recreation.

But in the past couple of years, there has been a noted change and shift in the type of recreation that people are pursuing, and this is under the concept they now call overlanding. In other words, people are looking for long distance trips on dirt roads just to get off the asphalt and looking for the dispersed camping opportunities that can be provided.

Following through and creating or finalizing the California State Motorized Trail would go a long ways to addressing that growing segment of the marketplace that is looking for the dirt road experience, the back roads away from the congestion of the blacktop and provide more opportunity for dispersed camping either in small groups or individuals. So this is something that is very important to the recreation community and

should be pursued. Thank you.

DON AMADOR: Don Amador, western representative for the Blue Ribbon Coalition.

As a former commissioner from '94 to 2000, I just wanted to welcome the new commissioners up there and General Jackson to this great program. Blue Ribbon is a member of the Coalition for Recreation Trails and certainly we share concerns about opting out of the Recreational Trails Program; think it's a bad idea.

And then also as one of my pet projects in the 1990s was the California Back Country Discovery Trail Systems. So I'm really glad to see it coming back up and that you're looking at getting more engaged in that program. And just a quick story, before my dad died in March of 1998 from cancer -- he was a World War II vet -- his last outdoor activity in the fall is I took him on the back country discovery trail segment on the Mendocino, which is an alternate route that goes up to the Mendocino Six Rivers National Forest, and that was his last outdoor adventure. So I really want to be part of that, include me in on that, and look forward to getting that back up and running. Thank you.

CHAIR SLAVIK: Thank you. Does anybody have any comments concerning the preceding agenda items that haven't spoken? All right. We'll close that portion

1 and move on to the legislation.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHIEF JENKINS: Actually, I think since we're right at eleven o'clock, we can move right into the non-agenda public comments.

AGENDA ITEM - PUBLIC COMMENT ON NON-AGENDA ITEMS

RANDY JORDAN: My time is running already. You count the time when we walk up?

CHAIR SLAVIK: We can start it again.

RANDY JORDAN: I'm Randy Jordan, Sun Buggies Fun Rentals. We're one of the OHV vendors at Oceano. And basically I want to thank you guys for letting me speak today.

I've given you a summary of my concerns at the Oceano site for vendor-related safety only. I want to first say that I am a huge proponent of OHV. I think it's one of the best things we have of instilling family values today.

We've been there for ten years. Currently, the park, my guess is, generates about \$400,000 a year in user fees through the vendors. And basically what I'm presenting to you today is information that I sent in 2011 before the contract that is currently being administrated was put out and what the changes have been relative to safety as it is to the tourists who rent the vehicles.

My concern was then that the new contract was proposing the use of vehicles that had not been used in the park before, which have a track record of being challenging to operate. ROVs were introduced that have a placard right on the dash that says: If you use it on anything greater than 15 degree, severe injury or death may occur. And Oceano is 30 degrees on the back side of every dune. The general public comes in, rents them, they think they're able to operate this here and available, and then get hurt. And it's not good for anybody.

My concern is where is the tolerance level with those vehicles, the two-seat ATVs and adolescent ATVs and ROVs where we're going to say that's enough people hurt per rental. That's what we're seeing is an annual, calculable, reoccurring number of injuries and nothing being done to reduce it. No changes in the program, and that's my concern. It's going to be a degenerative effect on the whole program if it's not a reflection on the park if we don't get that under control. We in ten years in our Sun Buggies have never had an adolescent injury, and we are the only vendor who has ever put out zero injury years in the last ten years, and we provide general liability insurance and have since the day we got there, and it's still up in

the air whether or not that exists with everybody else.

So my concern is I would like to see the new contract which has language in it to increase safety and better safety. And I would like to see that enforced, to see safety increase rather than the status quo. My prayer is that, you know, with the changing of the guards, we're going to have a changing of the tides. I want to see a change in that.

Is anybody aware of who Chris Meadow is?

Chris Meadow was a volunteer safety person out there at the dunes who lost his life on ATV going out on a call, injury call. And what we're seeing is injuries basically from the same conditions that cost him his life. And we're just letting it reoccur. We need to figure out a way to monitor the system, change the system to reduce those injuries.

We have virtually -- we have zero injury seasons one right after the other. This is the first year we've been allowed to rent ATVs. We've had our first ambulatory injury this year on an ATV, and that's my concern. I want to try and develop systems to reduce those injuries with all of the vendors, so it doesn't affect the whole park.

CHAIR SLAVIK: Your time is up, Randy. I thank you.

1 AMY GRANAT: Amy Granat, California Off-Road 2 Vehicle Association. And I'm very pleased to report 3 the California Off-Road Vehicle Association Off-Roader of the Year Award to Phil Jenkins on behalf of all of 5 the work he's done. 6 (Audience standing ovation.) 7 AMY GRANAT: I don't want to scare you, you get to keep the plaque. So if you'd like, you can have the 8 9 trophy for a couple of months, show your best friends, 10 take it home, use it as a paperweight. And I would like to read a letter from our 11 president, Jim Woods. 12 "This is the George Thomas 13 14 Memorial Trophy for Off-Roader of the 15 Year presented to Phil Jenkins. It 16 is with great pleasure to recognize Phil Jenkins as the winner of our 17 18 perpetual George Thomas Memorial Trophy for Off-Roader of the Year. 19 20 You were nominated for this award and by vote of the CORVA board of 21 22 directors at our annual meeting chosen to receive this honor. Your 23

work on behalf of all users of

recreational areas is to be

24

1	commended. About this award, this
2	trophy was sponsored by Crimly Desert
3	Casters and presented to the one
4	individual each year whose
5	contributions to the betterment of
6	off-roading during the preceding year
7	are worthy of this very special
8	recognition. This trophy has been
9	ongoing since 1972 and named after
LO	George Thomas, who helped create the
L1	job you hold today. You now join a
L2	group of dedicated people that have
L3	led the way in OHV recreation.
L4	Thanks again for your hard work and
L5	dedication to the sport of
L6	off-roading and the time and effort
L7	to keep our sport recognized.
L8	Sincerely, Jim Woods, President of
L9	CORVA."
20	(Audience applause.)
21	CHIEF JENKINS: Wow, it's not often I get
22	surprised. I'm surprised, not expecting this today. I
23	know the plaque is a relief. I was afraid I was going
24	to have to ask the general for a bigger office.
25	But just let me say to everyone it has been a

1 fantastic -- I can't even talk. This has been the best eight years of my life, and I'm not going anywhere, and 2 I look forward to working with Chris, and we're going 3 to keep doing this kind of stuff, and we are going to 5 make Chris the kind of deputy director perhaps some day he's going to deserve this reward. So I look forward 6 7 to the next eight years working with everybody. Let's

(Audience applause.)

keep this all going. Thank you.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIR SLAVIK: Would you thank Jim Woods and the board of directors for being so obviously congratulatory to a man that's worked really hard and given so much to OHV.

JIM BRAMHAM: Jim Bramham. At the fear of jumping ahead, I just wanted to bring word from the California Association of 4-Wheel Drive Clubs and the American Sand Association in how much we appreciate Tom Bernardo's work as we work through legislation that has to do with the side-by-side vehicles, in particular, SB-234 that will be heard soon at the transportation committee. Tom has just been a great resource for us trying to help folks not only within State Parks but with all of the federal agencies to understand the regulations that have been placed and the ones that make change moving forward for the

operation of side-by-side vehicles. Thank you.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

JOHN STEWART: Good morning, John Stewart, California Association of 4-Wheel Drive Clubs. behalf of the California Association of 4-Wheel Drive Clubs, I'd like to welcome General Jackson and the new commissioners to the fold here for working forward with the recreation. And in the conversations with the general, he's indicated that he's all for recreation and for multiple stakeholders or multiple groups getting together and coming together. We applaud that and believe that's a rationale and a good approach. Wе look forward to seeing recreation put back into California Department of Parks and Recreation.

Along with that, I would like to draw the attention to, as you have Boating and Waterways, there is a small state park down in the southeastern corner of the state called Paso Muchaco. Paso Muchaco sits in a unique spot right along the Colorado River, and it combines all forms of recreation possible. adjacent to BLM's lands which is open for recreation. It is an intermix. There is also wilderness areas in there, but there's also a four-wheel drive route that goes up the river. I would invite you to come down and take a look at that because that's a beautiful scenic place. And it also incorporates small camping spots

for the boating public. So it is something that does meet what you're looking for. It's all facets of recreation, and this is something that things like this we would like to see the opportunities for recreation expanded where you have a mix of land use, land management going from one agency, whether it be the State Parks to BLM or OHV into State Parks, such as in the Ocotillo Wells area, and look at the possibility of at least opening some of those forests back up so that we can have a better recreational opportunity and a better opportunity for all members of the public to move throughout without being impeded by unnecessary science. So thank you. We look forward to working together for the recreation. So thank you.

DON AMADOR: Don Amador, western representative for the Blue Ribbon Coalition. I just wanted to comment I don't know how many took the tour yesterday, but I wanted to compliment the staff and everybody, see BLM leadership here on probably one of the best tours I've been on since I've been doing this since 1994. I thought it was a great experience.

I'm glad Pam is here. Some of you may remember,
I know Paul does, the 2000 American Trails Conference,
national conference we had in Redding. And after
yesterday's tour, clearly observing what now has become

a showcase OHV area, I would like to just throw it out on the table that American Trails consider having another conference back here. It's been, what, 13 years. So I just wanted to throw that out there. It's easy for us to come to. BLM has done a great job, and look forward to doing a lot of good stuff over the next few years with the new leadership team here and

everything. Thank you.

SYLVIA MILLIGAN: With all of the accolades that went in front of me, they kept me from having to use up all of my three minutes, but I feel the same way, but everybody, including Pam, if you have the next symposium here, I'll help.

This is a little away from it, but I wanted to share something with you. In the 1990s while snowmobiling one day, we were at a resort up here in Tehama County, and somebody -- we were talking about, gosh, wouldn't it be fun to be able to snowmobile all the way around Lassen Volcanic National Park. So I'm going -- they start putting these thoughts in my mind.

The next thing, I'm involved over my head. And I'm saying, yes, but let's don't just have it for snowmobiles. Let's make this trail if we can ever get it done for everybody. Let's share the dream.

So I started walking myself all the way around

this mountain to find where can we connect. Most of the trails, 95 to 97 percent of the route is already in, it's existing. The only three percent is just marginal, nothing to be done to really put it in except a few things. I've had Division come up. The support they've given me on this has been tremendous. They looked at the parts that needed to be completed and said we can do that.

Well, we went to the Forest Service, and they were just wonderful. They dedicated the trail to everybody but the mixed-use people, the off-road people. And we're going now, wait a minute, this trail is supposed to be for everybody.

Well, that's when we found out when we proposed this trail route, we've got an engineering report that's been done, we've done the traffic study, you name it, everything has been done. It is a stellar project. So then they kind of drug their feet on the mixed use, and come to find out they knew at that time that it was the intention of the Forest Service to close the ML-3 and four roads. And we had been using them for years. We went everywhere in the forest.

So it's been a good five years since I started my little quest. It's actually now been longer than that. Okay. And that's the stumbling block. We will

- 1 get those roads open. So I went back to D.C. in 2008,
- 2 | and I talked to Radanovich, McClintock, Herger and
- 3 | Lungren, and explained what was going on. And that
- 4 started that letter in April of 2008 to the Forest
- 5 | Service about the Travel Management Plan.
- I started working with my counties, and I said
- 7 one of the reasons that they say we cannot have this
- 8 | trail is because there's not mixed use allowed on the
- 9 county roads. They said we're here to -- I forgot the
- 10 | word -- serve the public. They said our roads are
- 11 open. You can now count on our roads being opened.
- 12 So we have finally through this process of
- 13 | working together and doing it in a very calm way, we
- 14 have the roads in Shasta County that are in the
- 15 | Shasta/Trinity National Forest has been reduced from
- 16 | ML-3s and fours to ML-2Ms to support mixed use. We now
- 17 | have the five PWBs that totally surround Lassen
- 18 | National Forest. Our meeting with Jerry Bird, the
- 19 | supervisor of the Lassen, and we've heard via
- 20 | grapevine -- I have a lot of inside friends.
- 21 CHAIR SLAVIK: Sylvia, excuse me, your time is
- 22 | running out so if you could summarize.
- 23 SYLVIA MILLIGAN: Okay. I'll be real short.
- 24 We have found out that we're going to be getting
- 25 | probably -- it's looking very good that we're going to

get those roads. So we intend to move forward now with this dream.

And what I wanted to suggest real quickly, I know I'm over time, would this Commission entertain an idea of perhaps sending a letter to the Shasta/Trinity National Forest thanking them for their movement forward and stating maybe that if they are in need of funds to remember that the state OHV program is here?

CHAIR SLAVIK: We can try.

SYLVIA MILLIGAN: Okay. Think about it. Thank you.

CHAIR SLAVIK: I asked Rick to come and explain -- or I should say, kind of lay out the Yosemite National Forest OHV program. And the reason I want to give you a little bit more time, Rick, because we had a Commission tour there two-and-a-half years ago, I believe. None of these commissioners now, as you can see, were on that tour. You weren't there either. So we spent quite a bit of resources to get everybody up there and spend a whole day on this tour. Now all of this institutional memory is gone.

So I would like Rick just to give us a little bit of snapshot on what it's like on the San Bernardino, what he's dealing with, how many hours the volunteers contribute, you know, a little bit of that.

Not too long, Rick.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

RICK LAVELLO: Will do. First off, I want to thank you, Paul, Commissioner Chair Slavik, for attending our 20th-year anniversary on Thursday night. We just became 20 years old. We started out in '93 as the San Bernardino National Forest Association. recently we're just going through a name change. are now becoming the Southern California Mountains Foundation. It's because the OHV program was one of six programs, and the other programs were kind of having problems getting funding because people were confusing them with the Forest Service, so funders didn't really want to give funding to the government.

Basically, the OHV program, the off-highway vehicle education safety program is basically an education safety outreach program. And we're definitely dependent on the funding that comes from the cooperative grants agreement and the RTP funding. when the Governor takes that money away or the money gets less, it kind of -- we get worried whether or not we're going to be able to fund our projects.

Basically, the education safety program, that's our project, is recognized as one of the largest support groups in the nation in cooperative spirit with the Forest Service. We have approximately 30,000 hours

1 a year by a consistent base of 200 volunteers, which equates to about \$700,000 in labor to the San 2 Bernardino National Forest. 3

On the San Bernardino National Forest, we have approximately 900 miles of dirt roads that are available for off-highway vehicle use, and out of that 900 roads, 170 of them are green sticker, available for green sticker use. And my volunteers help the Forest Service in educating the public, and we do that through a variety of different ways. We go out and we set up kiosks and staging areas, and we hand out educational materials. We go to local dealers, OHV dealerships, and give them materials to hand out to the public so that they're not just saying, here, you can -- here, buy this OHV, you can go riding anywhere.

Like Paul was talking about, the forest was actually being loved to death. The Baldy Mesa area, as much as we try to keep it -- it's constantly being overran by unauthorized use. So basically we go out there, and we basically educate the public on that. And typically a volunteer spends his weekends patrolling about 900 miles of dirt roads and trails. And, let's see, interacting as safety education ambassadors, last year we made -- on a typical year, we actually make well over 20,000 contacts per year.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 year we disbursed more than 400 illegal campfire rings.

2 We removed over two tons of trash from the forest, and

3 | we cleared over 800 roads. A lot of time trees fall

4 down, stuff obstruct the roads, and all of a sudden the

5 public decides they want to go around it and they cause

6 resource damage. So in order to repair the resource

7 damage, we go out there and clear the stuff.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Also, we print maps with the Forest Service, and we hand those maps out. And the green sticker roads are in dark green so they're labeled so they'll easily refer to let you know what's green sticker and what's street legal use only.

And basically that's about it. Again we're very dependent on the funding that comes from the Cooperative Grants Agreement and the RTP funding. So when that funding starts to go away, we get worried about how we're going to fund our projects.

Oh, we just started working with the Forest Service, and we also do -- we're doing a restoration project now. And this last grants cooperative agreement, the 2012, 2010, 2011 or '11/'12, we just started the restoration project. What we do is we use Urban Conservation Corps and Americorps members, and they go out and actually do the restoration work. And then the OHV volunteers come back. What they do is

they monitor the sites once they've been treated, and we've just got equipment and stuff like that, so we're going to photograph and GPS -- locations are already GPSed, but we're going to go back and photograph them and monitor them on a regular basis, and that's about it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIR SLAVIK: Rick, would you just tell us what the rest of the programs are besides OHV real quick?

RICK LAVELLO: The other programs, we've got the OHV volunteer program. We have the fire lookouts, which were the first two that actually started the SBNFA. We have the Big Bear Discovery Center, which is the discovery center in Big Bear, Big Bear Lake for the visitors. We also have a children's forest, which is a forest which is dedicated with a visitor center that's ran by children, ages seven to 14, and it's for children, and they've got a couple of trails there. Also, we have Forest Care which is another program that works with the Forest Service and forester, and they work with the people that actually live in -- we have a lot of urban interface in San Bernardino. You get a lot of mountains, a lot of the forests don't have the same urban interface aside from having Orange County, Riverside, LA County with all of the people there coming up to recreate, we have people that live in

- 1 | Crestline and Arrowhead, and they think the forest is
- 2 | their backyard. Anyway, it is kind of their backyard.
- 3 What they do is they work with the landowners to thin
- 4 | the forest because a thinner forest is a healthier
- 5 forest.
- 6 And we also have Music in the Mountains thing
- 7 | where people, the locals basically come up, and we
- 8 usually hold anywhere from three to five concerts a
- 9 year. And Big Bear Discovery Center, where there is an
- 10 | amphitheater, and it's kind of neat, music under the
- 11 stars.
- 12 CHAIR SLAVIK: Thank you.
- 13 CHIEF JENKINS: Let me add to that. We've done
- 14 | a lot of tours in the last eight years, and that is one
- of the tours that really stands out in my mind, on par
- 16 with what we saw yesterday, actually. So great job.
- 17 CHAIR SLAVIK: So where are we here?
- 18 | Legislation. Are we ready for Tina now?

19 AGENDA ITEM IV(B)(2) - REPORTS - LEGISLATION

- 20 OHV SUPT. WILLIAMS: Hello, Commissioners, my
- 21 | name is Tina Williams. I am the Division
- 22 | Superintendent for Public Relations and Communications
- 23 | for California State Parks.
- 24 Before I begin, I'd like to ask Tom Bernardo to
- 25 | come up and stand with me. Someone mentioned earlier

1 that Tom is really that person with the boots on the ground that works with enthusiasts and associations and 2 really the legislative aides. Just like the Commission 3 is transforming and a lot of leadership, we also have 5 staff members are transforming, so I would like to ask

There's at least ten bills that are outlined behind Tab No. 4 in your binders. And in the interest of time, we're going to outline some of the ones that really stand out and affect the legislative program for OHV.

I'd like to start with chapter legislation 1595 and 1266, and I'm going to ask Tom to do a little bit of explaining of how those came to light. Tom.

Tom Bernardo, Division OHV STAFF BERNARDO: staff. I want to give a little bit of history on the ROVs because some of the Commissioners may not be aware of that particular history.

ROVs, side-by-side vehicles are extremely possible, best selling vehicles now in the state in terms of off-highway vehicles. The manufacturers have gone to a recreational type of vehicle. Originally, they were utility type of vehicles, farm vehicles. Now, they design recreational specific side-by-side vehicles.

Tom to come up.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Their association last year got a bill passed, Assembly Bill 1595, that put a definition in the Vehicle Code of what a recreational off-highway vehicle is, and it also provided some safety-related laws in the Vehicle Code, including seat belt use, helmet use, what they call rider fit for the seat, seating positions.

And also the most controversial section that was passed had to do with aftermarket seats in the vehicles. It's very popular for people who own these vehicles to add seats in the rear of these vehicles in a location not provided by the manufacturers. manufacturers are concerned about that practice, so part of the law that they passed prohibited people from sitting in those seats.

That law was to go into effect January 1st of this year. Once the law came out, a lot of OHV community, thousands of people who have put these seats in the back of their ROVs have been recreating safely and legally for years, and as of January 1st that would have been illegal.

So they found a legislator, and Assembly Bill 1266 was passed. 1266 delayed the implementation of the aftermarket state law until July 1st of this year, and also made a minor change in the rider fit section

1

2

3

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 that previously said the person had to have their feet flat on the floor. That was taken out. 2 So someone who didn't have feet or legs or someone with short stature 3 could fit into the vehicle legally now.

There are three bills pending, and Tina is going to go into those. Again, all 1266 did was delay the implementation of the aftermarket seat law. That's not a fix. It's just a delay. So the three laws that Tina is going to talk about address some fixes to current problems.

And, again, the OHV community leadership has been very vocal and involved in this current legislation process, so hopefully that will get fixed soon.

Okay. Specifically OHV SUPT. WILLIAMS: Assembly Bill 64 sponsored and authored by Donnelly, it really addresses children wearing a helmet while they're secured in a child safety seat, and it also addresses the model year of which these things would go into effect. And I believe the model year that is discussed in the Donnelly bill is January 1st, 2014.

Moving on to Senate Bill 234, which is authored by Senator Walters, they go back to the model year of 2013, and they specifically start to address having the passenger hold onto a grasp section. They want to

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

eliminate that.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

All of these bills, by the way, have the number four in them. So if I'm confusing you, please go to the back, behind Tab No. 4, coincidentally.

Assembly Bill sponsored by Fuller addresses the dates of which these bills were to go into effect. that pushes the dates back to January 1st, 2015.

We're also watching a couple of other bills that are coming to light. One of those is Assembly Bill 988, and that really addresses the activities of distributors, manufacturers of ROVs. This is going to require those manufacturers and dealers to subscribe to or present an application to the Department of Motor Vehicles. They'll also have to put up a bond. They'll also be required to do renewal fees every year. So that one is out there.

There is another one that's out there, Senate Bill 151, which we're watching very closely. You know Tom, and there are a lot of others in the Division who are in contact with people who've sponsored bills, and one came up recently, and it had everything to do with the Department of Motor Vehicles issuing a sticker in lieu of the license plate. And a lot of people say, hey, that sounds like the green sticker program. our intelligence so far hasn't revealed that this would 1 repeal the green sticker program, but again we're really, really watching that. 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The last two bills that I want to talk about have everything to do with veterans of war. department currently has a pass program, a distinguished veterans pass program for which applicants can apply for. One of the bills which would allow for a recipient of a Purple Heart to be included into that pass program. The language of who the recipient of the Purple Heart has not been included into that language.

And the last one that's going through in the pass program includes allowing for veterans of war, along with active duty personnel, to be able to apply for a pass and be eligible for waiver of fees into a state park on Memorial Day and Veterans Day.

That concludes my report unless there are questions.

CHIEF JENKINS: Hold questions for later.

I know there is a whole parcel of those that had to do with the ROV legislation. To summarize, there are nuances in each of those, but essentially what's happening is the seats and the expanded roll cages particular to those seats were legal to install. A lot of people spent money, installed those legally under

```
1
     existing law. The law that was passed last year was
     retroactive. So even if you installed it at the time
2
     it was legal to install, you would have to take it out.
3
     So what a lot of these three new laws have in common,
5
     they're looking at grandfathering in so that vehicles
     that were modified legally at the time wouldn't be
6
     affected. Moving forward that wouldn't be legal to do.
7
            CHAIR SLAVIK: Okay. We're approaching
9
     lunchtime, and the Director has not been able to hear
10
     the Commissioners' comment on preceding agenda items.
     And then I know, Director, you anticipate leaving
11
     around lunchtime, so I'd like you to see the King of
12
13
     the Hammers presentation or hear that presentation and
14
     see the video before you go. And I'd also like you to
15
     hear the comments from the Commissioners on the agenda
16
     items that we've already experienced. So can we kind
17
     follow that, and then go beyond that? We can finish
     the agenda items after lunch. I think, Debbie, can you
18
19
     reset your visuals over there?
20
            CHIEF JENKINS: We can certainly accommodate
21
     that, Chair.
22
            CHAIR SLAVIK: So will that work for you,
23
     General?
```

24 DIRECTOR GENERAL JACKSON: Yes.

25

AGENDA ITEM IV(B)(5)(i) - PUBLIC SAFETY, KING OF THE

HAMMERS

2 CHIEF JENKINS: So Tina and Brian.

OHV SUPT. WILLIAMS: I think we're going to start with the video first. Tina Williams, superintendent for public relations and communications.

CHAIR SLAVIK: This is in Johnson Valley.

(Viewed video.)

CHAIR SLAVIK: Tina, before you get started, let me just do a little intro on this thing.

We weren't really necessarily interested in showing you guys the exciting racing, although everybody likes to see the races. The real thing we wanted to show was the crowd control and the cooperative arrangements that the promoters had with the BLM. Before we got to this point, there was a big accident you may have heard about out in Johnson Valley several years ago, and it caused quite a stir in the OHV community as far as crowd control, liability, and things like that.

So here is our safety folks here to talk about those things. But we wanted to show kind of an overview of what the thing was about. There was 30,000 people out there in what they called Hammer Town.

Those were people that stayed there. They wouldn't like transient people. They brought their own motor

1 homes and whole families with them. It was a huge 2 investment in resources. Anyway, I get carried away.

Go ahead.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

OHV SUPT. WILLIAMS: Thank you for the intro. We like to think of it as -- we estimated about 50,000 people -- 50,000 of our closest friends because it was probably one of the biggest campfires I've ever seen.

I do want to describe the people in attendance were not just from California. We observed a lot of license plates from New Jersey, Minnesota, of course, Colorado, Nevada, and all of the neighboring states. So this is a pretty important event for a lot of people not just in California. I'd like to also add that the King of the Hammers race is very unique, as you all saw. Not only does it feature the rock climbing that was going on in the video, they have the opportunity to do that high-speed desert racing.

It was my first King of the Hammers, and it was quite the experience because we quickly, as California State Parks, became the hub and the meeting place where people wanted information about OHVs and new laws and new things that were coming down the pike. So I was very proud of our law enforcement officers and interpreters and grant administrators that were on scene because they were able to give that all-important

information that people wanted to know. And they wanted to know where to get the money, and they wanted to know where they can recreate, and they wanted to know where they can recreate responsibly.

One of the things that as peace officers we are charged with interpreting to the public, and we do that quite well. I used to be a recruiter for California State Parks, but school teachers make the best park rangers because it's so important to take the time to explain why the rule is in place, and that creates that understanding and buy-in that you need.

The King of the Hammers, getting back to the race, was a 183-mile race with at least 129 competitors, only 29 of them made it through. fascinating to see. And myself and acting chief Maria had the opportunity to ride in these dirt buggies -that's what they call them -- and the precision and precise actions that they had to take to get through the course. But in the end you could see the winners there were Randy Slawson and his brother from California who's crowned King of the Hammers. I like the sound of that.

In addition to the spectators, there was numerous opportunities to go live with broadcasting through video, and it was estimated about 600,000

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 1 | people were watching the race via video. And we also
- 2 | had the opportunity, as you can see, to do a live
- 3 | podcast featuring our Chairperson, Paul Slavik, and
- 4 | Brian. Also in attendance was the BLM Chief Ranger
- 5 Mr. Chassie.
- 6 Before I turn it over to Brian, who is going to
- 7 | talk a lot more about the law enforcement activities, I
- 8 | really want to highlight that with the interpreters
- 9 | that were at the site, we were able to bring our ATV
- 10 safety simulator and our mannikin family to our
- 11 | outreach tent. And it was really important for
- 12 | especially young people to see what safety equipment
- 13 | would be required. And all of them wanted to put the
- 14 helmet on and all of the equipment, but it really
- 15 | teaches and reinforces ATV safety.
- And with that, I'm going to turn it over to
- 17 | Brian.
- 18 CHAIR SLAVIK: Brian, before you get started,
- 19 the scope of the event was how many days? You know,
- 20 | there's an individual race for every day and that kind
- 21 of thing.
- 22 OHV SUPT. ROBERTSON: Commissioners, the
- 23 | original race -- the first race will start on Sunday,
- 24 | so that's one week from the last race, which is on that
- 25 | last Friday so you basically have eight days of racing

and preparing.

Good morning, Commissioners. Good morning,

General, I'm State Parks Superintendent Brian Robertson

with California State Parks Off-Highway Motor Vehicle

Recreation Division. I'm the visitor services lead and

the law enforcement lead.

Today, I'd like to quickly summarize our law enforcement element and also our outreach element that we did at the King of the Hammers special event. The deployment to the Hammers was based on a longstanding invitation from the Bureau of Land Management. Over the last couple of years we've deployed at Dumont Dunes and Imperial Sand Dunes, and every time we were there, the folks would say, you've got to come to the Hammers, you haven't seen anything yet.

So this last year, about four months out from the special event, we started working on logistics, started making contacts. There is a lot of stuff to bring staff down there. So after about four months, we started getting on the road.

Prior to our arrival to the Hammers, we did have goals and objectives for the Hammers deployment. Those goals and objectives were supporting and also partnering with BLM and offering our statewide experience relating to law enforcement, interpretation,

and public outreach.

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

What we wanted to get across to them is that we've learned some techniques statewide that sometimes you don't have to write that ticket right off the bat. It's better to outreach, it's better to communicate. That was one of our goals, to create new communications.

One of the other things we wanted to demonstrate were these new styles of outreach. We brought a new trailer. We had the new ATV safety simulator. We had the mannikins. These are new things that they hadn't seen here at the King of the Hammers. So we were really excited to show them these new techniques.

The Division team was on the ground about seven days prior to the big race. The reason why we came early to the Johnson Valley is we hadn't been there before so we wanted to learn the lay of the land, reinforce some of the partnerships that we've had through the grants and other deployments and just improve communications.

During those first few days, we met with the BLM staff, we met with their law enforcement, we met with their recreation coordinators, who kind of like do the special events. There's a lot of moving parts. also met with the San Bernardino Sheriff's Office.

BLM and San Bernardino are the two primary agencies in the region.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

During our law enforcement briefings with San Bernardino and BLM, we also started to discuss and describe a new approach to law enforcement. We weren't trying to blow up the boxes on them. We were just trying to show them an option. So we started talking about good law enforcement really needs -- and also long-term compliance really needs good or great outreach programs and good messages. So when you saw the slide earlier, you could see we had some great messaging, and that's how we were really trying to get that outreach going. If you don't have that outreach and a great program, your law enforcement job is a lot more difficult.

Great example of that is before we really started the big days of races and a lot of crowds, we met with all of the different agencies, and we started talking about it's much easier or it's much more beneficial in the long run. You can have a five-minute citation contact or you have a 20-minute conversation. What we found by having 20-minute conversations, 30-minute conversations, we were able to show that user that might have some kind of violation, that this is the reason why we'd like you to wear your helmet, this

is the reason we'd like you to not speed. We were able to give them good examples. And at the end of the day, people were really starting to get it.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

During the Hammers event, the BLM operation inserted eight State Parks rangers into their patrol schedule. During the day, there was four rangers on patrol. Some of those were on motorcycle, which were some of the most successful contacts we had. actually specifically are requested for next year to bring as many bikes as you can. So we are hoping to probably bring between four and six motorcycles. And what that did for us is we were able to quickly jump out into the field, based on the type of radio comments or some type of report, and stop that person before they went like onto the racetrack, created some kind of public safety issue. And then one of the most important things is we worked with the Marines because some of the folks have a tendency of maybe going onto the base. So the motorcycle program was very successful.

Then also, too, what we did is we had four rangers on during the day, and then we would have six rangers on during the night. So we covered both the day and the night with the regular staff that was on scene.

Many could say the King of the Hammers event was very successful. As a law enforcement guy, I could say that the event was extremely successful. And the reason why is we only had seven driving under the influence of alcohol arrests during the event, only one drug arrest, and we made hundreds and hundreds of warnings. So for me when I'm looking at the ratio of 50, 60,000 people, and maybe you had ten rangers on at a time, that is an incredible success.

In closing, during the first few days of our deployment, we saw countless violations of different OHV laws. Everywhere we were making contacts, waving people down, the new side-by-side ROV laws were starting to come into effect. There was a lot of confusion. So using that outreach type of approach and waving people down and the first thing is we walk up to them and say you're not getting a ticket, we just want to talk to you and tell you what's kind of going on. By the end of the event, we couldn't find anymore violations. It seemed like everybody in the ROVs or even on ATVs they were getting it. So I'd like to say that's the outreach program, not so much the law enforcement.

So in the end, the OHMVR Division outreach team and law enforcement team made a successful deployment

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 1 down to the Hammers. And in the past they were saying
- 2 you've got to come and check it out, BLM. This year
- they're saying, please come back, we need your help. 3
- 4 Thank you.
- 5 (Audience applause.)
- CHAIR SLAVIK: Tina, do you have anything to add 6 to that? 7
- I would like to say, General, you saw some of 8
- 9 the crowd control features they had there. They had
- 10 fencing. They had the vendor area was all contained.
- They only had two entrance and exits to that. They 11
- also had something I had never seen before in my life 12
- 13 in the desert, and I've been going there since
- 14 the '60s, big screen outdoor TVs. And when I say big
- 15 screen, what are we talking?
- 16 OHV SUPT. ROBERTSON: Megatrons, 40 feet by
- 17 20 feet.
- 18 CHAIR SLAVIK: There's one of them back there.
- 19 You had some pictures of them. They're very big.
- 20 They're as big as billboards. And what he did was he
- 21 strategically placed those around so that the crowd
- 22 would have a place to sit on their lawn chairs by a
- 23 fire or something and watch instead of going out in the
- 24 desert and getting involved in the race itself.
- 25 there were a lot of people out there, but 30,000

people, they had to come up with some pretty creative ideas on crowd control. I thought it was extremely successful.

And there was a picture there of the guy that started it, Dave Cole. This gentleman and just a few of his buddies like seven years ago decided to do this Hammers thing and just do a loop. They put money in the pot. Whoever won, got the pot. The next year they had 70 guys, and the next year they had like -- now they're turning away people. And they got people from 14 countries, I believe, and 46 states.

OHV SUPT. WILLIAMS: Honduras, Switzerland, Italy, Australia, obviously Mexico, and I think Norway.

CHAIR SLAVIK: And the team that won the Every Man's event, which I'm not quite sure the criteria, but they had a tricked-out Land Rover, I mean a really tricked-out Land Rover. They flew it in from Great Britain and won the event. They were ecstatic about it. They thought it was the greatest thing since sliced bread.

There's a lot of unique things about this. They had a big stage set up with the screen behind it, so the winner would come in and he would get up on this stage and have a big presentation with these big checks.

DIRECTOR GENERAL JACKSON: I look forward to going there.

CHIEF JENKINS: Chair, if I may, I think one of the important messages to take home out of this: BLM had quite a challenge because of the accident that happened so many years ago with fatalities, maybe it was a couple of years ago.

That's part of the reason we're there is to help. BLM is putting a lot of effort into managing the race itself. We're down there to supplement some of the other just crowd control, law enforcement, background items.

Also, what didn't quite come out in the presentation is they do a tremendous amount of work on the course ahead of time to identify the course, carefully identify the course, review the course for environmental issues, and then after the course is completed, I think you were the one who was telling me, within two or three weeks, they go in and pretty much erase the course, and you don't end up with a big scar on the land year after year, correct?

CHAIR SLAVIK: They claim that two weeks after the course, the crew that's out there, they have a considerable amount of people that are volunteering for this, basically leave that desert looking like nothing

ever happened.

The other thing is the cost recovery, which has been a big issue that we've been dealing with. In previous Commission meetings, we've had a lot of discussion about cost recovery. And this is where these little nonprofit clubs that are putting on events on public land are being saddled with large bills, maybe 30, \$40,000 to put on an event that they've been putting on for 40 years essentially for free and never had any issues.

So this guy, Dave Cole, he had to -- after this accident, he had to come up with a creative way to solve this problem. The way he did it was he somehow enlisted probably hundreds of volunteers I'm guessing. They were all over the course. They supplemented the BLM's presence out there. The BLM, how many bodies were out there that actually had --

OHV SUPT. ROBERTSON: We had eight peace officers, and the BLM had eight also, and the San Bernardino had about four. It was kind of rotating.

CHAIR SLAVIK: So that number, less than a dozen, were the presence out there for a 30,000-people event -- or 50,000, whatever Tina has come up with.

Nice count. Did you get up there in the helicopter and

count that?

OHV SUPT. WILLIAMS: One of the other things,
Commissioner, you had pointed this out, is during this
time it was climatic with the weather, and it was
actually snowing on one day, which was interesting.

And also there were big law up the hill at Big
Bear. At this time they were looking for one of the
Most Wanted on Big Bear at the same time that we were
at the Hammers event. So it really drew a lot of the
law enforcement presence away. But with our presence
there -- I'd like to say, thank you, Brian, for your
staff. It was like the staff that holds meatloaf
together. People went to that tent, went to that area,
and really looked to us for expertise.

CHAIR SLAVIK: Thank you. I've got one public comment form here from Pat Henderson, is that right? Would you want to come up here and spend your three minutes, then we will go right to the Commissioners' review of all of the information that we've received so far.

PAT HENDERSON: Thank you. I'm Pat Henderson. Thank you for having the meeting in Redding. I really didn't know what to expect, so I didn't want to really say anything, but I can't sit down either. Thank you, sir, for telling us to attend. We've got to go. We

can't stay home. We've got to attend. I belong to two clubs here in town, and I'm on them all the time. If you don't go, you're going to lose it.

Sylvia saved Shasta/Trinity. I mean it. The lady saved it. And if she could share the dream, I want it named after her.

But the real reason why I wanted to talk was because I was at King of the Hammers. I've wanted to go for the past three years, and I have to tell you the safety issue was really important. They had cyclone fences everywhere so that the public couldn't really get down into the area where the automobiles are climbing rocks that are this tall. And after the 30 or 40th car climbing that rock, you've not just got that, but you've got the hole that it dug to get over the rock, and the public can't get down there anymore.

And I enjoyed the big screen, and we enjoyed the display that the parks and recreation had there. We stopped by there and saw it, too. I picked up a lot of information.

And the only other thing I wanted to say was most of the public wants to obey the law; 99 percent of us out in the forest and the desert or anywhere else we ride, we want to obey the law. And on the other hand, I think that's what you're counting on. It's not my

perspective. You guys know that, too.

And in another way it's a way of controlling us.

Because if you put certain laws through, we can't

fight. You know we're going to obey them. And so

we're used in one respect and needed in another. And
that's all I have to say. Thanks for coming.

CHAIR SLAVIK: All right. We would like to open this up to the Commissioners.

Any comments on any agenda items so far?

COMMISSIONER CABRAL: First off, thank you for everyone that's made public comment; appreciate getting your input; believe it is helpful. The staff, the stuff in your reports was very informative, and I appreciate that, also. I have a couple of comments.

First off, I'm going to address the recreational off-highway vehicles, the side-by-sides. I'm an avid OHV user. I'm a motorcyclist, and what I see with OHVs, I see many different types of vehicles, and as time has gone by, we've seen first three-wheel ATVs, and four-wheel ATVs, now we have the evolution of a side-by-side from a farm implement to a recreation vehicle. And it seems to me those vehicles are much more classified along the lines of jeep-type activity.

And I don't see the wisdom from the Department of Motor Vehicles to classify these specifically as a

green sticker off-highway vehicle. That equates it to like a motorcycle or a smaller ATV. And personally I would rather see a specific classification that would still be within the umbrella of the OHV program, but I think the vehicles should have a different type of registration on them. And I believe that they should be allowed to travel on unimproved dirt roads throughout the national forest and state parks, whatever areas that any kind of a street vehicle, jeep-type could. They just should not be allowed to travel on any paved roads because they have the wrong type of tires and they don't have the safety equipment such as the five-mile-an-hour bumpers or some of those types of items. So that whole program, I wish the DMV would look at that a little closer, come up with a new classification.

Secondly, the statewide OHV trail, I think that's a wonderful idea. I've traveled parts of the Back Roads Discovery Trail, and I found it really amazing. There were some great trails and nice places to be. And if it wasn't for those nice little signs that were sitting out there, I never would have experienced a couple of nice fire lookouts and a few other areas. I appreciate the efforts the people put into the paths.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

I also think it's important that it brings people out into rural communities in the State of California. And we all know these rural communities are really suffering right, especially financially in the situation we have in our economy. And any time we can raise recreational dollars out to those areas, I think it's very important.

Next thing is King of the Hammers. King of the Hammers sounds to me like -- I've watched the videos and stuff. I haven't been there, but it sounds to me like it's quickly grown into perhaps the largest sanctioned off-highway event in the State of California. And I think that the people that are promoting the event are doing the great job. Myself, I'm an experienced competitor in the infamous Baja 1000, and they don't have any of this level of type of -- obviously Mexico, different country, different rules, but they don't have any of these types of safety-type things. And I'm really glad to see that someone has taken the initiative to do right and within the rules and the framework of State of California. And I certainly would like to see not only that event continue, but also that whole OHV area to be able to remain and to keep going on for a long period of time.

Recreational Trails Program, that sure makes me

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 nervous to hear Caltrans is going to try to get their

fingers on that stuff, to be kind of blunt. 2

watershed work, one of our biggest agencies, the 3

agencies in our area, the leadership in our agencies,

5 the agency we have the most trouble with is Caltrans.

6 And I kind of feel that that could be a problem.

would like to see support from the Commission at some

point, whether it's in a letter form or whatever form 8

would be proper, to kind of support keeping the program

the way it is now. And I have no more comments.

COMMISSIONER PEREZ: I'm going to pass on the comments only to say that I thank everyone for the reports. And I think Chief Jenkins, I do want to congratulate him for his recognition today.

COMMISSIONER PATROVSKY: I don't have a whole lot to say. I've enjoyed listening to everybody's presentations, and this last couple of months that I've been on the Commission has been a real learning experience for me, and I'm enjoying it.

The King of the Hammers presentation, I just want to say that being from that area, I'm really happy that there's an increased emphasis on spectator safety at the organized events, and I think we've gone from the dark ages to a high degree of professionalism, and I'm really happy about that. Thank you.

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

COMMISSIONER KERR: I've got some stuff for Phil, but I'll wait until he gets back, on the budget.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So let me start with the last thing we saw, which is King of the Hammers. And a number of us were down in Johnson Valley and rode the Hammers and some of those vehicles about a year ago, and frankly that is a very unique area, unique in the United States, and I think it's something that we want to work hard with the Marine Corps to preserve access to a significant portion of the Kern area.

I became aware in talking with the General about the importance of training to the Marine Corps, but I'm hopeful that we can find a way to work cooperatively about this. I know there's a lot going on in Congress about this issue. But let's be real clear, this is the kind of event that California does best, those unique special best-in-the-world kind of things.

And I think that I want to congratulate our law enforcement group for making it a better event this That means it will probably be around next year, the year after. And those of us that missed it this year I'm sure will be there next year. But this is obviously going to be on par with the Dakar race or the Baja 1000, and something we need to keep going.

Speaking to that type of thing, when we talked

about the California Statewide Motorized Trail, I guess
Kevin and I can now add that to our bucket list, but we
can't do it until it's done. So who's going to make
this happen. I submit that the only -- the most
logical agencies to take the lead on that trail is our
Division. If not us, who else? You know we have the
staff support. We have the financial support, and we

have the support of brand to make that happen.

If it were to happen, then it would become another point on the compass that distinguishes our state and brings people to our state and makes us proud of our state and gives us the type of thing that California is known for. So I would be very supportive of the Division getting involved in that and would be happy to learn more about it and potentially serve on the subcommittee.

Before we leave these items, I wanted to talk a little bit about the budget. We kind of blew through that. And in reading the binder, there were some things that stood out for me. There's what's called the structural imbalance in our budget. This is not the first time I've seen this issue. So I believe, as near as I can tell, sort of using my brand of mathematics, there is about a \$16 million structural imbalance in the budget that the Governor has proposed.

Now, there's two things going on here. One is it's darn hard to spend the public's money. There's a lot of impediments. Every year we talk about doing things, and then often there's several that fall off the radar screen due to either an environmental problem or some other issue with the neighbors or who knows what. So I'm just assuming that we won't spend the entire amount that's budgeted.

However, over the last few years we have been spending more than we've been taking in. And now we have a very large theoretical balance in our Trust Fund. The State owes I think \$200 million or somewhat dollars and maybe they're paying us back this year, and that's why we can afford to spend more than what we're taking in.

But I'd like us to talk more about these issues and to stay on top of them because I don't want to be in this chair a year or two from now and have to lay off law enforcement officers or make other sudden adjustments to our grants program or other important things that we do because we haven't planned ahead adequately.

So I don't know, Chief, if you want to discuss the structural imbalance?

CHIEF JENKINS: Yes, I would be happy to address

1 So if you're in your binder under Tab 4, you can see the bubble chart that's at the beginning at the top 2 three boxes on the bubble chart. This is the same 3 chart that was available on the table earlier. looks like that, for those out in the audience.

The top three bubbles on that chart represent what we will make in revenue this year from fuel taxes, entrance fees, and registration fees, \$81.23 million. Right in the middle of the page, the three boxes below OHV Trust Fund, kind of where everything is gathered from those incomes, is our expenditures that are proposed at this point by the Administration as this year's budget, the Governor's budget, that's \$96 million. So that's what Commissioner Kerr is referring to, the difference between those two numbers is we're spending 16 million more dollars than we're generating this year. So we're operating in the red, in other words.

If you go further back in that same section behind the goldenrod, you'll see the Department of Parks and Recreation budget that's spelled out in detail. You can turn in that packet to page 13 on the top right-hand corner it says, RES 13, and you'll find the funding condition statement for the OHV Trust Fund right there that gives all of the detail. You've got a

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

beginning balance, revenues. You've got all of the various things in and out of the budget. This is the key. If you flip the page and go to the bottom of that budget right down to where the line ends at winter

recreation fund, begins the next section.

So right above that you'll see "Reserve for Economic Uncertainty." See that line? That's what's left in our budget at the end of the year after you have our revenue put into it and our expenses taken out of it that are proposed in the budget, and you see our remaining balance. The three columns represent what we had remaining last year after the budgets, what we're going to have remaining at the end of the current year, and then in the budget that's being proposed that would pass this way what would be remaining.

You can see the balance drops from \$58 million left in the reserve, to \$34 million left in the reserve this year, to \$16 million left in the reserve at the end of this proposed budget. Keep in mind this budget has a \$16 million red hole in it, which means we can go one more year at the current spending level before we are broke.

So what that tells us is it's a great benefit to the program to get \$26 million in grants this year, but it comes at the cost of we're burning our savings

account to use that.

Beginning a couple of years ago, they started diverting \$10 million of past tax revenues which would have in the past been moved from our program over in the General Fund, that's what resulted in this situation where we are spending more money than we're making. We can keep doing this after the loans are repaid, and every indication is that those loans will be repaid as we need them in this kind of situation.

So I'm not overly concerned, which is why I did not bring this up in my original report that next year we're going to be broke. But next year we need to start getting some of those loans repaid to maintain our current rate of burn, if you will. And by ten years from now, we need to address the situation by either generating more revenue or somehow adjusting our income/expense because we cannot run in the red forever. Does that kind of address what?

COMMISSIONER KERR: So as I understand it, the condition of the loans is that there's a trigger that if we do run our bank account down to zero, our savings account down to zero, that the State is then compelled or in some manner to pay back the loans? This creates the condition as described in the loan agreement whereby the State is obligated to start paying back the

loans.

CHIEF JENKINS: Let me get to that.

Chief Deputy Director was just correcting me. I looked at the wrong line. I read down too far from where I was looking at. So, again, we are facing that same burn rate down, but we actually have \$44 million at the end of the year. But the rate of burn is the same where we're burning away that money. So that will give us, at \$16 million, about three years before we hit that bottom red zone at our current rate of burn.

But your question, yes, at some point we'll hit that red line which would kick in that trigger for some of those old borrowed funds where our program is set to go into the red, that would trigger the repayments from those original loans that went back 20 some odd years ago.

DIRECTOR GENERAL JACKSON: I think the key is -and it's good to bring it up now because we have to set
the stage for those repayments well in advance. It
can't be at the red line; it's when you start
approaching it. So now is a good time to bring it up
as a subject, and if you look back there, and as Aaron
pointed out on that page 13 there, it's really some \$44
million at the end of the next budget year that's still
in the reserve fund. But it is something to be highly

aware of and to start setting the stage to make sure that we don't go into the red.

COMMISSIONER KERR: Okay. Well then, I mean I'd say, I think we need to keep these programs going, but I'm glad that we're going to be doing something about this instead of waiting until that moment in time. I would feel comfortable if we'd continue to discuss this matter in our budget updates.

CHIEF JENKINS: And the last issue about that balance that's out there is, if we were to ask for it to be repaid before we start hitting bottom line, we would need to demonstrate that there's a pending really good use of those funds.

So if acquisitions were to pop up on the horizon, various possibilities where we would say this is why we would like those loans repaid on this schedule rather than perhaps this lower schedule, it would only really make sense to do that if there were something out there because there is just no point in having the money repaid and sitting there for no purpose.

COMMISSIONER KERR: We will talk about that in continuing days.

Before I conclude, we have taken the opportunity to thank the local BLM folks for the tour that we had

- 1 yesterday. And it's obvious that -- I believe it's Sky
- Zaffarano who took us all around and had this 2
- loose-leaf binder we kept referring to, very 3
- informative, actually incredible.
- 5 I was struck by a couple of things. One is the 6 amount of care that's taken to preserve those sites of 7 that town that grew up around the copper smelter and is now merely flat pieces on the ground. California law 8 9 requires that quote/unquote cultural resources to be
- 10 protected, and I know he's doing his best to do that. I'd like to submit the Hammers for a cultural 11
- designation and that it be a protected cultural
- resource of the State of California. And I don't know 13
- 14 how we can do that, but maybe we can get some
- 15 protection for that.

- 16 Anyway, our thanks for your great work here.
- 17 It's obvious that it's a very successful cooperative
- 18 arrangement we have with you, and that's something
- 19 we're doing down in the Johnson Valley, as well,
- 20 apparently. And I hope we can do it throughout the
- 21 state. So thanks again for hosting us.
- 22 COMMISSIONER MURPHY: I can't say I have very
- 23 much to add to what's already been said, but I would
- 24 like to thank all of you who are in attendance today
- 25 and thank you for participating. That's very

important.

And, again, I just wanted to thank the BLM management team for the tour yesterday and for the excellent work that they're doing out there. It's a beautiful park that I'm going to be sure to come back and enjoy. So thank you for your work out there.

I also wanted to just support Commissioner
Cabral's statements on the ROV as its own
classification. I think that's a reasonable
suggestion. I would support that, as well.

I wanted to thank the gentleman who's doing the work in the San Bernardino National Forest. Your presentation I thought was excellent, and the program you're running out there, it just blew me away. That's awesome. It sounds like you're doing a great job out there. And I think we need to support RTP for funding that. So thank you for your work out there, especially the interpretive forest for the children. I thought that was just an excellent thing. Thank you for your work that you're doing out there.

I also want to support the King of the Hammers event. I thought it was an excellent job that was done by the promoters put that down and for the Division staff that went down there to support that. I think that's a great cooperative arrangement there, and

that's something that we should support in the future.

That's about it. So thank you.

COMMISSIONER VILLEGAS: I'll be quick. I just wanted to note that since we have a lot of new Commissioners on the staff -- I still consider myself new, this is my third meeting -- we also have a lot of members in the legislature, half the houses are new members, that is emblematic of the education that we as a commission need to do. And all of the other groups out there also, we need to work together in partnership to educate.

I just ran across this when we're going through this new legislation to do kind of corrections for our ROVs and wanting to get the chair of the Latino Caucus to come on board to co-author one of these bills. I know him, and I was thinking to myself, he doesn't even know what we are, what we do, been out to a park, or anything like this. So our level of education is extreme, and it's very high.

And I just raise that as a point for us all to be aware as we're doing these meetings with either members or we have the groups out there who are speaking to new members of the legislature, do not take it for granted. They don't know as much information as you may know, and it's our responsibility to educate

them.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I am probably not the best person to be speaking on this since everybody here is so passionate, and I'm a city girl, and I don't ROV or all of your acronyms that we all use. But I do know that it is another option for us to be able to connect with our natural resources of the State of California, and I think it's very important for us to be able to communicate that in an effective way because we're talking about budgets, we're talking about all of these corrective legislation pieces that are going on, new cars that are being built for us to recreate, and we have new Commissioners on here who have probably experience, some of us who don't, but we have a legislature who is creating these bills who probably don't have as much education or awareness of this. just wanted to make that point.

CHAIR SLAVIK: Okay. So I've got a couple of things here. Maria, when you talked about new employees, I thought to myself, I don't know some of these people, and I wonder if we can have some kind of an org chart for the Commissioners that indicate where the people are, what are their responsibilities, and things like that.

CHIEF JENKINS: Certainly, we can update the org

- chart. The org chart that all of you got no matter
 when you've had OHV 101 is out of date. So we'll send
 out a fresh org chart to all.
- CHAIR SLAVIK: It looks like we've got a couple

 of subcommittees that are potentially here. Are you

 interested in, Ted? So Statewide Motorized Trails and

 Recreational Trails Program are both potential

 subcommittee subjects I think. And it sounds like we

 have people here that are interested in those. Would

 you be interested, Kevin, in Recreational Trails
- 12 COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Yes, I can do that.
- 13 COMMISSIONER KERR: Talking about the statewide?
- 14 CHAIR SLAVIK: Statewide, yes.
- 15 COMMISSIONER KERR: So the RTP is something else
 16 we talked about. This is the one that goes all the way
- 17 | to the Oregon border, right?

11

22

23

24

25

Program?

- 18 CHAIR SLAVIK: Recreational Trails is the
 19 funding program. Statewide Motorized Trails is the
- Oregon border. So those are two separate things.
- 21 We're going to talk about each one.
 - So let's talk about the Recreational Trails

 Program and the funding and the problems we have with

 the Governor's budget shifting that to Caltrans. It

 sounds like you had good experience, some experience

- dealing with Caltrans. We need somebody to understand
 the issue maybe, be closer to it, and then come back
- 3 with recommendations.
- 4 COMMISSIONER VILLEGAS: I can do it.
- 5 CHAIR SLAVIK: Are you interested?
- 6 COMMISSIONER VILLEGAS: I know the funding
- 7 | source, and I know some other programs that are under
- 8 Caltrans that are mentioned on here, like the EM
- 9 program, the Environmental Mitigation program, is
- 10 already under Caltrans.
- I mean I could see the rationale why the
- 12 | Governor is probably proposing to do this since there
- 13 | is already some programs that a lot of environmental
- 14 groups and others, you know, even us, seek funding from
- 15 | the EM program. So I can see why they are wanting to
- 16 put it in; although, I would advocate to not put it in.
- 17 CHAIR SLAVIK: For instance, the L.A. River
- 18 | Trail or something like that would be a prime example
- 19 of where RTP funds could go.
- 20 COMMISSIONER VILLEGAS: Right.
- 21 CHAIR SLAVIK: So Commissioner Villegas, and
- 22 | Commissioner Cabral, would you guys be interested in
- 23 being on a subcommittee?
- 24 COMMISSIONER CABRAL: Yes, I would be interested
- 25 | in that. I was kind of a little more interested,

```
1
     though, in the statewide trail.
2
            CHAIR SLAVIK: You can be on two.
            COMMISSIONER CABRAL: I see where this is going.
3
4
     I would be honored to work with her. I think she's
5
     very knowledgeable, and I would learn something.
6
            CHIEF JENKINS: And if I may, Chair Slavik.
     Probably the best use of your time as the
7
     subcommittee -- of course, you're free to do as you
8
9
     will, but we were all comparing notes here. It looks
10
     like that's probably going to be moving fairly quickly.
11
     So perhaps your most effective approach would be to
     show up at the hearing if you're available and speak
12
13
     for the Commission and express your views there, and
14
     that might be the most direct path to getting your
15
     message out.
            CHAIR SLAVIK: Okay. So how do we make that
16
     official?
17
18
            COMMISSIONER KERR: So we're charging them to
19
     speak on behalf of the Commission; is that what's going
20
     on here?
21
            CHAIR SLAVIK: Well, more of a factfinding, I
22
     think.
23
            COMMISSIONER KERR: I'm having to lobby. I
24
     might not be able to make this meeting, but a lot of
```

times you get up to the mike and say: I'm not speaking

25

OHMVR COMMISSION MEETING

on behalf of the Commission, I'm here to speak on
behalf of. So is there a way for us to -- is it
valuable for them to speak on behalf of the Commission

or not?

- CHIEF JENKINS: I think if you were to all have an agreement on the message that you would like them to deliver, to vote on that right now, then they would be empowered to speak for the Commission, and that might carry a little more influence than individuals speaking just as private citizens.
- CHAIR SLAVIK: So we need to craft a statement?

 CHIEF JENKINS: Or you can give them the outlines, the boundaries of what you would like said, and let them hammer that down into something that's intelligent.
- DIRECTOR GENERAL JACKSON: And the good thing about crafting a statement with your signatures on it, it gets entered as part of the Assembly record for all time so that they can come up, read the statement and then get some --
- COMMISSIONER KERR: I would like to take a stab at the statement. So I think that I'd like to make a motion or at least have some discussion about a statement that we could all agree on.
 - So some key points that would be in the

in running the RTP program as evidenced by their national award only last year.

Secondly, State Parks has an understanding and experience that the Commission believes is valuable in their running of this program. So those are kind of one or two of the same things that is evidenced by the award.

Secondly, there's no guarantee -- we talked about precedence. So State of California is a leader in trails programs, both motorized and non-motorized, and we think that keeping the RTP program within State Parks will allow us to continue that leadership role, and it would be an unfortunate precedence if the State of California were to drop out of this role.

The last thing is that we -- again, for discussion, that there's a danger that these funds might be commingled with other Department of Transportation funds, and that the trail program could suffer as a result.

So I don't know if there is anything else that the people wanted to include.

CHAIR SLAVIK: Strategically, I think we need to try and figure out who put the bug in the ear of whoever started to convince the Governor this was a

good idea to opt out of the program and put it into the Caltrans. Can we get to that source?

CHIEF JENKINS: So I was just taking advice from our legal counsels' collective opinions over here.

We have to be careful not to go too far in this meeting. This was not an agendized business item. It is within your power to appoint a subcommittee and turn them loose to do some work. I think we've gotten to the point where they've heard the views. You have a subcommittee. I think if we delved too much further into this here without rescheduling this for a future meeting, we may be treading close to the edge of where we should go. I think at this point your subcommittee would have enough information to move forward and do what they need to do.

CHAIR SLAVIK: All right.

COMMISSIONER KERR: So what about the vote?
We're voting to set up the committee?

CHIEF JENKINS: There is no requirement to vote to set up the subcommittee. The Chair has the authority to do that at any moment. And the subcommittee has now heard your views and so I think that's on the record.

CHAIR SLAVIK: Subcommittee, go forth and do good work.

1 The Statewide Motorized Trail program, the Back Country Discovery Trail. So any discussion on that and 2 as far as moving that process forward with the 3 subcommittee that can get more engaged. 4 5 You know, it's a big huge deal, and I was kind of involved in it from the very beginning. I probably 6 was on a subcommittee a long time ago. You're dealing 7 with a lot of different agencies, and each one of them 8 9 has their own personalities individually. Each forest 10 is going to have its own individual personalities that 11 you're going to have to deal with. COMMISSIONER KERR: You guys could build it, and 12 13 I'll just drive on it. 14 CHAIR SLAVIK: I think basically what you would have to do is birddog the process through State Parks. 15 16 They've been the lead. Somebody mentioned they should 17 be the lead, but they've been the lead all along. 18 COMMISSIONER CABRAL: I think it's going to 19 require a lot of communications between a number of 20 different, not only agencies but also --21 COMMISSIONER KERR: Have to agendize for every 22 single meeting, you're going to have to make it a

> COMMISSIONER CABRAL: Exactly. Theres' going to

priority, and somebody is going to have to drive that

23

24

25

process.

have to be somebody to drive it forward. I think that
some of the volunteers that are in here that have
worked on this in the past would be good resources.

I know one of the gentlemen who actually was one of the beginning developers of this program. He's retired now. He's not living in the state, but I can contact him and see what's going on with it.

So I'm willing to look into it, but I don't know if I want to have a subcommittee on that before doing something -- I can research a little bit and bring the report back to the next meeting, and then we can go from there.

CHAIR SLAVIK: So we table the subcommittee until the next meeting?

COMMISSIONER KERR: Let Phil chew on it a little bit.

CHIEF JENKINS: I think that the main thing we're looking for as staff to you all, is we have some excitement in the staff level to move forward. We want to make sure that we're not moving forward out of sync with the Commission. And so if you establish a subcommittee now or later, at least know which of you are the most interested. We can begin discussions and begin making plans for how best to move forward and continue on that path.

- 1 I think Commissioner Kerr is exactly right, this is going to take concerted effort for a number of years 2
- because it's been attempted and failed too many times. 3
- We can't afford to do it that way again.
- 5 CHAIR SLAVIK: Commissioner Murphy and
- 6 Commissioner Breene?
- COMMISSIONER CABRAL: I can do it. 7
- COMMISSIONER KERR: I want him to call his 8
- 9 friend, though.
- 10 COMMISSIONER CABRAL: Absolutely.
- 11 CHAIR SLAVIK: But you guys can't talk, more
- than two people can talk about it. 12
- COMMISSIONER KERR: We're still not a quorum, 13
- it's just three of us. 14
- 15 CHIEF JENKINS: If more than two of you are
- 16 discussing a business item, we would need to publicize
- 17 that and run it as a noticed public meeting.
- 18 CHAIR SLAVIK: So you've got to work on this
- 19 independently, the two of you with staff.
- 20 COMMISSIONER KERR: And then we'll agendize it
- 21 for our next meeting.
- CHIEF JENKINS: We can make this one of those 22
- 23 standing agenda items on our meeting.
- 24 COMMISSIONER CABRAL: Being new, I want to ask
- 25 this question. Am I able to pass him information as

- 1 far as a phone number or contact information for people 2 to call; is that legal?
- COUNSEL TOBIAS: 3 It's really not.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- COMMISSIONER KERR: Just put it in the public 4 5 record right now, then all you've got to do is check the agenda. 6
 - COUNSEL TOBIAS: When you establish a committee, a committee of two or over, it requires to be noticed. So with that type of committee, you could pass each other things, but you would have to do it through a public meeting.
 - When you have two, it's an ad hoc committee, so that could be a communication between you because it's not a quorum, it's not a majority of your commission. That's the Bagley-Keene Act. So with two of you, you can talk to each other, but a third cannot. would be better for you to pass that information to staff, and staff to get it to them.
 - COMMISSIONER CABRAL: Okay.
 - CHIEF JENKINS: And I'm fairly certain that I've got all of the names, and I'll pass on information to them. If I missed that name at the next meeting when we're publicly noticed, you can add that information to the list.
- 25 CHAIR SLAVIK: Cheryl needs a break, and it's

1 lunchtime. And I think the General and Mr. Robertson would like to get going. 2 DIRECTOR GENERAL JACKSON: Thanks very much. 3 CHAIR SLAVIK: Parting comments? 4 5 DIRECTOR GENERAL JACKSON: Yes. I thank the 6 public. And, like I said, I'm all ears, and so I'm learning the issues like many of you. I look forward 7 to working with the Commission. Thank you very much. 8 9 CHAIR SLAVIK: Thank you for coming. 10 (Returned at 1:49 from a lunch beginning at 12:37.) 11 AGENDA ITEM IV(B)(3) - REPORTS - GENERAL PLANS 12 CHAIR SLAVIK: Next business item, general 13 plans. 14 CHIEF JENKINS: Dan Canfield. 15 OHV STAFF CANFIELD: Hello, Commissioners. Dan 16 Canfield, OHMVR Division, California State Parks. I'm 17 providing report on the status of the SVRA General 18 Plans. The report is provided to you in your binders 19 behind Tab No. 4 and the third goldenrod slip sheet. 20 A general plan directs the long-term development 21 and management of State Parks units like an SVRA. One 22 of the responsibilities of the OHMVR Commission is to 23 hold a public hearing to receive comments on proposed

Some of the Commissioners may remember back to

SVRA general plans.

24

June 2012, a long time ago, when the Commission met in
Oroville and approved the Clay Pit SVRA General Plan,
which I have a copy of right here. These general plans
are required by state law before we can do any capital
facility developments at SVRAs, so they're very

6 important.

A brief history, back in 2007, the Division did a comprehensive review of all of the SVRA general plans. Some of the criteria that we looked at included SVRAs that didn't have a general plan at all but had the need for facility development. SVRAs that had acquisition lands that needed to be annexed into the existing SVRA, and also SVRAs that had older general plans that didn't accommodate new OHV-use patterns or new OHV vehicle types, like the ROVs that we talked about earlier today.

So at that time the determination was that six of the eight SVRAs needed to either initiate or update their general plans. OHV Trust Funds were appropriated and the work began. This is an attachment to your report which is a chart that gives you the overall status of all of the SVRA general plans.

Currently, two SVRAs, Carnegie and Ocotillo Wells, have general plan updates that are well underway. And for both projects, the general plan

1 teams are in the process of developing general plan alternatives. Once finalized, these general plan 2 alternatives will be brought out to the public through 3 public meetings, on-line exercises to solicit feedback

Now, to ensure that the final product is the most dynamic plan possible, it's crucial these general plan alternatives cover a wide range of potential OHV recreational opportunities. This step has taken a little bit longer than anticipated for Carnegie and Ocotillo Wells, and at that point the schedule for both projects would have them have the plans ready for Commission consideration in early 2014.

That is my report.

on our general plan alternatives.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIR SLAVIK: We're going to hold our comments until after all these are spoken to.

CHIEF JENKINS: If I can just add, the point of that report really is that as we look at where we hold our upcoming meetings, we need to keep that date in mind for two reasons. One so that we can make sure that we're fully informing you midstream so that you have an opportunity of input before we reach that final stage. And number two is to make sure that we schedule a meeting near those SVRAs at the time that we expect to be adopting a general plan. So we'll work with you

as we move forward.

CHAIR SLAVIK: So we have a relatively open date for the last meeting of this calendar year. Does that coincide with any of these general plans?

CHIEF JENKINS: We will be watching this develop through the rest of the year. As we get about three months out from that meeting, if it looks like we're ready for one of these from one of the parks, we will work with you.

CHAIR SLAVIK: Thank you.

Attendance report. Natalie.

AGENDA ITEM IV(B)(4) - REPORTS - ATTENDANCE

OHV RANGER LOHI: Good afternoon, Commissioners.

My name is Natalie Lohi. I'm a ranger at the OHMVR

Division. I'm here to provide you with the attendance

CSUS Study Report. You can find that behind Tab 4

behind the fourth goldenrod.

"If you build it, they will come," a famous line from the work of fiction but very much the reality in our parks. We've acquired and built parks, and no matter how big or small, no matter how urban or remote people will come visit them. We have the obvious responsibility of providing recreational opportunities and protecting the resources within them, but we also have many other responsibilities, less glamorous, more

mundane yet equally important responsibilities such as keeping track of how much people are visiting those parks.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The Department requires that the Division report attendance data monthly. With the approval of Senate Bill 742, the Division has the additional requirement of reporting attendance data to the legislature upon request to be considered as a factor in determining possible adjustments to our funding.

Last year the Division established a contract with CSUS, California State University, Sacramento, to complete an attendance study that will satisfy our requirements of SB 742 and provide attendance-related information from the public to support management decisions at the SVRAs.

SB 742 made several changes to the OHMVR program. One of those changes involved our funding. The Revenue and Taxation Code was modified to stipulate that the amount of funds transferred from the Motor Vehicle Fuel account shall be the same as the amount transferred in the 2006/07 fiscal year. Every five years beginning in the 2013/14 fiscal year, that amount may be changed depending on several factors. One of those factors is SVRA attendance.

You can see on the graph here on the screen of

OHMVR COMMISSION MEETING

- 1 statewide SVRA attendance from 2001 to 2012. 2 comparative data provided on that graph is job availability provided by the California Employment 3 Development Department. You can see a very clear correlation between the two. The CSUS study does not provide -- is not focused on providing information on 6 the impact of our struggling economy on SVRA 7 attendance, but the survey portion of the study will 8
 - The Division's goal in contracting with CSUS is to provide a comprehensive review of our attendance program. The study has three main priorities. Priority one is to review, evaluate, assess, and update

provide economic information from visitors that will be

compiled in the final report.

or confirm our conversion factors.

For those of you who may be uncertain, a conversion factor is a method used to convert a measure of attendance to an individual park visit. So at our SVRAs and at most state parks, the visitor pays a fee per vehicle rather than per person. When we report attendance data, the target information is how many people are visiting our parks. So in order to convert the number of vehicles into the number of people, we need a formula. That's our conversion factor.

Priority number two is to evaluate the

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

day-to-day methodologies we are using to measure attendance data. This study will identify those methodologies we are currently using that are most effective and make recommendations to increase the efficiency and accuracy where the possibility exists to do so.

Priority number three is visitor survey information. Visitor surveys are being administered at all eight SVRAs. The surveys are similar in format and content but SVRA managers have had the opportunity to provide input and customization. This will allow for the collection of information that is specific to each SVRA maximizing the value of that information with regards to management decisions at each location.

Collecting attendance information at an SVRA can be challenging. Staff can contend with challenges such as the need to provide or the mandate to provide specific information to every visitor coming in the park, the need to gather information on different types of vehicles, staff must do registration checks, spark arrestor checks, sometimes deal with reservation systems. All of this must be done while maintaining a level of customer service that visitors have come to expect when visiting a California state park. We must welcome our guests, not process them.

1	On the other hand, the challenge at another SVRA
2	would be the lack of an entrance station at all and
3	multiple entry points into the park. Regardless, our
4	staff has done a stellar job of collecting and
5	reporting attendance data and will continue to do so
6	per department policy. The CSUS study will help us to
7	identify those areas where we are successful currently
8	and will help us to streamline and improve in areas
9	where opportunities exist to do so.
10	This concludes my report on attendance, and I
11	would be happy to answer any questions.
12	CHAIR SLAVIK: We're holding questions.
13	CHIEF JENKINS: While we're waiting for the next
14	speaker to come up, let me say Natalie has brought a
15	badly needed kind of form and function to our visitor
16	attendance data. For many years our visitor numbers
17	have been our best guess, I would say. Natalie,
18	working with the university, Sacramento State, is
19	bringing a lot of science to it, so I am expecting
20	we're going to have a lot more confidence in our
21	numbers, and that's largely due to Natalie's work.

AGENDA ITEM IV(B)(4) - REPORTS - GRANTS PROGRAM

OHV STAFF LONG: Good afternoon, Commissioners. I am here to provide an update on the Grants and Cooperative Agreements program since we met last, tell

22

23

24

- 1 | you a lot about what's going on, and just I'll provide
- 2 | a little brief background for the new Commission
- 3 | Members as well, just a reminder for anyone else.
- 4 | Kelly Long, grants administrator with the OHMVR
- 5 Division.
- The Grants and Cooperative Agreements program is
- 7 | an annual competitive grant cycle, and this is an
- 8 opportunity for you to distribute funds from the OHV
- 9 Trust Fund. And these funds are available to a number
- 10 of eligible applicants including federal agencies,
- 11 | particularly the Forest Service, BLM; other federal
- 12 | agencies for some project types; local agencies,
- 13 | sheriffs; and nonprofit organizations primarily. The
- 14 | funding is distributed by law. Fifty percent of our
- 15 | available funding goes to operations and maintenance,
- 16 | and that includes what we consider ground operations,
- 17 | planning, development and acquisition of real property.
- 18 Twenty-five percent of the available funding goes to
- 19 restoration projects. Twenty percent is earmarked for
- 20 | law enforcement. And five percent is set aside for
- 21 | education and safety projects.
- 22 As Chief Jenkins had mentioned earlier, this
- 23 | year we have \$10 million available for the Grants and
- 24 | Cooperative Agreements program, and this year that
- 25 | \$10 million represents a decrease of more than half

from the previous year when we had \$21 million available.

So in January our grants cycle opened up. We have an on-line grant application system affectionately called OLGA, and all of our grant applications have to be received on-line. That system was made available, and we conducted two outreach workshops with interested parties in Sacramento and Ontario. These were attended by approximately 180 potential applicants.

On March 4th, earlier this month, we had our preliminary application file date, and that is you had to get your application in in order to be eligible for any funding at all. Included in your packet there is a sheet that is also up on the screen here.

This is a summary of the funding requests that we received with the preliminary applications. You can see the shaded area that is the combined operations and maintenance category. All that money comes out of the same pot, 50 percent, and it's broken down into restoration, education, safety, law enforcement.

The important numbers to keep in mind are \$10 million available, and you can see down in the lower right-hand corner, \$30 million requested. So you can see how it is broken out, and you might notice the bottom two lines provide a summary of how the funds

- have been distributed as far as their requests versus
 what is available to them.
- The total amount requested for the O&M projects,
- 4 | almost \$17 million. Doing simple math, if we have
- 5 | \$10 million available in the program, we have
- 6 | \$5 million available this year. Restoration, again, in
- 7 | this case we have over \$2 million requested. We have
- 8 | \$2.5 million available based on that ten percent again.
- 9 The law enforcement is interesting in this case,
- 10 remembering the law enforcement gets 20 percent of the
- 11 | available funding. \$2 million available, and over
- 12 | \$8 million requested.
- So as you can see that presents the framework
- 14 | that we're working in, and I think I would be happy to
- 15 entertain questions later.
- 16 CHAIR SLAVIK: Thank you, Kelly.
- 17 AGENDA ITEM IV(B)(7) REPORTS PROPOSED EASTERN KERN
- 18 | COUNTY ACQUISITION
- 19 CHAIR SLAVIK: We come to the proposed eastern
- 20 | Kern County acquisition.
- 21 OHV STAFF CANFIELD: Hello, again,
- 22 | Commissioners, Dan Canfield, OHMVR Division providing a
- 23 | report on the Proposed Eastern Kern County Land
- 24 | Acquisition. The report is provided in your binder
- 25 behind Tab No. 4, the fifth goldenrod slip sheet. Thi

report is also made available on the back table for the public.

As staff has previously reported, the OHV Division is proposing to acquire approximately 28,000 acres of privately-owned land in eastern Kern County near the Jawbone and Dove Springs OHV areas.

Recently there have been two exciting developments on this project. The first development was that on February 15th, in accordance with CEQA, The Eastern Kern County Acquisition Draft Environmental Impact Report, or EIR, was made available for public review and comment. Attached to your report is a copy of the public notice for that draft EIR. The draft EIR is available for comment through April 1st, 2013. And I brought a copy of the EIR along with me. It is also on the back table if anyone would like to go take a look at that. Of course, the EIR is available for review and download from our website, and the website address is in that public notice that you have in your report.

The second exciting development is that on

March 16th, one week ago, a subcommittee of the OHMVR

Commission held a public hearing in Tehachapi,

California. And this public hearing was to provide

opportunity for the local citizens and interested

parties to provide comments to this subcommittee, as well as providing comments on the draft EIR.

Chair Slavik and Commissioner Patrovsky were present at this meeting one week ago today in Tehachapi, and that's the end of my report. But I would be happy to turn it back over to Chair for any further comments.

CHIEF JENKINS: I was going to say you're probably going to have comments on all of these items. There was one last item I didn't write down that I wanted to include in the Chief's report to note.

You all that were here several meetings ago, I think the first meeting of 2012/13, the one we had at Carnegie, Tim Guardino made one of the presentations. He was on our law enforcement team suffering from a terminal illness. He has since passed away. And the director awards are awards that the entire department can nominate folks, and then the director of the department, in this case General Jackson, chooses the awards.

Tim Guardino was nominated for and has won the Drury Award for Professional Integrity, awarded posthumously. And his family will be receiving the award April 24th at 1:00 p.m. at a ceremony downstairs at the Resources Building. So if any of you happen to

- be in Sacramento, happy to have you there. If not,
- 2 | we'll send pictures and updates on that. He was an
- 3 outstanding individual. We actually nominated him for
- 4 a number of awards. This is one of the most
- 5 | prestigious. So quite an honor for him, his family,
- 6 | the entire Division.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

7 CHAIR SLAVIK: Thank you. That was a tragedy.

AGENDA ITEM - PUBLIC COMMENT ON AGENDA ITEMS IV(B)(2-7)

CHAIR SLAVIK: Okay. Public comment on the items that we have talked about so far.

NICK HARIS: Nick Haris, American Motorcyclist
Association. I actually was out eating, so I may be
commenting on something that was done a while ago. I
just wanted to mention on Senate Bill 234 that we've
now heard, there is going to be the first hearing on
April 9th. So if anybody in the crowd or Commission is
interested that's apparently the first date for a
hearing.

I also wanted to just comment, I did attend the Tehachapi meeting, and I met Commissioner Patrovsky for the first time, as well as Chairman Slavik. And I was really happy to be there. I was really happy to see this project. I wasn't up to speed, so to speak, on what exactly is being proposed and what the property really entails, and I think it's a great project. I'm

really happy to see it. It's going to definitely meet
a lot of demand we have, as well as bring a little more
clarity in the management of the area so we don't have
checkerboard issues of different management. I thought
it was a very good presentation. I would have liked to
have seen more of the public, but it was a very good

meeting, very informative. Thank you.

DAVE PICKETT: Dave Pickett, District 36,

Motorcycle Sports Committee. I wanted to make a

comment on the visitor attendance. I think that was

the fourth section, fourth goldenrod. But on page two

in the back was Item No. 3 with the controller shall

withhold \$833,000 from the monthly transfers to the

Off-Highway Vehicle Trust Fund per schedule, blah,

blah, blah.

Bottom line here is this is the \$10 million take that the Senate Budget Subcommittee a number of years ago took place. That continues on. This is a pure take. I appreciate the young lady, Natalie, that put this report together because it did make that fact present for the public to take a look at.

That is \$69,000 a month, \$2300 a day, seven days a week, 365 days a year that we pay for through our green sticker and fuel tax sharing agreement. And we have to figure a way to get that put back into our

budget. We are not hitting the grants that are needed.

So this has been going on now for a couple of years, and I really want to bring this to the attention of the public. That is a lot of money, \$2300 basically a day. We need to figure out a way to get that fund back into our program, especially when in January we had a \$5 billion influx of capital that came in. And perhaps the Commission should consider a letter to the Governor and Secretary of Resources Laird and ask when this can come to a stop. Every day I open up the newspaper, and they're starting some new program or enhancing with new money what they promised under Prop. 30. I appreciate it. Thank you.

JIM BRAMHAM: Jim Bramham, Cal 4-Wheel Drive. I just want to speak for a second on the ROHVA issue. I serve on the Desert Advisory Subgroups for both the Imperial Sand Dunes, which are down by Yuma, lowest southern corner of California and the Dumont Dunes area, which is in the Barstow Field Office in the northern desert. Both of these areas receive extensive visitations.

And basically the whole ROHVA thing started in the sand dunes. Years ago folks would bring golf carts, just regular golf carts to the dunes. Next thing it was a modified golf cart. Next thing, it was

a more modified golf cart. They finally caught the eye
of the manufacturer. The manufacturer said, wow,
there's something people are using, bringing

themselves, making themselves, so they introduced the

5 first of the side-by-sides into the industry.

And so it's just a natural evolution in the sand world. But in both of these locations, more than a third of the users come from out of state. The proximity of the Imperial Sand Dunes to Arizona, Phoenix and Tucson specifically brings millions of visitors a year out of Arizona. And these folks have these vehicles. They've modified them. They've made them work for their families, and that's what they intend to use for their off-highway vehicle recreation.

If we cannot get this modified, the impact to the local communities, and this is of great importance to the desert gateway. There is the United Desert Gateway Group, that's the folks from Imperial County, Yuma County, chambers of commerce, that look after this, you're going to have a lot of folks that don't come there. And, likewise, with Dumont, more than a third of that visitation comes out of Las Vegas, Nevada because of the proximity to Las Vegas. Once again, those folks are going to have to look for a different recreation.

1	And so it's a highly important issue for Cal
2	4-Wheel. It is the fastest growing segment of the
3	four-wheel drive community, and we understand that.
4	But sand has always been a "Run what you brung" world.
5	We started taking dune buggies that are Volkswagens and
6	folks built dune buggies out of them. We modified
7	jeeps with bigger motors. Everything that's ever been
8	done in the sand has been a "run what you brung." And
9	that's the same thing here, is that these folks started
10	with golf carts. They modified them. They found a
11	vehicle that they could modify into something that
12	worked for their family. And if we cannot get this
13	legislation passed into a grandfathering state to
14	recognize absolutely that now vehicles are available
15	that are capable of doing family recreation, but the
16	folks who bought these vehicles in 2006, 2007, simply
17	do not have that opportunity with the vehicles they
18	currently own, you're going to make them less valuable,
19	and you're going to introduce a whole, well, we won't
20	ride where there is law enforcement. And that's not
21	something we want to see. Thank you.
22	JOHN STEWART: Good afternoon, Commissioners,
23	John Stewart, California Association of 4-Wheel Drive
24	Clubs. I appreciate hearing the report about the

149

one statistic or way of looking at the grants is missing. It noted that there is, what, \$30 million in requests. Over the past few years, this is a continuing issue in that each year there is more in interest for grants than there is money to fulfill the grants. Perhaps, it would be a good and interesting number to look at how much is left on the table unfunded at the end of each grant cycle.

Now, this really underscores the fact that the partnerships between the federal agencies and the state are the bulk of the OHV program. And it is one that is little tracked, little defined, and is something that does provide the bulk of the opportunity. Riding and driving on the BLM managed lands in the national forest is where the majority of the recreation opportunities And from that respect, the grants are the ones that support that riding opportunity. And having the grants fully funded and even probably be best off if they were even pushed up in order to provide an equitable funding to assist and uncover the recreational opportunity with the federal partners. So this is something that I think we should look at for the future, look at how much money is left on the table and start pushing to get the grants program increased because that is vitally important to the health of the

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

entire program for the state. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIR SLAVIK: Commissioners, time to weigh in.

Teresa, would you like to start on your end?

COMMISSIONER VILLEGAS: I'm going to pass.

COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Pass.

COMMISSIONER CABRAL: Actually, I have a couple of questions. On the general plans, are those general plans -- I notice there's contractors that are being hired for the general plans. Are those a competitive bid process or is that something they just deal with as certain contractors? I didn't know. I'm not sure what that is.

OHV STAFF CANFIELD: The general plan contractor was secured through a RFQ, request for qualifications. And then they're reviewed by a panel, scored, and then contracted with the highest scoring recipient.

COMMISSIONER CABRAL: Okay. Cool, I just wanted to know what that was.

And then the Carnegie -- just using that as an example, you said that was in process, it's gone along quite a ways. It is still being adjusted to the controversy that's been surrounding it. I live in the San Francisco Bay Area, so I see a lot in the media. There is a lot of stories and stuff that are skewed one way. And I was just wondering if because of this

controversy that's being created if that's having an effect on the general plan.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

OHV STAFF CANFIELD: For the Carnegie General Plan, the work that's going on now as I described where we're crafting the plan alternatives, a range, are not affected by the media reports. Instead they're directed by what we call our constraints. We have the OHV Act that directs that we have SVRAs. And then we make the most possible use recreation of the SVRAs.

We have the Endangered Species Act, Clean Water Act, those are all of our constraints. So as we craft these alternatives, we try to juggle. We try to balance. We want to make the most possible use recreationally out of the SVRA lands while still not running afoul of Endangered Species Act, the Clean Water Act. That's the step that the state is in. It's not being affected by the media report.

CHIEF JENKINS: And to clarify, it's not being affected by the ongoing media reports, but in a way it Let me explain. is.

When we did our public scoping meeting, the concerns that are being kind of turned over repeatedly in some of these recent newspaper stories were brought up at the public scoping meetings. Those are in our discussions. In other words, we took all comments from the public from all points of view and are looking at those as we go through exactly what Mr. Canfield is describing. We're looking at the constraints, looking at mandates, looking at all of those things in the context of what was suggested by the public, all suggestions of the public. So we had all of those comments. They're all on record, we had them. That's what the team is considering.

And then there's been a number of news stories that were circulated that are bringing it up as if they're fresh ideas, but most of those ideas -- I think at this point it's safe to say everything I've seen in recent press stories are issues that were brought up by various groups during our scoping meetings.

OHV STAFF CANFIELD: Thank you for reminding me of that, Chief. In addition to the scoping meetings, the general planning team also had a series of stakeholder meetings that involved the visitors to the SVRAs, neighbors, environmental groups from the Bay Area, representatives of the Historic Society, so there was this whole series of follow-up stakeholder meetings where many of the folks that are being quoted in the media report sat at the table with us and communicated to the general plan team their concerns. So good point, a lot of those ideas do come up with the media.

Rest assured, they've also been delivered to the team
through the formal general planning process.

COMMISSIONER CABRAL: Thank you.

CHAIR SLAVIK: At previous meetings, we talked about taking some of those local folks out to Hollister Hills, for instance, and doing a tour there and basically demonstrate what State Parks has done.

Comment on that.

at Hollister Hills to anybody that is interested. To date, we haven't had any takers on that. We are getting some interest from a couple elected officials, so we're still negotiating times. It's always hard with all of the pressures on their schedules to find the time to get them out there. There are several individuals who are trying to get out there, but nobody from the general public has yet taken us up on that.

We'll continue to move forward.

The General is going to go out there soon.

We're trying to get a whole range of people so that they can see a vision of what might be possible, not what we're going to do because we don't know yet because we haven't come to that point; to see a model of what might be possible when we take them out and show them some of the recent developments on the Renz

1 | property and other properties.

CHAIR SLAVIK: So those invitations, is that part of public record invitations to the public or interested neighbors, for instance?

CHIEF JENKINS: I don't think they're really in public records. They're usually in response to letters to us making specific requests of why don't you take this course of action. We'll respond with, we'll be happy to show you what we're looking at, certainly things that could be public record. Nobody has requested those public records, but just an ongoing dialogue in the community that we'll have with in any group, any point of view that comes to us for information.

OHV STAFF CANFIELD: To add, on the Carnegie

General Plan Team, we were able to host a tour of the

Renz properties with the regulators, the United States

Fish and Wildlife Service, Department of Fish and Game,

El Centro California Water Board, and it was

Jeff Gaffney, Superintendent Gaffney hosted the tour,

and it was very well received. The general plan team

is ready to do more of that. It's been scheduling it

that is complicated.

CHAIR SLAVIK: I have a couple of questions.

Regarding the Onyx property, we know we have a sunset

1 on the acquisition money that's coming up pretty close. Can you kind of fill us in on that, and what happens if 2

we pass that date? 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHIEF JENKINS: It's tough to talk about that I'll give you the outlines, and you can fill in the blanks. Yes, that money disappears at the end of the year. We have been working very closely on a daily basis. When I see "we", I mean Jeff Gaffney, he's been driving the process, with Dan supporting him and then all of the folks in Division and his district -working with our departments, land agents, working with DGS, working with various lobbyists, various political electives.

We're doing everything we can inside the system without violating any processes to try to grease the skids and get this thing done by June. I think we said earlier it may not be done by June. We're trying to develop backup plans, and that's part of that budget process that, once again, I just can't say much more about.

CHAIR SLAVIK: Is there any value in having a subcommittee or Commissioners involved at this point?

Commissioners, Chairman Slavik. I'm Jeff Gaffney the project manager for this, also the district

OHV SUPT. GAFFNEY: Good afternoon,

superintendent for Hollister Hills, Hungry Valley.

I think there are some things we can do probably where -- I'm already having a meeting with Commissioners Villegas and Perez with Senator Lara. Ι think some of those things are probably things we'll be talking about. You have your two budget subcommittees on the Senate and Assembly side. There are people, members on those committees, Commissioner Kerr is

familiar with a couple of them, those are the people

that probably need to be talked to in preparation for a

possible ask of an appropriation. Does that make

12 sense?

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIR SLAVIK: Any further questions?

14 COMMISSIONER PEREZ: A clarification,

Chief Jenkins. You said that in the end of the year or

16 the end of the fiscal year?

> CHIEF JENKINS: The money expires this June 30th. And the difficulty is going through that Public Works Board process, there is like a schedule of things that if you miss part of the schedule, then just becomes physically impossible. We haven't hit that point. We haven't hit that point yet. We're doing everything we can to stay on track and make that final deadline. Right now, we have high hopes of making the deadline. It's not guaranteed; high hopes.

OHV SUPT. GAFFNEY: I think it's important to note that the examination from the public as a whole and the audit about the department acquiring new lands has sort of had its peripheral damage to us; although, we have a Trust Fund that is outlining how we would maintain and take care of these lands. In the past there's been a lot of questions about the other side of the department not having the resources. So the additional scrutiny slows us down. It's part of the issues.

But just, once again, it's about educating, informing people about how our system works and what we are doing. We have not bitten off more than we can chew in the past, and we will not do that. That's what we continue to do. That's why we only have eight SVRAs.

CHAIR SLAVIK: Another part of that question is does an MOU somehow have to be constructed with BLM to manage that land? Are we moving along on that tract, as well?

OHV SUPT. GAFFNEY: Yes, I was very quietly in a meeting with Chief Jenkins and the state director from BLM last week, and they discussed that. We're moving forward very smoothly with that. I don't see any issues.

We're looking at actually CHIEF JENKINS: working with the state director, Jim Kenneth, very good to work with on this issue. We're looking at initially just going in there and supporting the existing program that's on the ground. While we go through a planning process and look at what might be possible to grow the program as we go.

So right now nothing we would go in there and do will threaten existing operations, it's just going to support what's going on, try to make what's going on a little bit better. Then we will go through a general planning process. That's where you all would come in and we would look at what does the potential build-out of the project look like in coordination with BLM.

CHAIR SLAVIK: I would suggest the Commissioners and the public at large stay engaged on this. This is probably one of the most significant items on our agenda that we have. It's a huge piece of property that probably that opportunity will never come up again in our foreseeable future.

OHV SUPT. GAFFNEY: This is a legacy project, I do agree.

CHAIR SLAVIK: Absolutely, a legacy project. Good way to put it. I'm hoping the people that make those decisions -- I know you guys are doing a good

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

job, but if there is anything we can do to help
encourage those people or at least bring people to the
table to give them a better understanding of the scope
of this thing.

- CHIEF JENKINS: We are, proverbially, taking out all of the stops on this one. This is like all hands on deck. The General has on several occasions reiterated that for the OHV part of State Parks this project is his absolute top priority. We're getting that level of support. So if it can be done, it will be done.
 - COMMISSIONER MURPHY: I think there's some reports in the media about is there any danger of losing that property if we're not able to move forward?
 - CHIEF JENKINS: Very briefly there's two possible ways this could move forward. The legislature could decide to extend the money, the legislature and Administration could decide to extend the money in which case our acquisition would bleed over a little bit into July or August. That's one possibility. These are the two possibilities if we don't complete it by June, by the way.
 - The other possibility is that if they didn't see fit to extend those funds, we would have to request funds again. It would take a year to get those funds.

And so then it's all like back in play. Who else would come out to try to buy the property from the current owners, ReNu, would somebody be trying to buy it before we could get our money back in place. So then it all

becomes once again a moving chest board.

- But, like I say, we have backup strategies and plans that we are considering, and we're looking at every scenario and developing our strategies. We're tending our hopes, and we've got the General's backing on making this happen by June. Hopefully, all of that other planning will not be needed.
- COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Okay. Any assistance that I can be, I'm glad to help out. I'm in the general area.
 - OHV SUPT. GAFFNEY: There are a couple of members on the subcommittee from the area where you're from, I think it would be helpful if you did meet with them. I'd be available to go with you and talk to them.
 - CHAIR SLAVIK: So, counsel, is this an item that we could as the Commission submit support of this project to whoever is interested?
- COUNSEL TOBIAS: If you put it on the agenda.
- CHAIR SLAVIK: Because it's not a business item,
 we cannot submit it.

1 COMMISSIONER KERR: We've already supported it 2 in the past. 3

CHAIR SLAVIK: We haven't gotten a letter in the hopper on this.

CHIEF JENKINS: I don't think support is any problem on this. I think everybody all the way up the chain is aware of the support from the community, support from Audubon, and DNC, support from military, quite frankly. There are many layers of support on this. So I don't think there is a concern that we need more support at this point. We just need to get the machinery in government to move smoothly and get this done.

OHV SUPT. GAFFNEY: Just echoing Commissioner Villegas' comments from earlier, it's about education and understanding what the program is about because we have tremendous support for this, and I tend to see how difficult it is with an off-highway vehicle project. As soon as you say that, it's a lot of things that can happen. But it's us doing that education as Commissioner Villegas was talking about earlier.

CHAIR SLAVIK: I might add at the public scoping meeting, there was a gentleman there and his wife, Joe Fontaine, advised us he was a past president of the Sierra Club, National Sierra Club. Obviously, the guy

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 1 has got some connections, and he sat in the audience.
- 2 | He had some questions. Ed had talked to him previously
- 3 | before the meeting started, kind of gave him a better
- 4 | understanding of the scope of the project. He walked
- 5 | away. He didn't seem to have any issues at all with
- 6 | the things. So it sounds to me like a pretty good
- 7 endorsement.
- 8 OHV SUPT. GAFFNEY: Absolutely I'm available.
- 9 As I said, Hungry Valley would be a great example for
- 10 anybody. If you are talking to legislators, we can
- 11 take them out and show them what we do at an SVRA and
- 12 how it works.
- 13 | COMMISSIONER MURPHY: One more thing, would it
- 14 be helpful to try to recontact those people,
- 15 | re-establish the invitations, somehow reach out to them
- 16 | at another time?
- 17 CHIEF JENKINS: Which people are you referring
- 18 | to at this point?
- 19 COMMISSIONER MURPHY: The decisionmakers that we
- 20 | need to move forward.
- 21 COMMISSIONER KERR: It's more procedures and
- 22 bureaucrats.
- 23 CHIEF JENKINS: Right now, it really has become
- 24 not an issue of the decisionmakers, per se. It's the
- 25 | bureaucracy. Punching through it, it's tough. Like I

- 1 say, with the General's backing and hitting the agency heads to get their own individual organizations, you 2 know, moving expeditiously as possible, that's the best 3 hope right now. That's the direction we're going. 4 So 5 we really appreciate the offer and interest, but I think right now leaving this to the General and Aaron 6 7 to really shepherd this through the process, backing up Mr. Gaffney here, I think that's our best chance for 8 9 success. 10 OHV SUPT. GAFFNEY: And to clarify, too, we're
 - OHV SUPT. GAFFNEY: And to clarify, too, we're talking about if this all were not to be successful, we wanted to have the meetings ahead of time. We've already talked to them, and they're aware we're asking for something additional in the way of appropriations.
- 15 COMMISSIONER KERR: You need to let us know.

 16 Right now, that's not the way you want to go.

11

12

13

14

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- OHV SUPT. GAFFNEY: I'll let you know. We will be talking.
 - CHAIR SLAVIK: We're leaving this ROV question on the table. Does anybody want to weigh in on that at all? It seems like that's an issue that's getting more and more prominence in the recreation community. Do you guys want to revisit that on a subsequent meeting?
 - CHAIR SLAVIK: I don't know if there is any way

COMMISSIONER CABRAL: I think so.

- 1 to weigh in on it. Basically, from my understanding,
- 2 | the industry initiated the legislation based on their
- 3 experience with the ATVs. The ROV community responded
- 4 to that, and now it's kind of a give-or-take situation,
- 5 back and forth.
- 6 COMMISSIONER CABRAL: Well, I think that we have
- 7 | a situation there, having dealt with distributors for
- 8 many types of vehicles, that they're concerned with
- 9 liability issues with the built-on, aftermarket kits
- 10 | because there's been a number of instances when not
- 11 only the aftermarket kit makers but also the
- 12 | manufacturer of the vehicles have been sued throughout
- 13 | the last 30 years or so where they lost in court on
- 14 | those circumstances.
- So I think that's why getting that type of
- 16 | support from the industry because they now make a
- 17 | vehicle that will accommodate those types of things, so
- 18 | it's a difficult situation is all I can really say.
- 19 It's going to be a tough one to go either way. I see
- 20 | both sides of it.

21

AGENDA ITEM IV(C) - REPORTS - BLM

- 22 CHAIR SLAVIK: All right. Moving on to BLM, you
- 23 | have been very patient here. Thank you. Would you
- 24 | please come up and give your report.
- 25 BLM STAFF MATA: I think we figured you heard

from us so much yesterday that it would be fine if we waited until later on in the afternoon. My name is Jennifer Mata. I'm the field manager here in the Redding Office, and I'm going to give kind of the rest of the state report for BLM, and then Sky will come up and concentrate on the Northern California piece, and he actually has a real PowerPoint prepared for you guys.

So in your packet you should have some information that was put together from our state office OHV leader. Her name is Jane Arteaga. She's not able to be here today because of travel restrictions associated with our budget.

So the first thing that I will talk about is the Marine Corps/Johnson Valley update. So we signed -- or the Navy signed a Record of Decision on February 11th, 2013 apparently to implement Alternative 6 with some modifications. So I'm sure there are people in this room that are much more in depth in this information than I am. So BLM continues to work with the Department of Defense and the Marines to expand the Twenty-Nine Palms Marine Corps Base, but we've also went ahead and identified the impacts that the proposed expansion would have on OHV enthusiasts in the Johnson Valley OHV area. And we have, I guess, explored

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

options that could provide both military training and public recreation, and those were discussed in the Record of Decision.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The 2012 Draft Business Plan Update for the Imperial Sand Dunes would update the 2003 Business Plan, and it's required to address gaps between agency objectives, customer needs, and management capacity. And without modification to the fee program, drastic changes may occur to the recreation program as a result of declining federal budgets. They started public outreach on August 2010 at a DAC subgroup meeting, and then they have continued several subsequent public meetings resulting with the release of the draft business plan in October of 2012. It looks like they got about 236 letters, had 400 different comments, and there is a nice little chart on the bottom that demonstrates about 32 percent of the comments related to the amount of the proposed fee; 19 percent talking about the appropriateness of expenditures and the level of service; and 15 percent talking about the impacts to recreation visitation and socioeconomics. So this will not be the only time people will be able to comment. It says that the closure of the public comment period and the document do not mark the conclusion of the public process. Additional opportunities to engage in

the development of the business plan and overall
management of the ISDRA will continue, and there's a
link that is provided to the El Centro Field Office for
more information.

And then we will go on to some of the highlights of El Centro. So it says that they've received applications for ten AMA District 38 races within the Placer City and Superstition open OHV areas, one for a quad drag race within the Imperial Sand Dunes, one for a four-by-four touring event, and three for rock crawling events in Devil's Canyon. All of the events that they've had there since September were successful, with no serious safety issues noted.

So three protests are currently being resolved for the Imperial Sand Dunes Recreation Area Management Plan. And once they've resolved all of the protests successfully, then we will issue a Record of Decision to the public.

Year to date, there has been 544,687 visitor

days at the ISDRA, and then there is a financial fee

report also posted on the El Centro Field Office

website, 201 calls for rescue service, and they do have

many OHV grant projects in progress including closure,

signing, trash collection, EMS, rescue, law

enforcement, and restoration. And they have a new

ISDRA Facebook page, which for BLM is a pretty unique
thing. We don't often get Facebook pages with specific
areas. They're not limited to state level pages or
like our operation center, so it's rare that we get a
Facebook page dedicated to one area, so that's pretty

OHMVR COMMISSION MEETING

cool.

- And then there is also a nice little article on the Superstition Mountain occurred. More than 150 spectators turned out to watch 72 riders compete in the Full Throttle 100 at Superstition Mountain OHV area in February. So we remain busy in all parts of the state on OHV.
 - So that's just a little dabble. I would encourage you guys if you have specific questions, I will answer them later on, but also contact Jane Arteaga at our state office, and she can fill you in more. And if you need her contact info, I will leave you my card, and I will chase that number for you.
 - And I will turn it over to Sky. Thank you.
 - CHAIR SLAVIK: I would suggest all Commissioners get on the BLM's newsletter, automatically be included in their newsletter when you do that.
 - BLM STAFF ZAFFARANO: Afternoon, Commissioners,
 Division staff, Sky Zaffarano, recreation planner,
 Redding BLM Field Office. So I'm going to use a little

PowerPoint and focus in on four off-highway vehicle recreation areas, OHV areas managed here in the Northern California district. The little area map here gives you kind of an idea of where each of these locations are in reference to where we are now. You can see Redding in the middle there. Most of us here toured Chappie-Shasta yesterday, keep that kind of brief, not hit you over the head with that too much.

So then we've got Samoa Dunes over on the coast. That's a unique opportunity. And then we've got Port Sage OHV area managed by the Eagle Lake Field Office, just 45 minutes from Reno and 45 minutes from Susanville. And we've got Cinder Cones managed by Alturas Field Office, and that's actually under development area.

So this is Chappie-Shasta Off-Highway Vehicle
Area located about 15 minutes from where we are now,
65,000-acre area, a little over 200 miles of road and
trails available for a variety of off-highway vehicle
types and skill levels, two developed OHV staging
areas. We've got really several dispersed areas where
you can park, but two that are somewhat also developed
with signing and information, mapping available. One
22-unit developed campground, one primitive campground
located kind of on one of those mountain peaks for

those that want a back country experience.

This is the kind of thing that Chappie-Shasta is most famous for, the scenic vistas, Lake Shasta, Shasta Dam, Mount Shasta, Trinity, Mount Lassen, remote riding opportunities, distinct. Someone mentioned adventure riding opportunities. We provide a lot of that kind of thing; have people do a lot of bike packing, ride to far destinations, and take enough stuff with them to stay and participate in the opportunity riding. Kind of jump-off point for a lot of dual sport riding, getting increasingly popular with the side-by-side riding because the scenic vistas and big touring loops. There's a host of about five off-highway race and events things per year, everything from poker runs to AMA sanctioned races.

A little bit of taste of what the facilities are like there. We've got camping area. We've got the main Chappie-Shasta OHV Staging Area where we started with our tour yesterday and shade structure. That place really developed around being able to handle those type of events with 400, 500 participants and riders. That's just the riders. You end up with over a thousand people there at a time.

Campground we recently installed, the new concrete picnic tables. Copley Mountain Staging Area,

our other kind of smaller staging area developed after 9/11 when the dam access closed, has become increasingly popular.

And road and trail maintenance is an integral part of our whole program at Chappie-Shasta, and we've been fortunate to get a lot of support from OHV funds to purchase the equipment we need and put that out on the ground and improve the trail systems, both for resource and for usability of the area.

So future projects, working with keep doing trail maintenance, and going to develop new trails, and connect dead ends, and try to keep providing increasing loop opportunities. Land acquisition is a critical part. If you can look at that map, you can see kind of in these areas here, the white properties, those are private end holdings. And then the yellow is the BLM Forest Service. So we're always trying to acquire land where we can, trying to focus our acquisitions on small pieces that surround actual trail opportunities or have the potential for trail opportunity instead of buying these blocks where we're not going to be able to develop trails and provide OHV opportunities, continue law enforcement and patrol education.

This is Eagle Lake Field Office for staging OHV areas located right between Susanville and Reno on 395.

It offers a really unique desert riding, very scenic opportunity, excellent family opportunities. They're a 29,000 acre, 90 miles of trail, got two developed OHV trailheads and one small park area. Real popular with this type of use, the RV, and camp for four or five days, trailer type of use.

They've got a biannual Lassen Motorcycle Club race event that they do up there, very successful event, well run. There is kind of improvements that have been recently done using OHV funds. The main forest staging trailhead, new concrete picnic tables. You can see grading, gravelling, new fire rings. And similar, this up here, is the Widow Maker Trailhead kind of on the other side of Fort Davies, and this is the infamous Widow Maker Downhill. So same thing, graded, gravel parking areas, got concrete picnic table, replaced kiosks there, small parking lot. And so they've got the same type of facilities improvements, signing, picnic tables, and this little restoration project. You can see these hill climbs, and they removed that using an OHV restoration fund.

Ongoing trail maintenance, a critical part of the program, as well, Sweco trail maintenance performed annually. Sometimes they'll get these ruts. I think they only get nine inches of rain. The sandy

conditions get really woofed out, those that are familiar with desert riding conditions. We go up there with a little Sweco and knock down the woofs. We do that about once a year to keep people on the trail as well so they won't meander off trying to get a smoother ride.

So same thing, ongoing trail maintenance, installation of a new CXT vault toilet. Restoration project, the Turtle Mountain, trail planning development correcting dead ends to improve, the land acquisition important there. You can see the parcel right there, we right now have a grant application in to purchase it right here; would provide a critical connection without having to ride this stretch of county road or you can go out around, but that would really increase the usability of the Widow Maker Trailhead, continue law enforcement, brochure updates at staging changes.

Samoa Dunes Recreation Area, most of you are probably familiar with these areas. Really Samoa Dunes is mainly unique that one of two areas that you can ride along the beach in California, the other being the Oceano Dunes we've been discussing, over 420 acres of open use. This is kind of a unique trail system that kind of winds through the dunes there. There is an

overview of their main staging, actually a nice facility; got a new CXT there, real open access to the dunes. You guys can read those instead of me reading those to you. Click through this a little quicker.

We've got an actual designated AA training site four by four obstacle course for BLM managed area, got the unloading ramps, of course. This is kind of what it looks like at Samoa, beach property, trail maintenance ongoing, same as the other areas except they're going to replace those uploading ramps that you saw.

And then there is a real small area way out of the way kind of up in northeastern California managed by the Alturas Field Office, the Cinder Cone OHV area; been up there to visit about a year ago, kind of under development. It is currently used, as you can see. They have kind of two concentric loops, one bigger and one inner loop. It's used by the locals there from a little town of Fall River Mill. It's too far of a drive from Alturas. They've got their sign, and they'll be applying for grant funds hopefully this year so they can put some concrete picnic tables in there, install some shade structures, maybe some fire rings. It's actually like the other three, kind of a unique opportunity and interesting place. That's kind of your

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

finishing slide. That's all. Thank you.

CHAIR SLAVIK: Okay. That's it. Public comment on the BLM report.

JIM BRAMHAM: Jim Bramham, I appreciate the snappy microphone system you have today. I just want to point out some ISDRA facts to you, this Imperial Sand Dunes Recreation Area. The report that you got from the Bureau talks about 500,000 visitor days per month, just makes the Hammers look like Tiny Tim as far as the amount of visitation. They also talked about the fact that we now have an almost 12-year delayed recreation management plan that's about to be signed. It's down to the final protests in Washington. And the implementation of that new recreation area management plan is going to be incumbent upon funding, and that brings us around to the item that's most prevalent in their report, which is their business plan for how to operate the sand dunes.

And for your Commissioners, I just want to back up, when I was on the Commission back in the '90s, the BLM started their first fee program in an area that also received grant money at the Imperial Sand Dunes. They said not only are you getting a million dollars a year in OHV grant money, but we want more money from the users to help manage the place. The public outcry

against that was absolutely phenomenal. And what came out of that was what was called a technical review team, which was that a group of dune users and local stakeholders would operate in an advisory capacity to the Bureau of Land Management. And an MOU was signed between Division and the Bureau of Land Management that allowed this group to have understanding of appropriated dollars that were used in that area, grant dollars, OHV grant dollars that were used in that area, and the fee monies and how those were to be spent. So this group for five years got the input of all of those -- all of that information, and then gave the Bureau suggestions on how best to manage the area. It improved the facility tremendously.

But what the secondary thing that happened with that was what we called flee from fee. Because folks had never had fees for public land access before, above and beyond what their normal green sticker was, and it fundamentally changed how the desert was used, especially in that area. It increased the visitorship at Ocotillo Wells dramatically because those folks coming out of San Diego and Inland Imperial, it's shorter distance to go to Ocotillo Wells, and I don't have to pay a fee.

So as this business plan, they talk about -- in

your report talks about the public process started in 2010. That's actually very inaccurate. It started in 2007, culminated with a 2008 desire to go before the Recreation Advisory Council for approval of a fee increase. They could not get public support to do it then, so they came back to try to start doing it in 2010, and subsequently came out with this proposed plan. That plan was opposed by every stakeholder in the desert back in October, just four months ago, six months ago. The county opposed it. The chamber of commerce has opposed it. The local cities have opposed it, the OHV groups opposed it, and the dune users opposed it. They got 200 some comment letters on what should be a basic business plan.

And so what the users and the subgroup have been asking of the Bureau for more than eight years is simply to explain to us what it costs to operate the dunes. And when we say cost, that isn't what they spent, it's what it actually costs to operate. This is the same thing as if you're in your home, if your CC&Rs tell you that you have to mow your lawn, you can put gas in a lawn mower and mow it, it's 50 bucks a year, or you can hire a lawn service for \$1,000 a year. And what the folks want to know is what it actually costs and whether in some cases it would be better to do that

by volunteer work, rather than paying employees.

2 CHAIR SLAVIK: Jim, I have to ask you to 3 summarize here.

JIM BRAMHAM: You need to follow this. That's the summary. The Commission and the Division need to follow this business plan extremely closely because it will affect user patterns, and it will greatly affect satisfaction of the consumer.

CHAIR SLAVIK: Thank you.

Association of 4-Wheel Drive Clubs. There's two things that I would like to draw your attention to is that when the BLM El Centro reports that they had three permits for rock crawling events in Devil Canyon, this kind of brings up an ongoing issue that BLM is still refusing to recognize, that non-spectator events are not events requiring the in-depth paperwork, the insurance requirements, and everything, and all of the paperwork that spectator events require.

Now, you saw earlier with Johnson Valley and
King of the Hammers, all of the extra work that went in
with fencing to provide for spectator control. That's
well and good. That's appropriate for that type of
event.

But when you have a club and family members and

it is limited to less than ten vehicles at a time and
there are no spectators and yet to get that permit it
is still being treated as a major spectator-attended
event, and that is something that has been challenging
the BLM on that for a long time. They have yet to

correct their oversight.

And I'm also disappointed that the BLM has failed to address one of the major impacts coming to the desert that will have an impact upon recreation called the Desert Renewal Energy Conservation Plan.

The DRECP will close off major efforts or major portions of the desert that are now used for recreation, and this is areas where they are currently applying for grants to manage for law enforcement and other things, and yet they fail to address that as an issue that is coming up and is going to be providing a loss of opportunity in the desert region. It is something I would encourage the Commission to go back and look for and question the BLM on because it is going to be a significant issue. Thank you.

CHAIR SLAVIK: Any questions from the Commissioners?

COMMISSIONER CABRAL: Yes, I'd like to ask the BLM staff what the gentleman just spoke to on the different events. Do you use the same permitting

process for all of those types of events?

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

BLM STAFF ZAFFARANO: Yes, we do. It's hard to speak to exactly the events he's talking about, but, you know, say our area, take for instance out here, we do hold, like I say, about five special recreation permits per year. Specifically to the insurance, the insurance requirement that we have doesn't just cover spectator. It's there to cover also injured participants, that type of thing, staff members, you know, club members.

So I'll try to just give you an example. Like maybe our smallest event is say a poker run, local ATV club has a poker run, and they estimate they'll have a hundred participants. They get the same insurance to cover those participants and are under the same special recreation permit guidance regulations as the event that was mentioned that has close to 500 participants and does have a spectator component.

We manage a bit differently as far as the on-the-ground interaction with the club in order to make sure that the spectators are accounted for, more so than an event that doesn't have a spectator. it's a very similar process getting that special recreation permit.

COMMISSIONER CABRAL: What level of a number of

an unorganized ride or drive, how many people do you

have to have or how many participants before they need

a permit?

BLM STAFF ZAFFARANO: I don't have it right in front of me, but I think it depends on a number of criteria. And to my knowledge it can kind of come down to the field office area manager's discretion as to setting a threshold, is what you're looking for. I'm not positive. I've read quite a bit through the special recreation permit guidelines. There is a multitude of different kinds of permits that you can issue, commercial, competitive, depending on the specific, even if it's a family ride, club ride, that's going to be different.

But there isn't necessarily a bottom or a threshold number. It just depends on what they're doing. And there are some other criteria that kick it into requiring a permit regardless of number.

Basically if they're publicly advertising it, if they're collecting funds for people to participate, they might only have ten riders, it might be a guided tour, but that's similar to like our fishing guide permit, you're still paying for a service. They're making money, so they have to get a permit.

CHAIR SLAVIK: One more question before you

1 leave.

BLM STAFF MATA: I just want to clarify one thing. The special recreation permit process that we go through is not even -- the manual is written at a national level. So it's nothing that we can alter at a field office level or even a state level. So we get into discussions about permitting process, that's a Washington office national level manual for us.

COMMISSIONER CABRAL: I was just looking for some clarity on it. That's all. Thank you.

Sky, once again, everything you've done and your professionalism on the ground, how you explain things has been excellent. I really appreciate that.

CHAIR SLAVIK: Some time ago I was with a BLM planner Jim -- I can't remember his last name, but went to Samoa Dunes. There was a proposal to put in a Motocross track there. And we talked at some length about the possibility of literally a world class motocross track right next to the ocean that would benefit that entire community to a pretty serious degree. Any thoughts on that? Anybody ever done any follow-up?

BLM STAFF ZAFFARANO: I'm not with the specific project.

CHAIR SLAVIK: I knew that wasn't going anywhere

1 anyway.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

BLM STAFF MATA: I will follow up that with two separate things.

We actually held a Resource Advisory Council meeting in the Arcata Field Office. We had like a citizen group there attending with the secretary. They represent all different interests. We've had Eric Lueder on there currently for the northwest who I think was on the Commission a while ago.

And at that meeting people did bring up more interest in seeing OHV-type opportunities in Arcata, specifically the field managers there, she did hear that. Also, both the Redding Field Office and Arcata Field Office has requested funds to go through the process to redo our resource management plans, which is a guiding direction for how we manage the entire field office.

So if those plans are actually funded, we could kick that process off in 2014, which would be the perfect time as members of the Commission or the public to submit those kinds of requests for use, to both of those offices so we would look at those opportunities.

CHAIR SLAVIK: I would submit on a small footprint you can keep a lot of people busy. you.

Let's take a short break, and then we'll come back and finish up.

(Returned at 3:16 from a break beginning at 3:06.)

CHAIR SLAVIK: Before we do the Forest Service, we had one last BLM concern, Jennifer, regarding the fee structure and this proposed plan at Imperial Sand Dunes. We're thinking that's a pretty big deal, and we would like to agendize that item because we can't really do anything at this point, but we'd like to agendize that item for the next meeting. And since it's going to be in Sacramento, I'd like to request that we have maybe Jim Kenna or somebody else there for a while and kind of visit with us on that.

And I guess the other thing is if you could carry that message back to Sacramento, that would be appreciated.

BLM STAFF MATA: That's no problem. I'll actually be giving kind of a brief of what happened here in these last couple of days in our state leadership team meeting this Monday. I will definitely let them know.

I would stay as engaged as you can with Jane

Arteaga. She was really upset that she couldn't make
her first Commission meeting.

CHAIR SLAVIK: I think she was at one in

1 | Hollister.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

25

BLM STAFF MATA: One, yes. She was pretty upset that due to our budget situation she couldn't come, but I will definitely pass that on.

CHAIR SLAVIK: Thank you.

AGENDA ITEM IV(D) - REPORTS - USFS

CHAIR SLAVIK: Okay. Forest Service.

USFS STAFF VILLANUEVA: Good afternoon,

Commissioners and Division staff, my name is Garrett

10 | Villanueva. I'm with the United States Forest Service.

11 | And on Tab 6 you should find this write-up that

12 | Kathleen Mick put together. I'm here on behalf of

13 Kathleen Mick at her request. One thing she wanted to

14 | make sure that I passed on clearly to you is that all

of the topics that I'm going to cover today, and I'm

16 going to kind of hit the highlights of them and not go

17 | into great depth, because of the 16 or 18 pages of

18 | words I think we will have some people snoozing if we

19 go through that much detail, but she really wanted me

20 to make sure that I stated for future items you would

21 | like the Forest Service to report on, go ahead and

22 | contact Kathleen Mick. Her information is right here.

23 We will make sure she can address those in the

24 | Commission meeting and report on those.

Five topics that I'm going to hit upon, travel

management and the lawsuits that are associated with
them; talk about the Science Synthesis for forest plan
and revision for the three forests that are going
forward under the new planning rule. I'm going to
touch on the Eldorado National Forest 42 Trails, that's
the Meadows situation, and the Southern California Land

Management Plan, and that's it.

So let me start with the travel management stuff. I think everyone is very familiar with the CFR 261. I'll cover it super fast. Subpart A, that's the requirement for the travel analysis process, which typically identifies the minimum system for our motorized routes, okay. Subpart B is the actual creation of the Motor Vehicle Use Map, which is the regulatory tool for which we use to share with the public which routes are open and which routes are not open. Subpart C is for over-snow vehicles, and Subpart C is different. You'll see in the write-up the word underlined is "may". So the responsible official on each unit may allow, restrict or prohibit use by

And right now currently we have in Region 5 we have zero forests that are using OSVUM, as we call it, or Over-Snow Vehicle Use Map, but those will be developed over time. We're looking to see those

over-snow vehicles.

released. That's a big "may", and the reason why
that's important is because on page three when we start
to look at the lawsuits related to travel management
out there, it says, first, the nationwide lawsuit that
was filed is based upon it being a "may" because
they're considering that to be an exemption from the
requirement for over snow route designation. It's
optional. So that's an important clarification in my
opinion.

The second lawsuit is in litigation right now, so I can't really speak any more to that than what we have here, but basically the lawsuit is about the implementation of grooming activities in that the lawsuit is contending that proper NEPA was not followed to conduct those grooming activities.

So moving right along, the Science Synthesis is my next topic. So there's three forests known as the early adopters of the new planning rule. The Sierra, Sequoia, and the Inyo National Forests are moving forward with the new planning rule. And the idea behind the Science Synthesis is to have kind of a piece of universal science that can be used as a little bit of a boilerplate -- I hate to use that word because it has negative connotations -- that can be used to optimize the efficiency of the creation of new land

management plans and inform those plans by the best science that's out there.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

One of the interesting things about the Science Synthesis is on page five, first paragraph there, stakeholders can help identify and fill those gaps through an assessment process in meetings and workshops but also through the living assessment. So I didn't know what that living assessment was when I first got this document to share with you, and so I asked. what the living assessment is it's kind of a process, is what it is, where the public can go on-line and through the links on here -- and all these links are active -- I think you got mailed this electronically. So you can go click on those links. And you can -- and we call it wiki, I'll explain that what means, meaning you can go in and cite new sources for scientific information that apply to the different sections of the synthesis. Hopefully, that's relatively clear. the information does have to be peer-reviewed scientific paper. So it's not white paper data. It's not the fluffy soft science, but it's the hard peer-reviewed science. I want to make that distinction.

There is one typo at the bottom of that page where soil was lumped in under socioeconomic cultural

concerns. Soil is its own heading on one of the scientific disciplines that's being covered in that.

So I'm going to gloss over this. There is a lot of material on that, so I'm not going to go into detail on those. There's also going to be a follow-up presentation that I'll get into a little more detail on that, on those aspects for you.

So with that, my next topic is the Eldorado

National Forest 42 Trails, and I'm going to hit a

couple of highlights on this. So on May 26th, 2011

Judge Carlton found that the Forest Service failed to

comply with the natural forest management when it

designated 42 motorized routes that crossed portions of

Meadows with the 2008 Motorized Travel Management

Decision. I think everyone is pretty familiar with

that. The order also stated that the Forest Service

failed to complete a required riparian conservation

objective analysis, and that's part of our standard of

Cal lines for the land and resource management plans

for that forest.

So I wanted to hit on a couple of high points, the timeline that's in your document on page 14 and 15.

On September 21st, 2012, the revised Motor Vehicle Use

Map became available, and that was ordered by the judge that it be revised to reflect those routes being closed

1	until reanalyzed. And then also the Supplemental
2	Environmental Impact Statement, or SEIS, a draft is
3	being released and is currently open for public comment
4	until April 8th. So April 8th is when the comments on
5	the draft Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement
6	are due, which is coming up pretty soon.
7	The next item I have is the Southern California
8	Forestland Management Plans for the Cleveland,
9	San Bernardino, and Los Angeles draft Supplemental
10	Environmental Impact Statement. And this is the one I
11	think the abstract is actually at the end I
12	apologize for that. It's normally up front. But
13	basically this is analyzing two new alternatives to
14	address land management zones specific to roadless
15	areas, okay. And so one of those, Alternative 3, would
16	allocate a larger share of the inventory roadless areas
17	to wilderness designation, and then Alternative C would
18	require extensive monitoring. So those are two new
19	alternatives to be analyzed for those forest plans, and
20	they are specific to inventory roadless area.
21	And with that, I wanted to keep it short because
22	I know we're running long. That's all I'm going to
23	report on unless there are specific questions.

CHAIR SLAVIK: Stick around. Thank you.

AGENDA ITEM V(B) - BUSINESS ITEM - PROPOSAL TO DRAFT A

24

LETTER TO THE USFS REGARDING THE USFS SCIENCE SYNTHESIS

CHAIR SLAVIK: And what we'd like to do is include Item B under Business Items so we can talk about Science Synthesis while you're here and get that off the table.

Did you want to explain more about Science Synthesis, or do you think it was adequate what you did?

USFS STAFF VILLANUEVA: I think my overview might have covered it, and then we will let Chris go.

And then if there's additional questions, perhaps I can answer those?

CHAIR SLAVIK: Yes, sure. Sit by close.

OHV STAFF HUITT: Good afternoon, Commissioners, Chair Slavik. My name is Christopher Huitt. I'm the environmental program manager for the OHMVR Division.

U.S. Forest Service has released a document called, "2013 Science Synthesis --" it's a long title -- "To Support Land and Resource Management Plan Revision in the Sierra Nevada and Southern Cascades."

We'll just call this the Science Synthesis report.

It's a synthesis of recent science relevant to forest plans in the areas relating to forest and mountains in the Sierra Nevada and Southern Cascades and the Modoc plan. The areas that are in question are

found in there, which is attachment one, that is included under Tab 8 of your binder.

The Stewards of the Sequoia have submitted a comment letter to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, which has been cosigned by over 60 organizations and clubs representing motorized and non-motorized recreation and other land uses challenging the synthesis report.

Forest Service and Sierra Nevada and Southern

Cascades are preparing to review and revise their plan.

It's called LRMP for Land Resource Management Plans.

The three most southern regions of the national

forests, as stated by Garrett before me, were the Inyo,

the Sierra, and the Sequoia. They were selected to be

the lead forests from Region Five, and this will

ultimately include the rest of the 155 national forests

throughout the United States.

The 2013 report integrates findings from a range of scientific disciplines to inform and develop the management strategies. The goal is to inform the forest planning across the synthesis area, rather than at the project level, like Garrett had said previously. What it will do is it will set the baseline, and then from there they can go to that section, depending upon the type of work, fire, forest, genetics, zoology, they

can actually look at those specific areas and use some of the references that were used to form a baseline so that they can go in and do specific projects like you would do in the EIR. So if you have a project or a programmatic EIR, then what you can do is you have a base document that explains the type of restoration or work that's going to be done that will have an impact to the environment. And then from there you can tier off of that for the specific projects that are going to be done.

Some of the topics specifically in the document, there's a very long list that is discussed, forest ecology, genetics, fire, fire fuel, fire and tribal cultural resources, post wildfire management, soils, water resources, aquatic resource systems. There is a long list of scientific parameters that have been set in the document.

The Stewards of the Sequoia had submitted a 16-page document. And within that document, there's a list of citations that the Stewards of the Sequoia believe that are inappropriate or that could be corrected. And in that letter they have provided comments to specifically correct those potential errors.

The Science Synthesis report will be used to set

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 1 direction and provide citations for the upcoming forest plan revisions. Because these concerns that were 2 3 brought up by the Stewards of the Sequoias in multiple sections of the Science Synthesis, they believe that some of them are inaccurate. So these statements regarding those are they failed to include -- many 6 sections within the document did not discuss OHV use or 7 any other recreational uses. It was very, very parse 8 9 when it came to that. I think there was three pages
 - But with that, that concludes my presentation.

 And if you'd like, I would be more than happy to
 entertain questions when they're appropriate.

total that discussed OHV use within the 504-page

CHAIR SLAVIK: Sit down for a minute, and we'll call for public comment and then move on.

Public comment on this.

document, which I did read.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

AMY GRANAT: Amy Granat, California Off-Road

Vehicle Association. And I've actually provided to

each one of the Commissioners part of the Science

Synthesis so you can see exactly what we're talking

about. When we first heard the name Science Synthesis,

we weren't sure what it meant, and we called the

made-up science, and to a certain extent, it is. It's

problematic for a number of reasons, and Chris and

Garrett I think were very, very nice about it. And I have to thank my colleague, Chris Horgan, for really pointing out some of the problems.

In here you'll notice on the first page it talks about engaging with forest managers and stakeholders team. I'm lucky enough to represent OHV on the Sierra Cascades Dialogues, which are a series of dialogues that take place about every two to three months. I'm on the steering committee, and I was never given this. Almost everyone else on the steering committee was given this. Representatives specifically from the Wilderness Society, Sierra Forest Legacy. There was a county that was given it. We were not. We were excluded, any recreation.

We also met with Back Country Horsemen and Travel Limited, they were excluded, as well. The only good part about it is we weren't excluded alone. So now we've got company, and they were very nice people.

So when they talk about stakeholders, and they talk about the Sierra Cascades Dialogues, so far we've had two dialogues strictly devoted to recreation. One was about multiple forms of recreation, and the other was about economic recreation, and economic benefits to rural counties from recreation. None of that was reflected in this document at all.

One of the most interesting parts in here
actually is further on, it talks about the American
marten, and I don't know if any of you were on the
Commission when the OHV actually funded the Commission
to study the effects of OHV recreation on the American
marten. Phil, do you remember that study?
CHIEF JENKINS: The frozen chicken study?

AMY GRANAT: The American marten is an indicator species for the Forest Service, kind of a little weasely sort of thing.

But the woman sat here and Gary Willard -- I'll never forget this -- the study proved there was no impact on the American marten from proximity, from noise from OHV, from anything from OHV, but she still presented her report as: But if the situation changes, we don't know what it will be. And Gary Willard very wisely at the time said: But you've studied it on the busiest weekends, on the lightest weekends, and there is no difference in between no matter how many OHV vehicles went by their nests. And she said: Yes, that's true, but if those patterns change.

And sure enough, in here on your page 13, potential impacts on the marten are discussed in a separate chapter, and they do give it a whole separate chapter, but we know that there are no impacts.

They're choosing to define things through their own bias. It is discrimination at its core. It's discrimination against motorized access, and this is

what is important to understand.

I talked to Dr. Friend, who is head of Pacific Southwest Research Station, very laudable individual, but he has no concept of how, first of all, his recommendations are going to affect land use on the ground and second of all what people expect from a forest, people either in rural communities or people who come to recreate. What they expect from a forest, depending on peer-reviewed research, how much peer-reviewed research do we have from off-roaders? Very little. We don't have that opportunity. Most of us aren't scientists. My husband is an engineer. We have professionals, doctors, lawyers, et cetera, but it has not been an area that has been studied extensively. So we're already on the defensive.

And on top of that they never point out social benefits, economic benefit, ecological benefits, and they don't want to. So what do we do? We have to force people. We have to make them understand that OHV recreation is a valid form of recreation, and I told Dr. Friend this, but he said if it causes impacts. I said where are your studies on mitigation of impacts or

management of impacts. They are not in here. On every other area, they talk about mitigation techniques and management techniques, not when he refers to OHV or

recreation. All we're asking for is to be fair.

There was a conference call two days ago with representatives from the House Natural Resources

Committee. Chris Horgan got so angry that he contacted Congresswoman McCarthy, who is very interested in the issues. And on the House Natural Resources Committee, Pacific Southern Region Station got called on the carpet.

I've offered to meet with him, educate his people. No response back. It's unconscionable. And the biggest blow of all is that the whole OHV Division and the OHMVR Commission, you weren't consulted.

Nobody asked you anything. The biggest supporter in money, in dollars of the Forest Service in California is the OHV Grant Trust Fund. For years and years and years, I couldn't even add how many millions,

\$14 million a year alone went into travel management,
14.1, why was this not noted?

And when we get upset, they say, well, we have been working with Sierra Forest Legacy for such a long time, and we know they understand forest issues.

That's another way of saying: We don't think you're

1 too smart.

We're getting political more and more every day. We are getting contacts. But because our money seems to be taken very readily, I've never seen them refuse a grant, they have never come and said, oh, it's the OHV Commission, we're not going to take your \$3 million, we have to force them to listen to us, and we need your help to do that.

CHAIR SLAVIK: Amy, your time has been up for some time, and I understand it's an important subject.

Does anybody want to donate time to Amy to continue this conversation? Do you have more to say?

AUDIENCE MEMBER: She can have my time.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: She can have all my time.

AMY GRANAT: I want you to understand that this study, it says on one of the earlier pages, it says:
This information will be valuable and be used in all the other areas except perhaps the Great Basin. So what they're doing here, Pacific Southwest Research Station is going to echo throughout the country. So we're at the forefront. We can either do something or not do something. Chris and I are fighting as hard as we can. We are really yelling, nicely, respectfully, but, you know, upset.

But we need the help of the Division, and we need the help of the Commission to turn this around. There are many symptoms of the disease of discrimination against OHV recreation. You're getting a clue of the disease itself, which is a discrimination. That's it. Thank you.

DON AMADOR: I think I'm actually going to step into another venue related to travel management Forest Service report. Don Amador, western representative for the Blue Ribbon Coalition. I think it's important when we're on a unit, I think it was important to know yesterday, that besides the BLM we also had the Forest Service in attendance. I want to thank the regional office for sending their trail professional from their trail staff from the regional office to come to this meeting.

I also wanted to commend the Shasta-Trinity
National Forest for the great travel management job
they've been doing lately. Over the last couple of
years, they've hired for the first time ever -- this
forest has never had an OHV program, mostly been a
water-based program, Trinity Lake, Shasta Lake. After
their initial travel management plan, which all of us
felt was inadequate, they decided to take another
direction. The forest supervisor got involved, hired a

trail coordinator. And as we speak today, they've got
four or five post Subpart B project-level trail efforts
going on throughout their forests.

So I just wanted to report to the Commission on a TMR forest that's actually doing some good work, doing what they promised, and hopefully you can get out and tour some of that some day. So I just wanted to give some kudos to the local Forest Service.

JOHN STEWART: John Stewart, California
Association of 4-Wheel Drive Clubs.

I appreciate what Amy is saying, and I know everybody has their opinions on things that come up.

I've looked at many Science Synthesis that the Forest Service has generated. I don't quite share the same level of concern as expressed. And especially seeing how this Science Synthesis -- is it perfect? No. Does it go a little heavy on editorializing on some of its comments? Yes.

But I'd like to point out that the Forest

Service is also, within this new planning rule, they're

committed to trying to find new approaches in working

with stakeholders in new ways to articulate what is

going to happen.

This Science Synthesis is a collection of the present known science and data as it relates to the

topical areas. Forest Service admits there are many holes in it. That's why they have created that living assessment that will go along with it. And I've checked with other forests throughout the nation, they're also going under these deals, this early adopter type basis. There are eight forests nationally, three of them in California. Each forest is undergoing a similar Science Synthesis development, and a similar living assessment process, although these living assessment deal with the eco region or the landscape of the forest that they will impact. The living assessment as it is being crafted is flushing out and making the Science Synthesis a much fuller and complete document.

And that is the true point of where the forest plans will develop from, is one its living assessment is complete, that is actually the Science Synthesis, not, you know, what is being published and put out right now is a draft that's Garrett and them, they can feel free, they can chime in and say I'm wrong, but everything that's been put out by the Forest Region Five officials is that's what's coming up is this living assessment will be the Science Synthesis, and that will serve as the basis for the forest planning process as it moves forward.

I've had some extensive conversations with Ron Pugh, the lead for the forest planning process, and that's direct from him. So like I said, I don't believe that the document as released is perfect. see some holes in it, lack of information, and I also see a little bit heavy on editorializing. But once I get in and start reading, then the living assessment, which the Forest Service is publicizing, then that is filling in the extra holes. CHAIR SLAVIK: Anyone else? I know there were

CHAIR SLAVIK: Anyone else? I know there were some green cards.

DAVE PICKETT: Dave Pickett, District 36. I
want to stay kind of generic on the Forest Service.

I'm going to try my hardest to pay attention to what
our new Commissioner Cabral said about civility, and
it's getting harder and harder and harder with the
Forest Service. And you heard me say this before.
Here again, you requested Kathy Mick to be here. She's
the OHV coordinator. When is the last time we've seen
her? Unacceptable.

As Amy pointed out, the amount of money that we've given them is just -- it's got to be close to \$200 million. They can't survive without OHV money to run this OHV program in the state. We know that. We support the grants the best we can, the riding,

et cetera, et cetera. But this -- no disrespect to Garrett at all, but.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Amy also alluded to supplemental funding that we gave to Region Five to distribute to the forest as supplemental funding so we can get this travel management program right the first time. And yet again the Forest Service has dropped the ball, and it's just like the planning rule. I haven't quite got the old planning rule down pat, okay? And it gets old, and add the litigants that are stepping in suit after suit after suit, and it seems the Forest Service, they leave a hole somewhere, they don't do their job. I hate to use the word incompetence, but look at the Eldorado. There's a lot of people in this room that have never known the Eldorado that was not involved in a lawsuit filed against them for issues where they didn't follow their own rules or follow the rules that were set in place.

This is really getting old, and it's our money that you guys need to administer. And most of you sitting up there may not even know about the old stakeholder program that we had, where we had Forest Service representatives, we had the partners in the room, we had the environmental community, we had the OHV community. I know some of this is rhetoric, but

1 for the new folks that weren't there, those like 2 myself, Nick, Don Amador, Bramham, and some of the others, promises were made and promises were not kept. 3

And it's getting old because, as Phil alluded, we are running out of money. They keep borrowing it, never paying it back. Our grant program is a joke with the dollars that were cut. We can't fund our partners, and it's almost like anti-OHV folks are attack, attack, attack, attack. So the Forest Service to me has got to be on the ball. If Kathy won't show, I'll send her a letter saying her time is done. Where is Rich Harrington when you need him? Thank you.

CHAIR SLAVIK: Commissioners.

I just have to add in there, while I understand, Dave, your frustration neither Kathy nor Jane Arteaga -- I spoke with both agencies. Because of the sequestration, all other issues aside, they just have severe limits on their ability to travel right now.

CHIEF JENKINS: Can I just say one thing, Chair?

So with all respect, but in this case -- I know it's been a frustration for a long time, so I'm not excusing her, trying to make excuses. But this time there is a very valid reason why those two coordinators may not be here.

CHAIR SLAVIK: Maybe we ought to put a travel

MINUTES - UNAPPROVED

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1 | budget in their grant request.

CHIEF JENKINS: I asked about that, quite frankly. I asked BLM if we had a cost agreement on the state board commission, would that allow Jane to come to this meeting. And the answer is in this case, with the sequestration and the rules surrounding it, no.

Even if there is an outside funding source, their rules coming down from Washington on travel is so strict that neither of those two individuals, even if we were funding their travel, would be allowed to come to this meeting. That's beyond their control.

COMMISSIONER PEREZ: What about video conferencing? I mean we do a lot of them in education. She can be on the screen answering questions.

CHIEF JENKINS: I will approach them about that. We have video conferencing capabilities in Sacramento. Getting it sometimes in the remote locations like the hotels has been an issue in the past, but with the technology we have available now, we will perhaps work with Debbie and some others on staff and see if that's an option. That's a great suggestion.

COMMISSIONER CABRAL: I'm an avid national Forest Service user, and I absolutely love the national forest in the State of California. Because of my constant time spent out there, I have a number of

issues with the Forest Service in a lot of areas, and this is I'm going to kind of split this up into two things.

One would be the -- actually I kind of question,
I have some notes here. I kind of question just the
basic management practices. Most of the people I've
met on the ground in the forest as far as the employees
are incredible. They are the most helpful, awesome
people that I've never run into, very knowledgeable and
very much want to assist you.

But if you go -- and the time that the forest is most heavily used is on a Saturday or Sunday and weekends. And here I look at the grant request that I just looked at here asking for all of this money for enforcement or if they want to do a riparian job because someone destroyed an area that would have been not destroyed if someone was actually there doing some enforcement work on the weekends.

I have no idea why the forest office is not closed on the Tuesday and Wednesday and opened over the weekends. It makes map availability problematic, which probably comes from a grant from us. What happens with that is that, you know, a box like the old-style mail box will have three or four maps of them, and most the time it's empty. I've rarely seen one filled and the

offices are closed. So expecting people that are ignorant to be on trails and they're unenforced, to me I look at basic management, that doesn't make sense.

The other thing is that we have on the agenda on the business item, it is Section B, proposed drafting letters to the USFS regarding the USFS Science

Synthesis. I think that's what's been presented by Amy and just some of the general feedback, yes, this is a kind of -- I haven't read the whole thing. It looks like it's a rather long document. But for a long document, it certainly doesn't have much in the way of any information as far as motor vehicle use. It's not accurately accounted for in my opinion.

So I think maybe if we craft a letter letting them know that it's not -- and I'm not talking about just off-highway vehicles. I'm also talking to vehicles that can drive their whatever type of vehicle they want to a trailhead on the dirt road, that in my opinion is off-highway vehicle use since there's road vehicles, too. But that would be one area that I think we should note in that letter if we're going to do that.

And then also we may want to remind that the grants are based on a score basis at some point and this could affect their scoring if they continue to

leave us out of the process.

CHAIR SLAVIK: Anybody else?

3 COMMISSIONER KERR: What exactly are our

4 options?

CHAIR SLAVIK: I think maybe another question would be has staff been engaged in the Science Synthesis process at all, and where did we go from there?

CHIEF JENKINS: Define "engaged".

At this point we've been watching the process, monitoring it. Quite frankly, my response to looking at the Science Synthesis, looking at the information that's been gathered, we tend to take an approach of if there's not enough studies in there about OHV, rather than throw rocks at the Forest Service for not including more studies about OHV, it's an honest assessment of our role in this, we've done enough to ensure that good science is being done so that it's available to the Forest Service when we go to collect a set of reports about this.

I was just making notes here to myself as I listened to the various comments and suggestions, and remembering over the last several years, one of our discussions in-house has been that there's not enough science, there's not enough hard science. Because one

of our strategic plan goals that the Commission and
Division put together, you guys approved, was that we
would make data-based decisions. Right now science
tends to be driven by a problem arises, somebody does
some science to try to sort it out.

Perhaps what we should begin to do as a program together is we look at how to prioritize how we spend some of our research dollars, in other words, sweeten the pot on some of those grants, so that we can engage academics in doing proactive research about the cost benefit analysis to the environment of a holistic OHV recreational program. For instance, if you were to look at the program we looked at yesterday and looked at it on the landscape scale, what's the net benefit to the environment on that, who is doing that research. If nobody is doing it, we should be involved in trying to find people to do it, help finance to do it.

So we are watching from the sidelines. We have not been directly involved with the Forest Service on that project.

COMMISSIONER MURPHY: One of the things that

Ms. Granat mentioned was that the Forest Service seems

to be -- regarding OHV, it's not a priority to them.

That's what it seems. Is there any way that we can

have somebody from the Division be part of these

1 scientific studies so that there is a little more 2 equity there as far as representation or how can that

be accomplished?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHIEF JENKINS: Just to be clear, we wouldn't be part of the studies. The studies are done by independent scientists, so we would let them do what they do. I think you're talking about assimilating how do you interpret the studies, I think is what you were getting at, and I don't know.

I mean we can certainly speak with the Forest Service about where they are. This is specific research by Pacific Southwest Research Station, and we have had some dealings with them in the past, limited dealings, but I think the point is what we're all hoping for is objectivity in looking at all of these data.

Maybe I'm Pollyanish, but my starting position is that I hope that they are being objective and not subjective about it. Quite honestly, I tried to catch up on the background on this getting ready for this meeting, and I'm just not as fully informed as some of the community clearly is. So Chris has been trying to tutor me on this. I will commit to looking at it more closely and talking to our contacts in the forest.

But at this point I'm not prepared to say

- 1 personally from me as my role here in the Division what
- 2 | I think we should do about this because I haven't
- 3 | studied it closely enough. Heard a lot of real
- 4 | interesting things today, but I will commit to you all
- 5 | is that we will take a look at it and report back in a
- 6 | future Commission meeting.
- 7 COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Just one more thing to
- 8 | that, is there any way that we can ask them to include
- 9 the socioeconomic impacts of having people in the
- 10 forest? I mean we are part of the environment, too.
- 11 | People, off-roaders, is there a way that they can
- 12 | include that, what the impacts and benefits are? Is
- 13 | that something that can be done as well alongside the
- 14 | science of the environmental?
- OHV STAFF HUITT: If I may, Chris Huitt,
- 16 | environmental program manager. That is one of the last
- 17 | major components of the document is a socioeconomic
- 18 | cultural component that is part of their study. So
- 19 | that is part of their study so that it does discuss
- 20 | that in detail.
- 21 CHAIR SLAVIK: Sylvia, real quick please because
- 22 | we do need to wrap up.
- 23 SYLVIA MILLIGAN: Sylvia Milligan, chairperson
- 24 of Recreation Outdoors Coalition. I have been in the
- 25 | forest a number of times when they have done those

surveys. Of course, I was in a jeep, and I looked at the survey. And I took it to the forest supervisor and I said: This survey is not adequate. You are asking all kinds of questions, but you just recently locked out the quads and the dirt bikes, so they have no say in this survey at all. They're afraid to come into the forest right now for fear of getting a ticket. So none of them around there to fill out these forms, and she says: Oh, you're right, I guess we screwed up.

COMMISSIONER KERR: So it sounds like we might hear about potential research projects in the future which we have funded in the past in or out of the grant program.

You mentioned something on the tour yesterday I wanted to kind of double check on. You said that a person applying for a grant is scored in two ways. One is the history of that organization and how successful it had been in the past, and then the other way to score is on the individual merits of the particular project they're asking for the money for.

So over the last couple of years, I've heard a lot of negative comments about the Forest Service and the way that they -- their performance on some of the grants that we have given them. So are they now scoring lower on their grant applications as a result

- 1 of this because their organization has failed to deliver on past grant objectives? 2 CHIEF JENKINS: I think to comment on that, as 3 the Forest Service scoring one way or another, would be 4 5 an oversimplification. We do it applicant by applicant throughout the forest. So an individual forest will 6 put in for a grant. Some of the forests have 7 outstanding records. Somebody had commented earlier, 8 9 had said they had good experience on the ground but not 10 with on-the-ground staff. 11 Like any organization, my own included, you go 12 some places, you get a really strong program. You go 13 another place, it may not be quite so robust. I 14 wouldn't generalize and say the Forest Service has done 15 poorly. 16 COMMISSIONER KERR: There are individual forests 17 that are suffering because of the forest --CHIEF JENKINS: There are individual forests 18 that don't score well. 19 20 CHAIR SLAVIK: Okay. I think we need to 21
 - conclude here soon. Let me finish on the Forest Service side first.
 - Chris, are you aware of the National Off-Highway
 Vehicle Conservation Council's information hotline if
 you will?

22

23

24

1 No, I am not. OHV STAFF HUITT: 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIR SLAVIK: I would suggest you look at NOHVCC.org. They're an OHV education organization essentially. They're a good resource, let me put it that way. I think there is a lot of stuff out there. There are several universities that have done surveys, OHV surveys that are peer reviewed. And the Forest Service in Region Five doesn't even know anything about it.

Quite frankly, this thing scares me. I've never seen yet a study done by, let's say, the Forest Service in this case, practically any agencies would do it, but the Forest Service, especially where we came out anywhere near objectively ahead. We get basically screwed.

The Stewards of Sequoia OHV STAFF HUITT: provided many pages of documents that were scientifically peer reviewed and were scientific studies that were done to support OHV activity and recreation in the national forest in similar types of venues that you would find in the Science Synthesis. What I could do is look at those and then report back.

CHAIR SLAVIK: So they're not related? OHV STAFF HUITT: They are related, yes. that was one of the major comments that they had to the

1	Forest Service is that they were not peer reviewed deep
2	enough or had not looked at what they had provided.
3	CHAIR SLAVIK: Okay. Thank you. Do we need to
4	take any action at this point?
5	I think directing staff to continue to look into
6	it. And Chris is your resource, so we've got a
7	scientist that's doing this.
8	COMMISSIONER KERR: Look harder and try to
9	comment.
10	CHIEF JENKINS: We'd be happy to continue to
11	look into this more deeply, talk to more members of the
12	community out here. My apologies not being better
13	informed walking in the door here. I feel guilty now
14	that I got that darn award up there, and I'm a little
15	behind the eight ball.
16	But we will engage for the forest and the
17	community and come back to the next meeting with a lot
18	more information.
19	AGENDA ITEM V(C) BUSINESS ITEM PROPOSAL TO DRAFT A
20	COMMENT LETTER REGARDING THE ELDORADO NATIONAL FOREST
21	42 ROUTES DRAFT SEIS
22	CHAIR SLAVIK: While we're talking about the
23	Forest Service, Item C, Eldorado National Forest draft

SEIS, is there any action we want to take on that?

Dan, you had something to talk about?

24

- 1 OHV STAFF CANFIELD: Dan Canfield, OHMVR 2 Division. Following up on Garrett's presentation, 3 Commissioners, you have a report in your binders behind Tab No. 9. It's a business item. Basically 5 summarizing, the Eldorado National Forest has 42 routes 6 that are closed as a result of some court action. Eldorado National Forest has done some additional 7 studies and published the Supplemental Environmental 8 9 Impact Statement, SEIS, which is currently out for 10 public review for April 8th. This report is included 11 in your agenda as a business item in case the Commission wanted to take action on the supplemental 12 EIS during the comment period. 13 14 CHAIR SLAVIK: Interest in a subcommittee to 15 take a look at this and draft a comment letter? 16 COMMISSIONER KERR: Yeah, there should be a 17 letter. We could authorize you to write it for that 18 matter. 19 CHAIR SLAVIK: I could write it. You guys could 20 draft it. 21 COMMISSIONER KERR: Is there any discussion? I 22
 - guess there's Alternative 3 and 4 looked kind of -- I don't know which the Commission is interested in recommending.
- 25 CHAIR SLAVIK: Dan, you have a comment?

23

- OHV STAFF CANFIELD: Thank you,
- 2 | Commissioner Kerr. The draft supplemental EIS does
- 3 | have four proposed alternatives ranging from no action
- 4 | to providing a preferred alternative, to provide folks
- 5 | the opportunity to comment on one of the alternatives
- 6 or a modified version of one of the alternatives is
- 7 often the comment.
- 8 CHIEF JENKINS: So if you'd like to have us work
- 9 | with you, with the subcommittee to draft a letter from
- 10 | the Commission, all we need is to vote on that, that we
- 11 | would work with a subcommittee on just the general tone
- 12 of the letter the subcommittee would put together.
- 13 CHAIR SLAVIK: Appoint a subcommittee first. I
- 14 | would be happy to be on it.
- 15 COMMISSIONER CABRAL: I'm not up on this
- 16 | subject.
- 17 CHAIR SLAVIK: I'm not up on it either. As a
- 18 | subcommittee, what we do is look at the situation, talk
- 19 | to Dan, talk to Chris.
- 20 COMMISSIONER CABRAL: I'm up for that.
- 21 CHAIR SLAVIK: We're not going to do this right
- 22 now.
- COMMISSIONER KERR: You're the Forest Service
- 24 guy.
- 25 COMMISSIONER CABRAL: I got you there.

- 1 CHAIR SLAVIK: You signed up for this. So we have a subcommittee. 2
- COMMISSIONER KERR: We have to also vote to send 3
- the letter. I so move that the subcommittee comes up 4
- 5 with a letter and you're authorized to send it on
- behalf of the entire Commission. 6
- 7 CHAIR SLAVIK: Call for a second, I should say.
- COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Second. 8
- 9 CHAIR SLAVIK: Call for a vote.
- 10 (Commissioners simultaneously voted.)
- 11 CHAIR SLAVIK: No abstentions. Motion passes.
- So Slavik and Cabral are on the subcommittee. 12
- And we have a timeline to deal with, April 8th. 13

AGENDA ITEMS V(D, E, F) - BUSINESS ITEMS

- 15 CHAIR SLAVIK: Items D, E, and F, look at these,
- 16 and I think we can actually hold these for a later
- 17 meeting. I know we talked about the weekend meeting
- 18 schedule. As it so happens, our next meeting is going
- to be the Hangtown Motocross, and we will meet on a 19
- 20 Friday, and the field tour will be at the motocross on
- 21 the Saturday. So we can bring the subject up. I know,
- 22 Don, that was something that you're interested in.
- 23 DON AMADOR: I have a conflict that weekend. Ιf
- 24 you don't want to address it now, I'll just send my
- 25 comments.

1	CHAIR SLAVIK: I understand what your issues
2	are, and I think they are other valid issues. We'll
3	just have a short discussion, but we're running out of
4	time right now. Several of us have got to get to
5	Sacramento.
6	L.A. County Staging Area was another item on the
7	business item here. And that was basically an FYI.
8	Subsequently after we put this on the agenda, we found
9	out that we really can't submit a letter in support of
10	this, okay, even though it's a great project, we all
11	love it. And hopefully we can do some things in the
12	future to help further the cause. We need to have some
13	OHV in L.A. county, a dire need for a long time.
14	Any closing comments by Commissioners?
15	Call for a motion to adjourn?
16	COMMISSIONER KERR: So moved.
17	COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Second.
18	CHAIR SLAVIK: Call for a vote.
19	(Commissioners simultaneously voted.)
20	CHAIR SLAVIK: Meeting adjourned.
21	(Meeting adjourned at 4:10 p.m.)
22	000
23	
24	
25	